

What's Next for Cancer Immunotherapy?

Ryan Sullivan, MD

Mass General Cancer Center











- Consulting Fees: Asana Biosciences, Astrazeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eisai, Iovance, Merck, Novartis, OncoSec, Pfizer, Replimune
- Contracted Research: Merck, Amgen
- I will be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

I am biased by experience to checkpoint inhibitors, cytokines, and all things related melanoma



Immune checkpoint inhibitors and US FDA approvals



Melanoma
NSCLC
Renal Cell Carcinoma
Urothelial Bladder Cancer
Hodgkin Lymphoma
Head and Neck
Squamous Cell
Carcinoma
Merkel Cell Carcinoma
Esophageal
Endometrial

MSI Cancers

Gastric Cancer Hepatocellular Carcinoma Primary mediastinal BCL Cervical SCC Small cell lung cancer Cutaneous SCC (cuSCC) Triple neg breast cancer TMB high Colorectal cancer

Year	Drugs	Approvals	Diseases	Combos
2011	1	1	1	
2014	2	2	1	
2015	3	4	3	1
2016	3	5	4	
2017	4	10	7	
2018	5	12	10	5
2019	4	7	5	6



Rise of combination therapies

Year	Drugs	Approvals	Diseases	Combos
2011	1	1	1	
2014	2	2	1	
2015	3	4	3	1
2016	3	5	4	
2017	4	10	7	
2018	5	12	10	5
2019	4	7	5	6
2020	6	8	8	3

PD-1/PD-L1 plus:

- Ipilimumab
 - anti-CTLA-4 mAb
- Cytotoxic chemotherapy
- Bevacizumab
 - anti-VEGF mAb
- Axitinib
 - targets VEGFR 1-3
- Lenvatinib
 - targets VEGF 1-3, FGFR 1-4, PDGF alpha, KIT, RET
- Vemurafenib and cobimetinib
 - Targets BRAF and MEK





Where do we go from here?

More combinations

Trafficking of

Anti-VEGF

Infiltration of T cells

into tumors (CTLs, endothelial cells)

Recognition of

cancer cells by T cells

(CTLs, cancer cells)

Anti-PD-1/PD-L1

Anti-CTLA4 (effects on T-Reg)

ACCC

Targeting T-regs and TAMs/MDSCs

5

Oncolytic virus Anti- PI3K-gamma

Anti-TGF-beta

Adoptive Cell Therapy

TCR-Ts

CAR-Ts

🙏 НОРА

Csitc

BiTEs





What about new targets/approaches?

- New constructs
 - Bi-specific T cell engagers (BiTE)
 - Dual affinity re-targeting proteins (DART)
 - Tandam diabodies (TandAbs)
 - ImmTAC (immune mobilizing monoclonal T-cell receptors against cancer)



Wang et al. Antibodies 2019





New constructs



Blinatumumab – anti-CD-19, CD3 engager

Tebentafusp – anti-gp-100, CD3 engager

© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Wang et al. Antibodies 2019 Middleton et al. SMR 2019





New constructs, known targets

- PD-1 and LAG-3 receptors are expressed on "exhausted" Tcells
 - Interactions with corresponding ligands negates anti-tumor T cell activity
- Synergy of anti-PD-1 + anti-LAG-3 mAbs in animal tumor models
 - Combination trials of anti-PD-1 plus anti-LAG-3 are ongoing
- MGD013, an investigational DART protein, targets PD-1 and LAG-3 with a single molecule
 - Greater synergistic T-cell activation (IFN-γ) with MGD013 compared with combination of individual constituents
- DART bispecific platform:
 - Stable diabody format
 - Multiple configurations & applications



Luke et al. ASCO 2020



MGD013: Phase I Trial Design

- Primary objectives:
 - Safety, tolerability
 - DLTs, MTD, MAD
 - Alternate dose
- Secondary objectives:
 - Pharmacokinetics
 - Immunogenicity
 - Preliminary activity
- Exploratory PD objectives:
 - Receptor/ligand expression
 - Serum biomarkers
 - Gene expression profiling









MGD013: Dose escalation results



Confirmed Partial Responses (n=1, each):

- TNBC (10 mg)
- Mesothelioma (800 mg)



• 18 patients with SD as best overall response (DCR = 48.8%)

Immune-Related Adverse Events of Special Interest (AESIs)

	No. (%) of Patients		
	All Grades (N=53)	<u>></u> Grade 3 (N=53)	
Rash	7 (13.2)	1 (1.9)	
Hypothyroidism	6 (11.3)	0	
Immune-mediated hepatitis	2 (3.8)	2 (3.8)	
Pancreatitis	1 (1.9)	1 (1.9)	
Colitis	1 (1.9)	1 (1.9)	
Adrenal insufficiency	1 (1.9)	1 (1.9)	
Hyperthyroidism	1 (1.9)	0	

