


# Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Hematologic Malignancies

Nilanjan Ghosh, MD, PhD  
Chief, Lymphoma Division  
Levine Cancer Institute

# Disclosures

- **Research support:**
  - Celgene, Genentech, Forty Seven Inc, TG Therapeutics, Pharmacyclics
- **Speakers bureau:**
  - Celgene, Seattle Genetics, Janssen, Pharmacyclics, AbbVie, Gilead, and Astra Zeneca
- **Consulting:**
  - Celgene, TG Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, Janssen, Gilead, and Pharmacyclics
- I will be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.



# Checkpoint inhibitors

# FDA-approved Checkpoint inhibitors: Lymphoma


Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
Nivolumab	2016	Classical Hodgkin lymphoma, relapsed after HSCT and brentuximab vedotin or $\geq 3$ previous therapies	240 mg q2w or 480 mg q4w
Pembrolizumab	2017	Adult/pediatric refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma or relapsed after 3 previous therapies	200 mg q3w adults 2 mg/kg (up to 200 mg) q3w (pediatric)
Pembrolizumab	2018	Adult/pediatric refractory primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma or relapsed after 2 previous therapies	200 mg q3W adults 2 mg/kg (up to 200 mg) q3w (pediatric)

# Checkpoint inhibitors: Hodgkin Lymphoma

## Checkmate-205

ORR = 69%

CR = 16%




No. at risk:									
CR	40	40	40	40	40	39	26	16	7
PR	128	128	126	123	113	97	59	34	10
SD	47	46	45	44	42	39	25	16	3
PD	23	21	17	15	13	11	5	4	3

## Keynote-087


ORR = 69%

CR = 22.4%

Activity seen regardless of PD-L1 expression





# Pembrolizumab in Primary Mediastinal Large B cell Lymphoma





# In development: Macrophage checkpoint: CD47

- Phase 1b: Hu5F9-G4 + rituximab in rituximab refractory disease
- DLBCL – ORR = 40%, CR = 33%
- Follicular lymphoma – ORR = 71%, CR = 43%







# Bi-specific T-cell engagers (BiTEs)

# BiTE (Blinatumomab) Therapy


- Facilitates T cell engagement with CD19+ tumor cells (Similar to CD19 CAR T)
- Approval:
  - Adult/pediatric R/R B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia
  - Adult/pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in 1st or 2nd complete remission, MRD  $\geq 0.1\%$



# Blinatumomab: B-ALL



## A Overall Survival



## No. at Risk



	271	176	124	79	45	27	9	4	0
Blinatumomab	271	176	124	79	45	27	9	4	0
Chemotherapy	134	71	41	27	17	7	4	1	0

# Antibody-drug conjugates (ADC)

# FDA-Approved Antibody-Drug Conjugates

Drug	Target antigen	Year of approval	Indication
Brentuximab vedotin	CD30	2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Classical Hodgkin lymphoma, relapsed after HSCT or <math>\geq 2</math> previous therapies</li> <li>Anaplastic large cell lymphoma <math>\geq 1</math> previous therapies</li> </ul>
		2018	cHL - first line with combination chemo sALCL and CD30 pos PTCL- first line with combination chemo
Inotuzumab ozogamicin	CD22	2017	Relapsed/refractory/MRD+ B-cell ALL
Polatuzumab vedotin (w/ bendamustine & rituximab)	CD79b	2019	DLBCL $\geq 2$ previous therapies

# Polatuzumab vedotin: DLBCL



Polatuzumab vedotin has demonstrated efficacy in R/R DLBCL in combination with rituximab<sup>1,2</sup> and rituximab-bendamustine<sup>3</sup>



Treatment	Best overall response
Pola +/- rituximab	51–56% <sup>1,2</sup>
Pola + rituximab + bendamustine	68% <sup>3</sup>

ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; MMAE, monomethyl auristatin E

1. Palanca-Wessels A, et al. Lancet Oncol 2015;16:704–15; 2. Morschhauser F, et al. Lancet Hematology 2019;6:e254–65; 3. Sehn H, et al. Blood 2018;132:1683

