

Preclinical Development [CDER]: Biological Therapeutics for Cancer Treatment

**Andrew J. McDougal, Ph.D., DABT
FDA/CDER/OODP/DBOP
andrew.mcdougal@fda.hhs.gov**

**iSBTc Oncology Biologics Development Primer
Gaithersburg, MD ● February 28, 2008**

Disclaimer

- **The opinions expressed by Dr. McDougal are his, and do not reflect official policy of the US or FDA.**
- **Information presented was obtained from publicly available sources.**
- **No official support or endorsement by FDA is intended or should be inferred.**

Objectives for this Presentation

- Present a FDA/CDER nonclinical reviewer's perspective
- Provide insight into **our** approaches for reviewing **your** IND-enabling, nonclinical safety data
- Introduce/remind you about current guidance for toxicology testing.

CDER/Office of Oncology Drug Products (OODP) Regulates Biologic Cancer Therapies

OODP has 3 divisions:

• **Division of Biologic Oncology Products (DBOP)**

■ **DBOP regulates:**

- **Monoclonal antibodies**
- **Recombinant proteins**
- **Cytokines**
- **Growth factors**
- **Enzymes**
- **Biological immunomodulators**
- **Other (non-vaccine) therapeutic immunotherapies**
- **Radiolabeled biologics for therapeutic use**

CDER/OODP Regulates Biologic Cancer Therapies

OODP/DBOP

- Monoclonal antibodies
- Recombinant proteins
- Cytokines
- Growth factors
- Enzymes
- Biological immunomodulators
- Other (non-vaccine) therapeutic immunotherapies
- Radiolabeled biologics for therapeutic use

■ OODP/DDOP (Division of Drug Oncology Products)

- ‘Traditional’ cytotoxic compounds and ‘small molecules’
- Hormones and metabolic factors
- Synthetic peptides
- Oligonucleotides and siRNA
- Small molecules conjugated to antibodies

Examples of DBOP Regulated Products:

http://www.fda.gov/cder/Offices/OODP/DBOP_products.htm

Drug Name®	US Adopted name	Drug Name®	US Adopted name
Avastin	Bevacizumab	Aranesp	Darbepoetin alfa*
Bexxar	Tositumomab / I ¹³¹ tositumomab	Epogen, Procrit	Epoetin alpha*
Campath	Alemtuzumab	Kepivance	Palifermin
Erbitux	Certuximab	Leukine	Sargramostim
Herceptin	Trastuzumab	Neuopgen	Filgrastim
Rituxan	Rituximab	Neulasta	Pegfilgrastim
Soliris	Eculizumab	Intron A	Interferon alfa-2b*
Vectibix	Panitumumab	Neumega	Oprelvekin
Zevalin	Irbrutumomab	Ontak	Denileukin diflitox
Elitek	Rasburicase	Proleukin	Aldesleukin
Elspar	Asparaginase	Roferon	Interferon alpha-2a*
Oncaspar	Pegaspargase		

*For cancer indications only

What Do We Need to See in an IND for a New Anti-Cancer Biologic?

- Chemistry & Manufacturing Controls (Quality)
- Nonclinical (Pharmacology/Toxicology)
- Clinical

Nonclinical:

1. Pharmacodynamics (PD)

- the biology, activity, mechanism of action, potency

2. Pharmacokinetics (PK)

- Distribution, elimination – AUC, C_{max} , V_d , $T_{1/2}$
- anti-product antibody – formation, clearance

3. Toxicology

- Testing in relevant animal species

Supporting the First-in-Human (FIH) Study– Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (1)

- It is helpful if your IND clearly informs and explains **HOW** you have demonstrated safety.
- The FDA (nonclinical) Toxicologist may have a different perspective from the Industry Toxicologist if:
 - I've already reviewed an IND for this
 - I have access to FDA institutional knowledge
 - I evaluate your contract lab reports differently
- Alternative approaches may be acceptable. Please:
 - Consider requesting a pre-IND meeting to discuss.
 - Justify them in the IND.