- Well-tolerated with manageable irAEs
- Safety consistent with anti-PD-(L)1 toxicity profile
- MTD not exceeded or defined at up to 1200 mg Q2W
- Dose limiting toxicities:
- Immune-mediated hepatitis (1200 mg primary dose escalation); resolved without sequelae
- Lipase increase with radiographic evidence of pancreatitis (600 mg HCC escalation); dose level subsequently cleared





Luke et al. ASCO 2020

© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

•



MGD013: Dose expansion results



	TNBC	EOC	NSCLC, CPI-Naïve	NSCLC, post-PD-1
Evaluable Patients	23	23	14	15
ORR (Confirmed)	4.3% (1/23)	8.7% (2/23)	14.3% (2/14)	0% (0/15)
ORR (Confirmed + Unconfirmed)	17.4% (4/23)	8.7% (2/23)	21.4% (3/14)	13.3% (2/15)
SD	34.8% (8/23)	43.5% (10/23)	50.0% (7/14)	53.3% (8/15)
DCR	39.1% (9/23)	52.2% (12/23)	64.3% (9/14)	53.3% (8/15)

Luke et al. ASCO 2020

ACCC

📣 НОРА

sitc

apy of Cance



MGD013: Biomarker analysis

Objective Responses Associated with LAG-3 Expression

Inflammatory interferon-γ signature elevated in patients with clinical response



Archival biopsies from TNBC, EOC, and NSCLC expansion cohorts analyzed for LAG-3 (N=46) or PD-L1 (N = 45) by IHC LAG-3 score was determined by calculating mean value of LAG-3+cells per 40x field across 5 LAG-3+hot spots (Chen et al., e15086 ASCO 2020). PD-L1 expression was determined per Agilent PD-L1 (22C3) pharmDx kit; TPS (NSCLC) was calculated as per interpretation manual and CPS (EOC, TNBC) calculated as follows: number of PD-L1 + cells (tumor and immune)/total number of viable tumor cells x 100. CPS <1 or TPS <1% was considered negative.

Transcript Profiling (Baseline Tumor Biopsy)



Objective responses associated with high baseline LAG-3/PD-1 expression and IFN- γ gene signature (CXCL9, CXCL10, CXC11, STAT1)

The NanoString PanCancer IO 360[™] assay was used to interrogate gene expression, including the abundance of 14 immune cell types and 32 immuno-oncology signatures from archival biopsies from EOC (N=14) NSCLC (N=25) and TNBC (N=13) expansion cohorts







Luke et al. ASCO 2020



MGD013: Summary

First-in-class bispecific checkpoint inhibitor

- Designed to independently or coordinately block PD-1 and LAG-3
- Well tolerated at doses up to 1200 mg Q2W
- RP2D: 600 mg Q2W or Q3W
- Safety profile consistent with anti-PD-1 monotherapy

Encouraging monotherapy activity in multiple tumor types

• Baseline LAG-3 expression & IFN-γ signature associated with objective response

Luke et al. ASCO 2020





New Targets

PVRIG PATHWAY IN THE DNAM AXIS



© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Sullivan et al. AACR 2020







PVRIG KO/inhibition is associated with reduced tumor growth



PD-1/PD-L1 resistant models

Sullivan et al. AACR 2020





COM701 (anti-PVRIG): Phase 1 design

PHASE 1/2 (in development)

PHASE 1 (Identifier: NCT03667716)

Arm A		Study ObjectivesSafety & Tolerability		
Monotherapy Dose Escalation All-comers	Monotherapy Cohort Expansion (20 patients; progressed on SOC) NSCLC, Ovarian, Breast, Endometrial, Colorectal	 PK/PD Clinical activity – COM701 monotherapy and in combination 	Triple Combination Dose EscalationEscalating doses of COM701 with fixed doses ofnivolumab + BMS-986207All-comers (progressed on SOC); expectedinitiation in 2H 2020	
Arm B		Response Assessment		
Dual Combination Escalating doses of COM701 with fixed dose of nivolumab (Up to 20 patients)		CT Imaging Q6 or Q8 wks as per schedule of study drugs Responses per Investigator	Triple Combination Cohort Expansion	
All-comers (progressed on SOC)		assessment – RECIST v1.1	Ovarian, Endometrial, additional tumor types with high PVRL2 expression	
		Sullivan at al. AACD 2020		

© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Sullivan et al. AACR 2020



COM701: Results

	Arm A (N = 16) N (%)	Arm B (N = 12) N (%)
ORR (CR+PR)	1 (6)	1 (8)
Disease control rate (CR+PR+SD)	11 (69)	9 (75)
Durable SD (SD ≥ 6 months)	2 (13)	4 (33)
Best response CR PR SD PD NA	0 1 (6)* 10 (63 4 (25) 1 (6)	0 1 (8)# 8 (67) 2 (17) 1 (8)

*63 yo F with MSS platinum-resistant PPSC # 66 yo M with MSS CRC





On Study Treatment No Recist v1.1 PD (in LTFU) - PI Discretion/Clin Progression Recist v1.1 (in LTFU)

Q4W - every 4 weeks

ACC

Q3W - every 3 weeks

♦ First PR ● First SD

Data Cutoff Date April 1, 2020

sitc

HOPA

Sullivan et al. AACR 2020



COM701: Summary

- COM701 well tolerated and with a manageable safety profile as monotherapy and in combination with nivolumab
 - No increase in toxicity in combination with nivolumab
 - No subjects discontinued study treatment due to toxicity of any study drug
- Confirmed partial responses in 2 pts
 - COM701 monotherapy 20 mg/kg IV Q4 wks primary peritoneal cancer (ongoing on study treatment 25 wks)
 - COM701 (COM701 0.3 mg/kg IV Q3 wks) + Nivolumab (480 mg IV Q3 wks) MSS-CRC (ongoing on study treatment 44 wks)
- Disease control rate (COM701 monotherapy 11/16 [69%]; COM701+nivolumab 9/12 [75%]) in diverse tumor types
 - Durable stable disease (SD ≥ 6 months) in 6/28 pts [Arm A: 2 pts, Arm B: 4 pts]
 - Arm A: Adenoid cystic CA, CRC-MSS
 - Arm B: Anal SCC, CRC-MSS, Endometrial, NSCLC (squamous)



© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Sullivan et al. AACR 2020



New Targets, old approach: mRNA-2752





mRNA-2752: Phase 1/1b trial



© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Patel et al. ASCO 2020





mRNA-2752: Phase 1/1b trial results

Best Response	Total
Arm A: monotherapy	N = 15
Stable Disease (SD)	5
Progression of disease (PD)	10
Arm B: combination	N = 8
Partial response (PR)	1
SD	4
PD	3





© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Patel et al. ASCO 2020



mRNA-2752 leads to increased levels of IL-23 and IL-36y but also IFNy, TNFa, and PD-L1





mRNA-2752: Summary

- IT (intertumoral) mRNA-2752 is safe and well tolerated
 - Associated with injection site reactions as single-agent
 - No increase in toxicity in combination with durvalumab
- Confirmed partial responses in 1 pt on Part B (combo)
 - Patient with squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder
- IT injection is associated with increase in IL-23 and IL-23y levels, as well as activation of markers of inflammation (IFN-gamma, TNF-alpha) and PD-L1
- Dose escalation continues with combination therapy, dose expansion planned for TNBC, HNSCC, NHL, 1L and 2L Bladder cancer



Patel et al. ASCO 2020





What about using biomarkers?

1. Biomarker enrichment (current strategy – PD-L1 in NSCLC)





What about using biomarkers?

2. Biomarker directed escalation







Proteomic Profiling

Proximity extension assay (PEA)*



pairs of antibodies



Extension reaction

Amplification



Detection and analysis

*Olink Proteomics

© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Mehta et al. ASCO 2020









Arnav Mehta MD, PhD

In collaboration with Genevieve Boland, Nir Hacohen, Keith Flaherty, and Olink (Marijana Rucevic)



© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Mehta et al. ASCO 2020



Differentially expressed proteins between responders and non-responders at baseline







© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Study Design and Survival Outcomes: CheckMate 064, 066, and 067



CheckMate 064: Association of Baseline and On-Treatment IL-6 Levels With BOR



CheckMate 064: Association of Baseline and On-Treatment IL-6 Levels With OS Across Treatment Arms



High baseline and on-treatment IL-6 levels were associated with shorter OS

*Median IL-6 at week 0: 13.3 pg/mL; *Median IL-6 at week 13: 13.6 pg/mL; *On-treatment at week 13; switch in treatment occurred at week 13. HR adjusted for The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG). BRAF status. M stage, and baseline lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). NR, not relevant

CheckMate 067: Association of Baseline IL-6 Levels With OS Across Treatment Arms



Priarmacy Association

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

IL-6 LLOQ: 11 pg/mL. HR adjusted for ECOG, BRAF, M stage, and baseline LDH.



Biomarker Enrichment?









Biomarker-Directed Escalation?

ACCC

🙏 НОРА

Csitc





Concluding remarks

Year	Drugs	Approval s	Diseases	Combos
2011	1	1	1	
2014	2	2	1	
2015	3	4	3	1
2016	3	5	4	
2017	4	10	7	
2018	5	12	10	5
2019	4	7	5	6
2020	6	8	8	3

Checkpoint inhibitor combinations are the present and future



New constructs are capable of delivering combination therapy safely and preliminarily effectively



Cytosol

New targets are being discovered and clinical trials have been launched