# Polatuzumab vedotin: DLBCL



- Randomized phase 2 study
- Pola-BR vs. BR in R/R DLBCL
- Higher CR = 40% vs. 18% (p: 0.03)
- Median PFS = 7.6 m (HR=0.34, p<0.01)
- Median OS = 12.4 m (HR=0.42, p<0.01)
- Ongoing phase 3 (POLARIX)
- Frontline DLBCL- R-CHOP vs R-CHP+Pola





# Inotuzumab ozogamicin for ALL


- Anti-CD22 antibody conjugated to calicheamicin
- Higher response, MRD-negativity, PFS, and OS than standard-of-care




# Chimeric Antigen Receptor Therapy (CAR T)

# Chimeric antigen receptors

- Specific and potent: B - specific, T - toxic
- Overcome immune tolerance
- Targets surface molecules in native conformation
- Independent of antigen presenting cell and MHC complex




# CAR T manufacturing and administration



# CAR T Side Effects

- Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS)
- Neurotoxicity
- B Cell aplasia
- Macrophage Activation Syndrome (MAS)/HLH

# CAR T Side Effects





# FDA-Approved CAR T cell therapies

DRUG	APPROVED	INDICATION	DOSE
Axicabtagene ciloleucel	2017	Adults with r/r large B-cell lymphoma. Including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma	$2 \times 10^6$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells per kg bodyweight (up to $2 \times 10^8$ )
Tisagenlecleucel	2017	Patients $\leq 25$ yr with refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia or in 2+ relapse	$0.2\text{--}0.5 \times 10^6$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells per kg if under 50 kg $0.1\text{--}2.5 \times 10^8$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells if over 50 kg
Tisagenlecleucel	2018	Adults with r/r large B-cell lymphoma after 2+ therapies Including DLBCL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma	$0.6\text{--}6.0 \times 10^8$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells




# Eligibility considerations for CAR

- Disease
  - Relative stability during CAR T manufacturing (~2-6 weeks)
  - Bridging therapy (chemo, RT, steroids, lenalidomide, ibrutinib)
  - CNS control
- Patient
  - Adequate cell counts
  - DVT, bleeding, infection, neuro disorders
  - Functional status: at screen vs. day of CAR T infusion
- Other
  - Social support, reimbursement


# CD19 CAR in DLBCL- ZUMA1 (Axi-cel)

- CD19/CD28 $\zeta$
- ORR = 82%
- CR = 54%
- 1.5-yr estimated OS = 52%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 13%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 28%




# CD19 CAR in DLBCL - JULIET (Tisa-cel)

- CD19/4-1-BB
- ORR = 52%
- CR = 40%
- 1-yr estimated OS = 49%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 18%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 11%




# CD19 CAR in DLBCL - TRANSCEND (Liso-Cel)

- CD19/4-1-BB, CD4:CD8 = 1:1
- ORR = 75%
- CR = 55%
- 1-yr estimated OS = 59%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 1%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 13%



# CD19 CAR in B-ALL: ELIANA (Tisa-cel)

- CD19/4-1-BB
- ORR = 81%
- CR = 60%, CRi = 21%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 47%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 13%



- B cell maturation antigen (BCMA)
- Phase I CRB-401 study
- Previously treated patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma
- ORR: 85%, CR: 45%

No. at Risk																						
<150×10 <sup>6</sup> CAR+ T cells	3	3	2	0																		
≥150×10 <sup>6</sup> CAR+ T cells	30	30	28	27	26	26	17	14	14	12	12	11	8	7	6	5	5	5	3	2	2	0

# Conclusions

- Many immunotherapy options for hematological malignancies
- Checkpoint inhibitors for Hodgkin lymphoma and PMBCL – high response rate, excellent tolerance, durable responses if CR
- Blinatumomab and inotuzumab for ALL – effective salvage, deeper remissions
- Polatuzumab vedotin for DLBCL – effective salvage, potential to become frontline
- CAR T therapy – ever-increasing indications; patient selection and toxicity management still concerns



# Additional Resources

Boyiadzis et al. *Journal for Immunotherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:90  
 DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0188-z

Journal for Immunotherapy  
 of Cancer

**POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES**

**Open Access**



## The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of hematologic malignancies: multiple myeloma, lymphoma, and acute leukemia


