

### Targeting Tumor Metabolism to Overcome Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Blockade

#### Taha Merghoub, PhD

Attending Lab Member Immuno-Oncology Service HOPP program, Depart of Medicine Co-Director of the Ludwig Collaborative and Swim Across America lab at MSK Prof. of Immunol. Research, Weill Cornell

LUDWIG CANCER RESEARCH





### **Disclosures**

- IMVAQ therapeutics co-founder
- Advisory board immunos therapeutics, Algerian Research Agency in Health and Life Science
- Consulting for Pfizer, Daichii, Immunogensis, Kowa.
- Inventor on a patent applications related to work on Oncolytic Viral therapy, Alpha Virus Based Vaccine, Neo Antigen Modeling, CD40, GITR, OX40, PD-1 and CTLA-4.

Some of my research was supported by:

Bristol-Myers Squibb

Surface Oncology

**Kyn Therapeutics** 

Infinity Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Peregrine Pharmeceuticals, Inc.

Adaptive Biotechnologies

Leap Therapeutics, Inc.

Aprea.

Roche-Boehringer



### Immunoediting

#### Immune Suppressive Microenvironment



### **Immune Suppressive Microenvironment**



### Ipilimumab Augments T-Cell Activation and Proliferation



Adapted from O'Day et al. Plenary session presentation, abstract #4, ASCO 2010.

#### Immune checkpoint blockade is effective in a limited fraction of patients

Ipilimumab Long Term Pooled Survival Analysis: 4846 Patients



Schadendorf, Hodi Wolchok, ESMO, 2013

### Inhibition of negative immune regulation



FDA approved anti-CTLA-4 Ipilimumab

**FDA approved anti-PD-1** Nivolumab Pembrolizumab Cemiplimab

**FDA approved anti-PD-L1** Atezolizumab Avelumab Durvalumab



## Immune checkpoint blockade is effective in a limited fraction of patients





### Rationale for Combination with other therapies:

- Use other means to enhance tumor recognition
- Strategy to address low response rates of checkpoint blockade



## Lab "focuses" on overcoming major mechanisms of resistance to anti-tumor immunity





## **Hypothesis** – Inhibition of tumor glycolysis to overcome resistance to immunotherapy

1. Cellular energy metabolism reprogramming is a critical hallmark of cancer



## **Hypothesis** – Inhibition of tumor glycolysis to overcome resistance to immunotherapy

2. Nutrients and oxygen levels within the TME control immune cell function





## **Hypothesis** – Inhibition of tumor glycolysis to overcome resistance to immunotherapy

#### 3. Immune checkpoints and co-stimulatory molecules regulate T cell metabolism

Immunity, Vol. 16, 769-777, June, 2002, Copyright ©2002 by Cell Press

#### The CD28 Signaling Pathway **Regulates Glucose Metabolism** Mitochondrial Priming by CD28

Kenneth A. Frauwirth, 1,2,5 James L. Riley, 1,3,5 Marian H. Harris,12 Richard V. Parry,13 Jeffrey C. Rathmell,12 David R. Plas,12 Rebecca L. Elstrom,<sup>1</sup> Carl H. June,<sup>1,3</sup> and Craig B. Thompson<sup>1,2,4</sup>

> Immunology Research

Cell Ramon I, Klein Geltink,<sup>1</sup> David O'Sullivan,<sup>1</sup> Mauro Corrado,<sup>1</sup> Anna Bremser,<sup>2,3</sup> Michael D, Buck,<sup>1</sup> Joerg M, Buescher,<sup>1</sup> Elke Firat,<sup>4</sup> Xuekai Zhu,<sup>5</sup> Gabriele Niedermann,<sup>4,6</sup> George Caputa,<sup>1</sup> Beth Kelly,<sup>1</sup> Ursula Warthorst,<sup>2</sup> Anne Rensing-Ehl,<sup>2</sup> Ryan L. Kyle,<sup>1</sup> Lana Vandersarren,<sup>7,8</sup> Jonathan D. Curtis,<sup>1</sup> Annette E. Patterson,<sup>1</sup> Simon Lawless,<sup>1</sup> Katarzyna Grzes,<sup>1</sup> Jing Qiu,<sup>1</sup> David E. Sanin,<sup>1</sup> Oliver Kretz,<sup>9,10</sup> Tobias B. Huber,<sup>10,11,12</sup> Sophie Janssone 7.8 Bart N. Lambracht 7.8 Angelika S. Rambold,<sup>2,3</sup> Edward J. Pearce<sup>1,13</sup> and Erika L. Pearce<sup>1,14,\*</sup> Cell 171, 385–397, October 5, 2017 © 2017 Elsevier Inc.

ARTICLE

Received 13 Aug 2014 | Accepted 19 Feb 2015 | Published 26 Mar 2015

PD-1 alters T-cell metabolic reprogramming by inhibiting glycolysis and promoting lipolysis and fatty acid oxidation

Nikolaos Patsoukis<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Kankana Bardhan<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Pranam Chatterjee<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Duygu Sari<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Bianling Liu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Lauren N. Bell<sup>4</sup>, Edward D. Karoly<sup>4</sup>, Gordon J. Freeman<sup>5</sup>, Victoria Petkova<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Pankaj Seth<sup>2,3,6</sup>, Legun Li<sup>1,2,3</sup> & Vassiliki A. Boussiotis<sup>1,2,3</sup>

#### 4-1BB signaling activates glucose and fatty acid cluster & Molecular Immunology (2017) 14, 748-757 c 2017. CSI and USTC AII rights reserved 16/2-7681/17 322.00 metabolism to enhance CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation

Beom K Choi<sup>1,6</sup>, Do Y Lee<sup>1,2,6</sup>, Don G Lee<sup>1</sup>, Young H Kim<sup>3</sup>, Seon-Hee Kim<sup>1</sup>, Ho S Oh<sup>1</sup>, Chungyong Han1 and Byoung S Kwon1,4,5

#### Research Article



#### **GITR Agonism Enhances Cellular Metabolism to** Support CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell Proliferation and Effector Cytokine Production in a Mouse Tumor Model

Simran S. Sabharwal<sup>1</sup>, David B. Rosen<sup>1</sup>, Jeff Grein<sup>1</sup>, Dana Tedesco<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Joyce-Shaikh<sup>1</sup>, Roanna Ueda<sup>1</sup>, Marie Semana<sup>2</sup>, Michele Bauer<sup>2</sup>,

Kathy Bang<sup>2</sup>, Christopher Stevenson<sup>2</sup>, Daniel J. Cua<sup>1</sup>, and Luis A. Zúñiga<sup>1</sup> Cancer Immunol Res; 6(10) October 2018



Adapted from Teijeira A. et al. CIR 2019

### Working Hypothesis





1. Determine how **tumor glycolysis impacts on ICB** activity;

2. Identify the **immune cell types** that are potentiated the most by ICB when tumor glycolysis is hampered;

3. Define the **mechanism underlying** these effects.

4. Modulate glycolysis **pharmacologically**.

## Glycolysis vs. immune infiltration in human tumors before and after immune checkpoint blockade



- Immune infiltration and glucose catabolism genes are mutually exclusive;
- CTLA-4 blockade facilitates immune infiltration;
- however, expression of key glycolytic genes and immune infiltrate remain negatively correlated after ipilimumab:
- > Does CTLA-4 blockade work better in glycolysis-low tumors?

## Glycolysis vs. immune infiltration in murine tumors before and after immune checkpoint blockade

Model System: LDHA knock down in aggressive and immunotherapy resistant mouse tumor models 4T1 mammary carcinoma





Zappasodi et al., Nature 2021

## Improved responses of glycolysis-defective tumors to CTLA-4 blockade

4T1 mammary carcinoma (neoadjuvant ICB)



- Increased efficacy and long-lasting anti-tumor immunity of ICB with anti-CTLA-4 in glycolysis-defective LDHA-KD tumors.
- Activity of PD-1 blockade is not substantially improved in the same experimental settings.



1. Determine how **tumor glycolysis impacts on ICB** activity;

2. Identify the **immune cell types that** are potentiated the most by ICB when tumor glycolysis is hampered;

3. Define the **mechanism underlying** these effects.

4. Modulate glycolysis pharmacologically.

### CTLA-4 blockade drives in Treg instability in glycolysisdefective tumors

- Changes in frequency of TIL subsets: NO
- ✓ Changes in Treg function: YES\*
- Changes in Treg phenotype: YES\*
  \*Similar results with B16-KD vs. B16-Sc



Zappasodi et al., Nature 2021

### Does the local lactate:glucose ratio play a role?



Zappasodi et al., Nature 2021

- Increasing lactate: glucose ratio or boosting tumor glycolysis potentiates Treg stability;
- Loss of Treg stability induced by anti-CTLA-4 in glycolysis-defective tumors is dependent on local lactate:glucose ratio.



1. Determine how **tumor glycolysis impacts on ICB** activity;

2. Identify the **immune cell types** that are potentiated the most by ICB when tumor glycolysis is hampered;

### 3. Define the **mechanism underlying** these effects.

4. Modulate glycolysis **pharmacologically**.

## Anti-CTLA-4 promotes Treg glucose utilization and IFN- $\gamma$ production associated with reduced Treg suppression



Zappasodi et al., Nature 2021

## Loss of Treg stability upon anti-CTLA-4 is dependent on Treg capacity to metabolize glucose *in vivo*



### **Model & Perspectives**



CTLA-4 blockade may be best exploited to treat glycolysis-low
 tumors and/or in combination with inhibitors of tumor glycolysis.



1. Determine how **tumor glycolysis impacts on ICB** activity;

2. Identify the **immune cell types** that are potentiated the most by ICB when tumor glycolysis is hampered;

3. Define the **mechanism underlying** these effects.

4. Modulate glycolysis **pharmacologically**.

### Pharmacological Modulation of Metabolism to Improve ICB

- Effects of LDH pharmacologic inhibition
- Explore the effects Lactate transport



### Pharmacological Modulation of Metabolism to Improve ICB

- Effects of LDH pharmacologic inhibition
- Explore the effects Lactate transport



### Mice bearing B16 melanoma tumors have higher serum LDH and lactate levels than non-tumor bearing mice



## Serum lactate and LDH levels correlate with B16 melanoma tumor burden



## High serum LDH levels are a negative prognostic factor for many cancers

Patients with serum LDH levels above baseline prior to diagnosis have a lower survival probability

## Serum lactate dehydrogenase and survival following cancer diagnosis

Wahyu Wulaningsih<sup>\*,1</sup>, Lars Holmberg<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Hans Garmo<sup>1,3</sup>, Håkan Malmstrom<sup>4</sup>, Mats Lambe<sup>3,5</sup>, Niklas Hammar<sup>4,6</sup>, Göran Walldius<sup>7</sup>, Ingmar Jungner<sup>8</sup>, Tony Ng<sup>9</sup> and Mieke Van Hemelrijck<sup>1,4</sup>



Kaplan-Meier curves for 10-year overall survival following cancer diagnosis by serum LDH (above or below baseline levels) measured within (A) 3 years before diagnosis and (B) 3 months before diagnosis.

In vivo: When administered daily, GNE-140 (LDHi) reduces LDH activity within the tumor and in the periphery Mice bearing LDHA KD tumors have low tumor LDH but not significantly lower serum LDH



#### Adaptive immunity is required for the anti-tumor effect of LDHi



n = 10 mice/group

#### Treatment with LDHi sensitizes B16 melanoma to CTLA-4 blockade



n = 10 mice/group

#### CTLA-4 blockade combined with LDH inhibition leads to increased CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> effector infiltration without increasing Treg infiltration

Immune infiltrate was examined after the 3<sup>rd</sup> anti-CTLA-4 administration, 2 weeks post-tumor implantation



#### LDHi combined with CTLA-4 blockade favors CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> effector activation

