Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

ADVANCES IN Cancer IMMUNOTHERAPY™

Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Genitourinary Malignancies

Matthew Campbell MD, MS
Assistant Professor, Genitourinary Medical Oncology
UT MD Anderson Cancer Center

AAEM AMERICAN ACADEMY OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE
CHAMPION OF THE EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN

ACCC Association of Community Cancer Centers

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Disclosures

- Advisory Boards/Honorarium:
  - Eisai, EMD Serono, Pfizer, Genentech, AstraZeneca
- Consulting:
  - Apricity health
- Non-branded educational programs:
  - BMS, Roche, Merck, Pfizer/EMD Serono

I will not be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

Immunotherapy for Metastatic Kidney Cancer (Renal Cell Carcinoma; RCC)

Surgically resectable → Oligo-metastatic → Metastatic



reemakeup.blogspot.com

History of Immunotherapy in mRCC



FDA-approved Immunotherapies for mRCC

Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
High dose Interluekin-2	1992	Metastatic RCC	600,000 International Units/kg (0.037 mg/kg) IV q8hr infused over 15 minutes for a maximum 14 doses, THEN 9 days of rest, followed by a maximum of 14 more doses (1 course)*
Interferon-a (with bevacizumab)	2009	Clear cell RCC***	9 MIU s.c. three times a week
Nivolumab	2015	Clear cell RCC Refractory to prior VEGF Targeted therapy	3mg/kg 240mg IV q 2 week or 480mg IV q 4 wks
Nivolumab +ipilimumab	2018	Clear cell RCC, treatement naïve	3mg/kg nivo plus 1mg/kg ipi q3 wks x 4 doses then nivo maintenance at flat dosing

*Retreatment: Evaluate after 4 weeks, advisable only if tumor shrinkage and no retreatment contraindications (see package insert for details)

High Dose IL-2 in mRCC

- 20 year analysis of 259 patients
- ORR = 20%
  - 9% CR (n = 23)
  - 12% PR (n = 30)
- Median duration of response = 15.5 months
- Median OS = 19 months



Klapper et al. Cancer 2008

Second-Line Nivolumab in mRCC

- CheckMate 025 Phase III trial
- Nivolumab = anti-PD-1 antibody
- Metastatic, clear-cell disease
- One or two previous antiangiogenic treatments
- Nivolumab (3 mg/kg IV Q2W) vs everolimus (10 mg daily)



Motzer et al. NEJM 2015

Second-Line Nivolumab in mRCC

PD-L1 subgroups

PD-L1 ≥ 1%



PD-L1 < 1%



Motzer et al. NEJM 2015

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC

Escudier et al. ESMO 2017



Nivolumab = anti-PD-1 antibody

Ipilimumab = anti-CTLA-4 antibody

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC



Motzer et al. NEJM 2018

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC

PD-L1 Subgroups



Motzer et al. NEJM 2018

In Development: First-line Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab in PD-L1+ mRCC



Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	
Atezo + Bev	11.2 (8.9, 15.0)
Sunitinib	7.7 (6.8, 9.7)
HR, 0.74 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.96)	
P = 0.02[a]	

Motzer et al. ASCO GU 2018
Escudier et al. ASCO 2018

In Development: First-line Checkpoint Inhibitors + Axitinib in mRCC

JAVELIN Renal 101



Motzer et al. ESMO 2018

- KEYNOTE-426
  - Pembrolizumab + axitinib in mRCC
  - Positive for OS and PFS (10/18/2018)

In Development: First-line Pembrolizumab in mRCC

KEYNOTE - 427



	N = 110
Confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	38 (29 – 48)
Confirmed BOR, n (%)	
CR	3 (3)
PR	39 (35)
SD	35 (32)
PD	31 (28)
No assessment	2 (2)

Donskov et al. ESMO 2018

Immunotherapy for Metastatic Bladder Cancer (Urothelial Carcinoma; UC)