Supporting the FIH Study– Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (2)

- Toxicologist's job: to verify that the nonclinical data support the **safety** of the proposed clinical trial.
- Toxicity:
 - Can we predict what the **toxicities** will be in patients?
 - Are they **acceptable** for this indication?
 - What is their **progression** / **recovery**?
 - Can we monitor clinically for the **toxicity**?

Supporting the FIH Study– Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (3)

- Toxicologist's job: to verify that the nonclinical data support the **safety** of the proposed clinical trial.
- Appropriateness of:
 - Start dose,
 - Dose escalation scheme,
 - Maximum dose.
- Schedule of dosing,
- Maximum duration of dosing.
- Exclusion / inclusion criteria,
- Clinical monitoring,
- Communication of concerns and risks.

Supporting the FIH Study– Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (4)

- Demonstration of pharmacologic / biologic activity is the first step in the development of **ANY** new drug or biologic.
- Nonclinical does not review for **clinical efficacy**.
- ‘Proof of concept’ studies are reviewed to understand the potential risks in context (**risk:benefit**).
 - For biologics, most toxicity is exaggerated pharmacology.
 - ‘Are the animal data predictive?’
 - ‘What does the animal response mean for patient safety?’
 - ‘Is this observation incidental or treatment-related?’

Examples

- Tumor vs normal cell growth inhibition ⇒ show that healthy tissues are not targeted?
- *In vivo* studies of anti-tumor activity in tumor xenograft models ⇒ identify the lowest biologically active dose?

Nonclinical Review: Step 1

Preliminary questions:

■ WHO ?

(the index [patient] population)

■ WHAT ?

(What is the intended pharmacological action?)

■ HOW ?

(the protocol)

- **All the nonclinical data get filtered through these lenses (risk:benefit).**

Nonclinical Review: Step 2

1st question: What data directly predict effects of treatment in patients? *PD data*:

■ The target

- Distribution / expression
- Mechanism of Action (MOA)
- Differences in healthy versus cancer

■ How the product interacts with the target

- Binding, affinity, specificity
- Potency
- Downstream effects
- Effect of disease on the product
- Other targets? Low affinity or off-target binding

Nonclinical Review: Step 3

2nd question: Are the animal test species pharmacologically and toxicology relevant? PD data:

- **Relevant species:**
- **Does the animal respond to treatment the same way that humans will?**
 - Expression / distribution of the target
 - Homology / orthology
 - MOA, downstream effects
 - Binding, affinity, specificity, potency
 - PK
- **Non-relevant species:**
- **May miss some or all of the pharmacologic and toxicologic activities that will occur in humans.**
- **Underpredict toxicity → Not useful for dose-setting.**

Why are the Pharmacokinetic (PK) Studies Important?

- **PK of a new biologic allows estimation of:**
 - **Exposure to agent after any given dose**
 - **Correlation with pharmacologic/therapeutic effect**
 - **Duration of exposure (half-life)**
 - **Dosing interval for the clinical study**
 - **Time to reversal of any biologic or toxic effects**
 - **Development of anti-product antibodies**
 - **Both total and neutralizing activity**
 - **Do they affect clearance of the product?**

Each pivotal *in vivo* toxicology study should include PK.

Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics (1) – Study Design

- Usually standard assays in healthy animals.
 - For biologics, main groups and recovery groups at all doses.
- Should include a dose that exceeds the therapeutic effect (⇒ exaggerated pharmacology)
 - Dosing regimen should mimic the clinical trial
 - # of doses, timing of dosing
- Monitor PK & antibody development.
 - Incorporate safety pharmacology into tox. studies.

Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics (2) - Duration

- ➔ ■ **Nonclinical duration should equal at least 1 clinical cycle (plus recovery period).**
- ➔ ■ **For some indications, cancer patients may receive multiple cycles (until progression or SAE).**
- ➔ ■ **Dosing to steady-state is recommended.**
 - **Ex- For a mAb with $t_{1/2} = 8 - 11$ days, 5 weekly doses to support FIH may be appropriate.**

Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics: Reviewer Perspective (1)

- **Expecting to see exaggerated pharmacology.**
 - Looking for toxicities secondary to the main effect.
 - Also looking for off-target toxicities.
- **Is there a no adverse effect level (NOAEL)?**
 - Critical target tissues/organs/systems
 - Severity, reversibility
 - Clinically monitorable
- **Do the observed effects correlate with PK?**
 - Dose-response
 - Reversibility after clearance
 - Anti-product antibody effects on PK

Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics: Reviewer Perspective (2)

- For Biologics, NH Primates may be the only relevant model.
- Non-rodent studies are not powered for statistical significance.
 - Look for individual animal responses.
- Working with limited data, regulatory decisions are made based on reasonable assumptions
 - The observed effect *may* be treatment-related.
 - The observed effect *may* indicate unacceptable toxicity.

Toxicology study results and setting the FIH dose

- Pivotal toxicology studies' route & dosing regimen should mimic proposed clinical use
 - Alternative routes/regimens acceptable in some cases
- Ideal: high-dose was toxic & mid- or low-dose was NOAEL
- **FIH dose extrapolated from animal results using adequate safety margins**
 - Recognizing that biologics may have a smaller therapeutic index than 'small molecules'
 - For FIH trials with anti-cancer biologics, goal is to start at a biologically-active dose (MABEL)

Specific Safety Concerns for Biologics?

- ➔ 1. **Many biologics are highly selective and specific. Not equally active across species.**
- ➔ 2. **PK differences between humans and animals, especially for humanized mAbs.**
- ➔ 3. **Anti-product antibodies may affect / limit *in vivo* testing.**
- ➔ 4. **Immunogenic responses (or lack of response) in animals may not predict human responses.**

Specific Safety Concerns for mAbs?

In addition to the concerns for all biologics:

- ➔ ■ **Bind targets in healthy tissues (cross-reactivity).**
- ➔ ■ **Exaggerated pharmacology.**
- ➔ ■ **Slow elimination.**
- ➔ ■ **Slow recovery from toxicity.**

Specific Safety Concerns for Cytokines & Growth Factors?

In addition to the concerns for all biologics,

- ➔ ■ **Species-specificity**
- ➔ ■ **Interactions with host endogenous cascade**
- ➔ ■ **Tumor-promoting potential**
- ➔ ■ **Immunogenicity/antibody production**
 - **effects on neutralization of endogenous counterpart to test agent**

CMC and Nonclinical – Reviewer Perspective

- **Product development during preclinical testing phase is acceptable**
 - **Use of non-GMP protein products allowed for nonclinical testing**
-
- **Need to know exactly what was tested, and how it differs from the clinical material**
 - **Need demonstration of comparability of the pivotal nonclinical study's test material with the clinical grade material**

Forthcoming Guidance

Coming soon...(?...)

- ***Guidance for Industry and Reviewers:
Nonclinical Safety Evaluation of
Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals***
- **Please send comments (when the drafts are published)**

**ICH S9: Preclinical Guideline on Oncology
Therapeutic Development**

- **Concept paper endorsed 5/2007**
- **<http://www.ich.org/cache/html/3559-272-1.html>**

Some Further Resources

- **ICH Guidances** (www.ich.org/cache/compo/276-254-1.html)
 - **ICH S6: Safety Studies for Biotechnological Products**
 - **ICH M3: Timing of Pre-clinical Studies in Relation to Clinical Trials**
 - **ICH S5a: Detection of Toxicity to Reproduction for Medicinal Products**

- **Points to Consider**
 - **Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use - 1997**
 - www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptc_mab.pdf
 - **Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Therapeutic Products for Human Use Derived from Transgenic Animals – 1995**
 - www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptc_tga.txt

Thanks!

Goals of nonclinical testing are to protect patients, speed development, reduce waste, and inform consent.

- My thanks to Anne Pilaro, Stacey Ricci, Michael Orr, Ying Huang, and Mercedes Serabian.
- Thank you for listening.
- Comments and questions solicited.

andrew.mcdougal@fda.hhs.gov

Contact DBOP at: (301) 796-2320