Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Head and Neck Cancer

Kedar Kirtane MD
Assistant Member
Moffitt Cancer Center

Disclosures

- Ownership Interest Less than 5%: Seattle Genetics, Myovant Sciences
- I will be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Head and Neck Cancers

- Immuno-Oncology (I-O) developments in treatment of head and neck cancers
  - Expression of immunologic markers to guide treatment
  - Preventive vaccination against virally mediated cancers
  - Therapeutic vaccines for established cancers
  - CAR-T and cell-mediated therapies
  - Combinations with immunotherapies



Schoenfeld, Cancer Immunol Res, 2015

Approved checkpoint inhibitors in head and neck cancers

Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
Pembrolizumab	2016	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC, progression on/after chemotherapy	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W
Nivolumab	2016	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC, progression on/after chemotherapy	240 mg Q2W or 480 mg Q4W
Cemiplimab-rwlc	2018	Metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, not candidate for curative therapies (any site)	350 mg Q3W
Pembrolizumab + platinum + fluorouracil	2019	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC 1st line – all patients	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W
Pembrolizumab	2019	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC 1st line – PD-L1 CPS ≥ 1	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W

KEYNOTE-012: Pembrolizumab in R/M HNSCC

Nonrandomized, Phase 1b Trial, Cohorts† B, B2

Patients
- R/M HNSCC
- Measurable disease (RECIST v1.1)
- ECOG PS 0-1
- PD-L1+ (initial cohort)
- PD-L1+ or PD-L1- (expansion cohort)

Initial Cohort
Pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg Q2W
N = 60

Expansion Cohort*
Pembrolizumab 200 mg IV Q3W
N = 132

Continue until:
- 24 months of treatment‡
- Disease progression
- Death
- Withdrawal of consent
- Investigator decision

Combined analyses of Initial and Expansion cohorts

Response assessment: Every 8 weeks until disease progression

Primary end points: ORR (RECIST v1.1, central imaging vendor review), safety

Secondary end points: ORR (investigator), PFS, OS, duration of response (DOR), ORR in HPV+ patients §

†Additional cohorts included bladder cancer, TN breast cancer, and gastric cancer.
‡Treatment beyond progression was allowed.
§ Initial cohort only.
*Median duration of disease not reached.

Seiwert, ASCO 2017.

KEYNOTE-012: Pembrolizumab in R/M HNSCC

Nonrandomized, Phase 1b Trial, Cohorts† B, B2

- ORR = 18%
  - CR = 4%
  - PR = 14%
- mOS = 8.0 months
- mPFS = 2.1 months





Seiwert, ASCO 2017.
Mehra, Br J Can 2018.

KEYNOTE-055: Pembrolizumab in R/M HNSCC after Progression on Platinum/Cetuximab
Phase II Trial, Single Arm

Patients (n=171):
- R/M HNSCC
- Resistant to platinum and cetuximab*
- Measurable disease (RECIST v1.1)
- ECOG PS 0-1

→ **Pembrolizumab** 200 mg IV Q3W Fixed dose →

Continue until:
- 24 months of treatment
- PD
- Intolerable toxicity
- Investigator/ patient decision

→ **Safety and Survival Follow-up**

Response assessment: Imaging every 6 to 9 weeks (central radiology review)

Primary end points: ORR (RECIST v1.1) by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors and safety

Secondary end points: ORR (RECIST v1.1) in all dosed patients, ORR for HPV+, PD-L1+, DOR, PFS, OS

*75% of patients had ≥ 2 prior lines of therapy for metastatic disease

Bauml, J Clin Oncol 2017.

KEYNOTE-055: Pembrolizumab in R/M HNSCC after Progression on Platinum/Cetuximab
Phase II Trial, Single Arm



Bauml, J Clin Oncol 2017.

© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

CheckMate 141: Nivolumab vs Investigator's Choice in R/M HNSCC after Platinum Therapy

Phase III Randomized, Safety and Efficacy Trial

Key Eligibility Criteria
- R/M SCCHN of the oral cavity, pharynx, or larynx
- Progression on or within 6 months of last dose of platinum-based therapy
- Irrespective of no. of prior lines of therapy
- Documentation of p16 to determine HPV status (oropharyngeal)
- Regardless of PD-L1 status[a]

Stratification factor
- Prior cetuximab treatment

R 2:1

Nivolumab
3 mg/kg IV Q2W

Vs.