Michael Boyiadzis<sup>1†</sup>, Michael R. Bishop<sup>2†</sup>, Rafat Abonour<sup>3</sup>, Kenneth C. Anderson<sup>4</sup>, Stephen M. Ansell<sup>5</sup>,  
 David Avigan<sup>6</sup>, Lisa Barbarotta<sup>7</sup>, Austin John Barrett<sup>8</sup>, Koen Van Besien<sup>9</sup>, P. Leif Bergsagel<sup>10</sup>, Ivan Borrello<sup>11</sup>,  
 Joshua Brody<sup>12</sup>, Jill Brufsky<sup>13</sup>, Mitchell Cairo<sup>14</sup>, Ajai Chari<sup>12</sup>, Adam Cohen<sup>15</sup>, Jorge Cortes<sup>16</sup>, Stephen J. Forman<sup>17</sup>,  
 Jonathan W. Friedberg<sup>18</sup>, Ephraim J. Fuchs<sup>19</sup>, Steven D. Gore<sup>20</sup>, Sundar Jagannath<sup>12</sup>, Brad S. Kahl<sup>21</sup>, Justin Kline<sup>22</sup>,  
 James N. Kochenderfer<sup>23</sup>, Larry W. Kwak<sup>24</sup>, Ronald Levy<sup>25</sup>, Marcos de Lima<sup>26</sup>, Mark R. Litow<sup>27</sup>, Anuj Mahindra<sup>28</sup>,  
 Jeffrey Miller<sup>29</sup>, Nikhil C. Munshi<sup>30</sup>, Robert Z. Orlowski<sup>31</sup>, John M. Pagel<sup>32</sup>, David L. Porter<sup>33</sup>, Stephen J. Russell<sup>5</sup>,  
 Karl Schwartz<sup>34</sup>, Margaret A. Shipp<sup>35</sup>, David Siegel<sup>36</sup>, Richard M. Stone<sup>4</sup>, Martin S. Tallman<sup>37</sup>, John M. Timmerman<sup>38</sup>,  
 Frits Van Rhee<sup>39</sup>, Edmund K. Waller<sup>40</sup>, Ann Welsh<sup>41</sup>, Michael Werner<sup>42</sup>, Peter H. Wiernik<sup>43</sup>  
 and Madhav V. Dhodapkar<sup>44\*</sup>

# Case Studies

# Case study

- 33 y/o HIV positive male
- Stage IV classical Hodgkin with bone marrow involvement in 2007
- ABVD x 6 completed 9/2007
- Relapsed Classical HL 6/2014 in the setting of poorly controlled HIV

Salvage Therapy	Timeline	Response
ICE	6/14-8/14	PD
Bretuximab	9/14-11/14	PD
GVD	11/14-1/15	PD
Brentuximab+Bendamustine	2/15-4/15	PD



# Case Study

4/27/15:

Failed 4 lines of salvage chemotherapy

Fevers, NS, and 50lb wt loss

Presence of extra nodal disease in liver and bones

Severe pain due to progressive bulky adenopathy and osseous involvement




HIV well controlled: HIV RNA detected at <20 copies/ml, CD8 normal.

What is the next best step in management?

1. Refer to Hospice
2. Start a PD1 inhibitor
3. Autologous transplant
4. Allogeneic transplant

# Case Study

## Pre-Nivolumab (4/27/15)





5/1/15: Started Nivolumab

6/5/15:

- 22 lb weight gain
- Marked reduction in palpable adenopathy,
- Resolution of NS and fevers

## Post-Nivolumab (8/13/15)



# Case Study


- What would you do next?
  - a) LN biopsy
  - b) Continue nivolumab
  - c) Stop nivolumab
  - d) a and b



# Case Study

- 10/26/15:
  - Remained asymptomatic
  - PET CT-New hypermetabolic adenopathy in mediastinum, spleen
  - Persistent hypermetabolic adenopathy right pelvis

- Right iliac LN biopsy  
11/9/15:




- He continued on Nivolumab and continued to derive clinical benefit



# Case Study

- 3/31/16: resolution of all sites of disease
- Remained on Nivolumab and is asymptomatic.
- HIV remains in good control



# Questions