LDHi may be preferentially targeting tumor cells that overexpress LDH, alleviating competition for glucose in the tumor microenvironment

0

#### CD8<sup>+</sup> phenotypes



## Similarly to when LDH is downregulated within the tumor, LDH inhibition leads to loss of Treg stability in the setting of CTLA-4 blockade

We had previously shown that LDHA-deficient Tregs displayed poor expansion and proliferation potential post-treatment with CTLA-4 blockade CD4+Foxp3+ phenotypes



### Treatment with LDHi increases glucose uptake capacity of tumor-infiltrating T cells, including Foxp3<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells, but not splenocytes



### **Conclusions**

- Serum lactate and LDH levels correlate with B16 melanoma tumor burden, and patients with serum LDH levels above baseline prior to diagnosis have a lower survival probability
- LDH inhibitor GNE-140 reduces tumor cell glycolysis in vitro, lowers tumor and serum LDH in mice, and delays B16 melanoma growth in immunocompetent but not immunodeficient mice
- Treatment with LDHi alongside CTLA-4 blockade delays tumor growth more significantly than immunotherapy alone
- CTLA-4 blockade combined with LDH inhibition leads to increased CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> effector infiltration and activation, while resulting in functional destabilization of regulatory T cells
- Upon treatment with LDHi *in vivo*, tumor-infiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>Foxp3<sup>-</sup>, and CD4<sup>+</sup>Foxp3<sup>+</sup> display a higher capacity for glucose uptake, while splenocyte glucose uptake remains unchanged, indicating that LDHi is relatively tumor-specific, due to the tumor's over-reliance on glycolysis and overexpression of LDH

### Pharmacological Modulation of Metabolism to Improve ICB

- Effects of LDH pharmacologic inhibition
- Explore the effects Lactate transport



## Inhibition of MCTs reduces tumor lactate production





## Inhibition of MCTs favors T-cell activation in tumor-T-cell co-cultures



# Immune mediated effects of pharmacologic modulation of tumor lactate metabolism *in vivo*





### **Conclusions**

- 1. Co-culture of T cells with 4T1 cells significantly limits their immune effector functions
- 2. Blocking lactate transporters *in vitro* improves T-cell functions
- 3. Inhibition of lactate transport *in vivo* delays tumor progression in a manner that is dependent on adaptive immunity



Explore MCT expression patterns in different model systems



## Acknowledgments



Ludwig Collaborative and Swim Across America Lab @ MSK Ludwig Cancer Research, Parker Institute, NIH, Swim Across America, SU2C, Melanoma Research Alliance, Breast Cancer Research Fdn, CRI, Damon Runyon Fdn, ASCO Conquer Cancer Fdn, AACR Fellowship



Blasberg Lab Inna Serganova Ivan Cohen Masatomo Maeda Masahiro Shindo Matt Lubin Myat Kyaw Ko Mayuresh M. Mane **Ronald Blasberg** 

<u>Travis Hollmann (MSKCC)</u> <u>Mario Lacouture (MSKCC)</u> Michael Sadelain (MSKCC) Nicholas Restifo (NIH) Andrew Weinberg (OHSU) Mario Colombo (Milan)

Koutcher Lab

Avigdor Leftin Ellen Ackerstaff Jason Koutcher

Mikala Egeblad (CSHL) Jean Albrengues(CSHL)

#### **Greg Delgoffe**



**Ping-Chih Ho** 



UNIL | Université de Lausanne



Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

### Thank you!



Wolchok/Merghoub Lab: Jedd Wolchok Taha Merghoub Beatrice Yin Sadna Budhu Rachana Maniyar Lauren Dong Levi Mangarin Myat Ko

<u>Thesis Committee</u>: John Blenis Steven Gross Zappasodi Lab: Roberta Zappasodi Inna Serganova

<u>Blasberg Lab:</u> Ronald Blasberg Jenny Ijoma

<u>Keshari Lab:</u> Kayvan Keshari Thomas Ruan

Metabolism Core: Justin Cross Michelle Saoi