Non-Muscle Invasive → Muscle Invasive → Metastatic

TNM	TIS	T_a	T_1	T_{2A}	T_{2B}	T_{3B}	T_{4A}
JSM	0	0	A	B_1	B_2	C	D_1



Approved Checkpoint Inhibitors for mUC

Cisplatin Refractory

Drug/Trial name	Phase	No. of patients	ORR	PFS	OS	Duration of response	Grade 3/4 AE (treatment related deaths)	Maximal duration of treatment
CISPLATIN REFRACTORY								
Atezolizumab IMvigor210 cohort 2	II	310	16% (6% CR)	2.1 mo	7.9 mo (1yr 29%)	22.1 mo	18% (0 deaths)	NR
Atezolizumab IMvigor211	III	931	13%	NR	8.6 mo	21.7 mo	20%	NR
Pembrolizumab KEYNOTE-045	III	542	21%	2.1 mo	10.3 mo	NR	14% (4 deaths)	2 years
Nivolumab CheckMate275	II	265	19.6% (2% CR)	2 mo	8.7 mo	NR	18% (3 deaths)	NR
Avelumab JAVELIN	Ib	242*	17% (6% CR)	6.6 weeks	6.5 mo	NR	10% (1 death)	NR
Durvalumab	I/II	191	17.8% (4% CR)	1.5 mo	18.2 mo	NR	7% (2 deaths)	1 year

Anti-PD-L1 Antibodies

1) Atezolizumab
2) Avelumab
3) Durvalumab

Anti-PD-1 Antibodies

1) Nivolumab
2) Pembrolizumab

In development: Combinations

1) IO + IO
2) IO + Chemotherapy

Ghatalia et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2018

Approved Checkpoint Inhibitors for mUC

Cisplatin Ineligible

CISPLATIN INELIGIBLE								
Atezolizumab IMvigor210 cohort 1	II	119	23% (9% CR)	2.7 mo	15.9 mo, 1yr 57%	NR	16% (1 death)	NR
Pembrolizumab KEYNOTE-052	II	370	29% (7% CR)	6mo 30%	6 mo 67%	NR	19% (1 death)	2 years

Anti-PD-L1 Antibodies

1) Atezolizumab
   - PD-L1 stained tumor-infiltrating immune cells [IC] covering ≥5% of the tumor area

Anti-PD-1 Antibodies

1) Pembrolizumab
   - PD-L1 CPS ≥ 10

In development: Combinations

1) IO + IO
2) IO + Chemotherapy

Ghatalia et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2018

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) May Signal Responses with PD-1 Blockade

Atezolizumab in mUC



Rosenberg et al. Lancet 2016

The Spectrum of Prostate Cancer



Sipuleucel-T in mCRPC

- First anticancer therapeutic vaccine



Drake et al. Curr Opin Urol 2010



Kantoff et al. NEJM 2010

Limited efficacy of Checkpoint Inhibitors in mCRPC

No FDA-approved CIs for mCRPC

Ex. – KEYNOTE-199 (Pembrolizumab)



DeBono et al. ASCO 2018

- Pembrolizumab is approved for all Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) solid tumors
- MSI-H incidence is low in PC
  - Localized PC ~2%
  - Autopsy series of mCRPC ~12%
- MSI testing may offer pembrolizumab as an option

Future Combinations in mCRPC to Engage Immune System

- Hormonal therapy
- Radiation
- Radium-223
- PARP inhibitors
- Chemotherapy
- New targets



Stein et al. Asian J Andrology 2014

irAEs with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in GU Cancers

Meta-analysis of 8 studies

- Similar incidence overall

Adverse event	Incidence, any grade (GU only trials) (%)	Incidence, grades 3–5 (GU only trials) (%)	Incidence any grade (non-GU clinical trials) (%)	Incidence, grades 3–5 (non-GU clinical trials) (%)
Hypothyroid/ thyroiditis	0.8–9	0–0.6	3.9–12	0–0.1
Diabetes/DKA	0–1.5	0–0.7	0.8–0.8	0.4–0.7
LFT changes/ hepatitis	1.5–5.4	1–3.8	0.3–3.4	0.3–2.7
Pneumonitis	2–4.4	0–2	1.8–3.5	0.25–1.9
Encephalitis	NR	NR	0.2–0.8	0.0–0.2
Colitis/diarrhea	1–10	1–10	2.4–4.1	1.0–2.5
Hypophysitis	0–0.5	0–0.2	0.2–0.9	0.2–0.4
Renal Dysfunction/ nephritis	0.3–1.6	0–1.6	0.3–4.9	0.0–0.5
Myositis	0.8–5	0–0.8	NR	NR

Maughan et al. Front Oncol 2017

Immune-related Adverse Events

Table 2 General guidance for corticosteroid management of immune-related adverse events

Grade of immune-related AE (CTCAE/equivalent)	Corticosteroid management	Additional notes
1	• Corticosteroids not usually indicated	• Continue immunotherapy
2	• If indicated, start oral prednisone 0.5-1 mg/kg/day if patient can take oral medication. • If IV required, start methylprednisolone 0.5-1 mg/kg/day IV • If no improvement in 2–3 days, increase corticosteroid dose to 2 mg/kg/day • Once improved to ≤grade 1 AE, start 4–6 week steroid taper	• Hold immunotherapy during corticosteroid use • Continue immunotherapy once resolved to ≤grade 1 and off corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis
3	• Start prednisone 1-2 mg/kg/day (or equivalent dose of methylprednisolone) • If no improvement in 2–3 days, add additional/alternative immune suppressant • Once improved to ≤ grade 1, start 4–6-week steroid taper • Provide supportive treatment as needed	• Hold immunotherapy; if symptoms do not improve in 4–6 weeks, discontinue immunotherapy • Consider intravenous corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis • Add PCP prophylaxis if more than 3 weeks of immunosuppression expected (>30 mg prednisone or equivalent/day)
4	• Start prednisone 1-2 mg/kg/day (or equivalent dose of methylprednisolone) • If no improvement in 2–3 days, add additional/alternative immune suppressant, e.g., infliximab • Provide supportive care as needed	• Discontinue immunotherapy • Continue intravenous corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis • Add PCP prophylaxis if more than 3 weeks of immunosuppression expected (>30 mg prednisone or equivalent/day)

Puzanov Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer 2017

Additional Resources



Rini *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:81
DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0180-7

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma

Brian I. Rini[1], David F. McDermott[2], Hans Hammers[3], William Bro[4], Ronald M. Bukowski[5], Bernard Faba[6], Jo Faba[6], Robert A. Figlin[7], Thomas Hutson[8], Eric Jonasch[9], Richard W. Joseph[10], Bradley C. Leibovich[11], Thomas Olencki[12], Allan J. Pantuck[13], David I. Quinn[14], Virginia Seery[2], Martin H. Voss[15], Christopher G. Wood[9], Laura S. Wood[1] and Michael B. Atkins[16*]

Kamat *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2017) 5:68
DOI 10.1186/s40425-017-0271-0

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of bladder carcinoma

Ashish M. Kamat[1*], Joaquim Bellmunt[2], Matthew D. Galsky[3], Badrinath R. Konety[4], Donald L. Lamm[5], David Langham[6], Cheryl T. Lee[7], Matthew I. Milowsky[8], Michael A. O'Donnell[9], Peter H. O'Donnell[10], Daniel P. Petrylak[11], Padmanee Sharma[12], Ella C. Skinner[13], Guru Sonpavde[14], John A. Taylor III[15], Prasanth Abraham[16] and Jonathan E. Rosenberg[17]



McNeel *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:92
DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0198-x

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of prostate carcinoma

Douglas G. McNeel[1], Neil H. Bander[2], Tomasz M. Beer[3], Charles G. Drake[4], Lawrence Fong[5], Stacey Harrelson[6], Philip W. Kantoff[7], Ravi A. Madan[8], William K. Oh[9], David J. Peace[10], Daniel P. Petrylak[11], Hank Porterfield[12], Oliver Sartor[13], Neal D. Shore[6], Susan F. Slovin[7], Mark N. Stein[14], Johannes Vieweg[15] and James L. Gulley[16*]

Case Study 1

69yo male with hoarseness, shortness of breath, fatigue and weight loss

Imaging identifies lung metastasis, numerous, adrenal metastasis, liver metastasis
Poor risk disease: anemia, high calcium, primary tumor in place





Two doses major response





Surgery

- (A) RIGHT KIDNEY:
- MINUTE FOCUS OF RESIDUAL CLEAR CELL RENAL CELL CARCINOMA WITH THERAPY EFFECT. (SEE
- COMMENT AND CAP PROTOCOL)
- Extensive necrosis, hyalinization, reactive stromal changes, hemosiderin-laden macrophages, foamy histiocytes,
- fibrosis, hemorrhage and inflammation, consistent with therapy effect.

Continued response on maintenance nivolumab 9 months later



- What is the optimal duration of immunotherapy in patients who have a CR or a deep PR (if there is such a thing?)
  - A. Two years of therapy if no toxicity
  - B. Indefinite therapy
  - C. 6 months after CR then stop
  - D. One year of therapy then stop
  - E. Undefined

1 Year After Starting



Is the Patient Cured?



Case 2

- 83yo retired veteran presents with acute renal failure found to be due to obstructive uropathy



Next Steps

- Patient had bilateral percutaneous nephrostomy tubes placed

- Cystoscopy + TURBT outside bulky tumor in bladder trigone, specimen high grade urothelial cancer invasion into lamina propria, no muscularis propria present

What to Do

- Repeat cystoscopy 5cm mass excised, EUA with fixed irregular mass fixed to anterior rectum



DIAGNOSIS

(A) BLADDER TUMOR:
HIGH GRADE UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA, WIDELY INVASIVE INTO MUSCULARIS PROPRIA. (SEE COMMENT)
LYMPHOVASCULAR INVASION IS PRESENT.

Entire report and diagnosis completed by Priya Rao MD 12141

Other important details…
Hgb 7.9, BUN 18, Cr 2.52: GFR Cockgroft Gault 26ml/min
ECHO: LVEF 52%, Severe AS, AVA 0.5cm2/m2

So What Next

- On work up T4bN0M0 stage IV
- Ordered biomarkers, MSI status, molecular alterations panels, Her2neu status, PD-L1 testing
- Stared on immunotherapy
- Valve replaced

Patient started on immunotherapy

Pre-treatment



Post-treatment



Repeat Cystoscopy with TURBT

OPERATIVE FINDINGS:

1. Urethra: No tumor noted
2. Ureteral orifices: Clear efflux at end of case from right.
3. Bladder: The bladder showed no tumors, stones, or other abnormalities of the mucosa. The area of the prior tumor appeared to have converted to fibrotic tissue and hence was biopsied to confirm no tumor histologically.
4. Size of tumor: Aggregate size of resection/biopsy site approximately 3 cm. Largest tumor/lesion size approximately 3 cm
5. EUA: some thickening in area of tumor.
6. Good hemostasis at end of procedure

SPECIMENS: Bladder tumor/biopsy specimens as noted.

DIAGNOSIS

(A) BLADDER BIOPSY TRIGONE:

Partially denudated urothelial mucosa with acute and chronic inflammation, no tumor present. Muscularis propria is present.

Case 2: Question 1

- What is the cut off staining for PD-L testing that you need to start this patient on immunotherapy?
  - A. DAKO 22C3 (Pembro companion) 1% in tumor cells or immune cells
  - B. DAKO 22C3 5% in tumor cells or immune cells
  - C. DAKO 22C3 10% in tumor cells or immune cells
  - D. VENTANA SP142 (Atezo companion) 5% in immune cells
  - E. It doesn't matter

- Dako 22C3 10% positivity of tumor membrane
- MMR proteins intake

Case 2: Question 2

- Do patients in a CR from immunotherapy in urothelial cancer require surgery?
  - A. Yes
  - B. No
  - C. It depends
  - D. It is unclear