Investigator's Choice
- Methotrexate 40 mg/m² IV weekly
- Docetaxel 30 mg/m² IV weekly
- Cetuximab 400 mg/m² IV once, then 250 mg/m² weekly

Primary endpoint
- OS

Other endpoints
- PFS
- ORR
- Safety
- DOR
- Biomarkers
- Quality of life

[a]Tissue required for testing

DOR = duration of response; IV = intravenous; ORR = objective response rate; PFS = progression-free survival; Q2W = once every 2 weeks; R = randomized. Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02105636.

Ferris & Gillison, NEJM 2016.

Checkmate 141: Nivolumab vs Investigator's Choice in R/M HNSCC after Platinum Therapy



Ferris & Gillison, NEJM 2016.

Cemiplimab in advanced/metastatic cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma

Key Eligibility Criteria
- Advanced cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma (any site)
- Not eligible for surgery
- ECOG 0-1
- ≥1 assessable lesion

→ **Cemiplimab**
3 mg/kg IV Q2W →

Primary endpoint
- Response rate

Other endpoints
- Duration of response
- PFS
- OS
- Side effects
- Durable disease control

Migden, NEJM 2018.

Cemiplimab in advanced/metastatic cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma

- Cemiplimab 3 mg/kg Q2W
- 47% response rate in metastatic patients
- 60% of locally advanced had objective response





Migden, NEJM 2018.

KEYNOTE-048: Pembrolizumab +/- Chemotherapy in newly diagnosed R/M HNSCC



[a]Assessed using the PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx assay (Agilent). TPS = tumor proportion score = % of tumor cells with membranous PD-L1 expression. [b]Assessed using the CINtec p16 Histology assay (Ventana); cutpoint for positivity = 70%. [c]Following a loading dose of 400 mg/m².

Rischin, ASCO 2019.

KEYNOTE-048: Pembrolizumab +/- Chemotherapy in newly diagnosed R/M HNSCC

OS, P+C vs E, Total Population



[a]At IA2 (data cutoff date: Jun 13, 2018); HR 0.77 (95% CI 0.53–0.93). FA (data cutoff date: Feb 25, 2019).

OS, P vs E, Total Population



[a]Not statistically significant at the superiority threshold of $P = 0.0059$. FA (data cutoff date: Feb 25, 2019).

Rischin, ASCO 2019.

KEYNOTE-048: Pembrolizumab +/- Chemotherapy in newly diagnosed R/M HNSCC

Summary of Overall Survival

Population	IA2[1] HR (95% CI)	FA HR (95% CI)
Pembrolizumab monotherapy vs EXTREME		
PD-L1 CPS ≥20	0.61 (0.45–0.83); *P* = 0.0007[a]	0.58 (0.44–0.78)[c]
PD-L1 CPS ≥1	0.78 (0.64–0.96); *P* = 0.0086[a]	0.74 (0.61–0.90)[c]
Total	0.85 (0.71–1.03)[b]	0.83 (0.70–0.99); *P* = 0.0199[d]
Pembrolizumab + chemotherapy vs EXTREME		
PD-L1 CPS ≥20	—	0.60 (0.45–0.82); *P* = 0.0004[a]
PD-L1 CPS ≥1	—	0.65 (0.53–0.80); *P* < 0.0001[a]
Total	0.77 (0.63–0.93); *P* = 0.0034[a,b]	0.72 (0.60–0.87)[c]

[a]Superiority demonstrated. [b]Noninferiority demonstrated (boundary of 1.2). [c]No statistical testing performed. [d]Superiority not demonstrated.
1. Burtness B et al. *Ann Oncol* 2018;29(suppl 8):LBA8_PR.

Rischin, ASCO 2019.

Evaluating Biomarkers in HNSCC

- Only indication that relies on PD-L1 expression: pembrolizumab monotherapy in 1st line HNSCC – CPS ≥ 1 (KEYNOTE-048)
- All other approvals not dependent on PD-L1 expression
  - KEYNOTE-012/055: Response rates not significantly different on the basis of tumor PD-L1 staining
  - Checkmate 141: Most benefit seen in PD-L1 positive tumors
  - KEYNOTE-040: pembrolizumab vs investigator's choice chemotherapy – did not meet survival endpoints in total population but improved outcomes in PD-L1-expressors

Evaluating Biomarkers in HNSCC

CheckMate 141: 2 year update

PD-L1 ≥ 1%

PD-L1 expressors	Median OS (95% CI), mo	HR (95% CI)
Nivolumab (n = 96)	8.2 (6.7–9.5)	0.55 (0.39–0.78)
IC (n = 63)	4.7 (3.8–6.2)	



PD-L1 < 1%

PD-L1 non-expressors	Median OS (95% CI), mo	HR (95% CI)
Nivolumab (n = 76)	6.5 (4.4–11.7)	0.73 (0.49–1.09)
IC (n = 40)	5.5 (3.7–8.5)	



Ferris, Oral Oncol 2018.

In development:
T-VEC + pembrolizumab
KEYNOTE-137

- T-Vec 10^6 PFU/mL intratumoral injection followed by 10^8 PFU/mL Q3W
- Pembrolizumab 200 mg IV Q3W
- Eligibility:
  - R/M HNSCC not suitable for curative therapy
  - Progressed after platinum treatment
  - At least 1 injectable cutaneous, subcutaneous, or nodal tumor ≥ 10 mm in longest diameter
- ORR: 16.7%

Harrington, ASCO 2018.

In development: Checkpoint inhibitors + radiotherapy

- NCT03247712: neoadjuvant nivolumab + SBRT
  - Decreased tumor size prior to surgery; high pathologic CR rate
- KEYNOTE-412: pembrolizumab + chemoradiation
  - Safety confirmed
- REACH: avelumab + cetuximab + radiation
  - Safety confirmed

Leidner, AACR 2019.
Siu, AACR 2018.
Tao, ASCO 2018.

Conclusions

- Cytotoxic chemotherapy achieves limited survival with unfavorable side effects.
- Checkpoint inhibitors that target the PD-1 axis, nivolumab and pembrolizumab, are approved in platinum-refractory/exposed recurrent/metastatic HNSCC.
- Nivolumab and pembrolizumab are in general better tolerated than cytotoxic chemotherapy.
- Ongoing areas of research include: combinations of immunotherapy with radiation and/or other drugs, development of predictive biomarkers and approaches to overcoming resistance.

Resources



Cohen *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2019) 7:184
https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0662-5

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES Open Access

The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (HNSCC)

Ezra E. W. Cohen[1], R. Bryan Bell[2], Carlo B. Bifulco[2], Barbara Burtness[3], Maura L. Gillison[4], Kevin J. Harrington[5], Quynh-Thu Le[6], Nancy Y. Lee[7], Rom Leidner[2], Rebecca L. Lewis[8], Lisa Licitra[9], Hisham Mehanna[10], Loren K. Mell[1], Adam Raben[11], Andrew G. Sikora[12], Ravindra Uppaluri[13], Fernanda Whitworth[14], Dan P. Zandberg[8] and Robert L. Ferris[8*]

Case Studies

Case Study 1

- 70 year-old male presented in January of 2019 with a palpable lymph node on the R side of his neck. He was found to have an oropharyngeal primary, p16-negative. He had no distant disease and was given concurrent cisplatin + radiation therapy. Unfortunately on his post-treatment imaging, he was found to have metastatic disease in his lungs and liver. His ECOG status is 0. A combined positive score (CPS) score is found to be 15.

  What would be your recommended treatment?

- A) Platinum/5-fluorouracil/cetuximab
- B) Pembrolizumab +/- platinum/5-fluorouracil
- C) Docetaxel
- D) Carboplatin/paclitaxel

Case Study 1

- B) Pembrolizumab/platinum/5-fluorouracil

  -Data suggest there is an overall survival benefit to the use of first-line pembrolizumab/platinum-5/fluorouracil over a cetuximab-based regimen per protocol-specified final analysis of the phase 3 KEYNOTE-048 trial

Case Study 2

- 55 year-old patient presents with progressive swallowing difficulty. An initial CT scan of the neck/thorax/abdomen/pelvis demonstrates metastatic disease in the lungs and a primary tumor of the oral cavity. His oncologist checks a CPS score, and it is 0. The patient is given carboplatin/5-fluorouracil/cetuximab and unfortunately has progression of disease. His ECOG status is 0.

  What would be your next recommended treatment?

- A) Nivolumab
- B) Pembrolizumab
- C) Carboplatin/paclitaxel
- D) A and B

Case Study 2

- D) A and B – Both nivolumab or pembrolizumab are approved by the FDA for patients whose cancer has progressed on platinum-based chemotherapy. Nivolumab was approved based on results of CHECKMATE-141 while pembrolizumab was approved based on KEYNOTE-012 and KEYNOTE-040

© 2019–2020 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer