Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

ADVANCES IN Cancer IMMUNOTHERAPY™

Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Head and Neck Cancer

Aarti Bhatia, MD
Assistant Professor of Medicine
Yale School of Medicine

AAEM AMERICAN ACADEMY OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE
CHAMPION OF THE EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN

ACCC Association of Community Cancer Centers

HOPA Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

#LearnACI

Disclosures

- Consulting Fees: Merck Sharp and Dohme, Sanofi Genzyme, Regeneron
- Contracted Research: Boehringer Ingelheim
- I will be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

Outline

- Approved immunotherapies in head and neck cancers
- Biomarkers and immunotherapy responsiveness
- Unique considerations for head and neck cancers
- Future directions

Immunotherapy in head and neck cancer treatment



*Locoregional recurrence without salvage surgical or radiation option or declines local therapies
**Refer to Figure 2. Initial Therapy Treatment Recommendations: Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor monotherapy (nivolumab or pembrolizumab)



*Locoregional recurrence without salvage surgical or radiation option or declines local therapies
**Disease Progression on or after Platinum-Based Therapy: Disease progression on or after platinum-based therapy including within 6 months of platinum-based CRT given in the locally advanced setting. Patients that receive but cannot tolerate platinum-based chemotherapy would also be included in this category.
HNSCC: head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

#LearnACI

Approved checkpoint inhibitors in head and neck cancers

Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
Pembrolizumab	2016	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC, progression on/after chemotherapy	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W
Nivolumab	2016	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC, progression on/after chemotherapy	240 mg Q2W or 480 mg Q4W
Pembrolizumab + platinum + fluorouracil	2019	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC 1st line – all patients	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W
Pembrolizumab	2019	Recurrent/metastatic HNSCC 1st line – PD-L1 CPS ≥ 1	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W

Clinical trials in HNSCC

Trial	Patient selection criteria	Treatment arm(s)	N	ORR	Median PFS (months)	Median OS (months)
KEYNOTE-048	**Untreated** R/M HNSCC (total population)	Pembrolizumab	301	16.9%	2.3	11.5
		Pembrolizumab + chemo	281			13.0
		Cetuximab + chemo	300	36.0%	5.2	10.7
KEYNOTE-012	R/M HNSCC	Pembrolizumab	192	18% (PD-L1+: 21%, PD-L1-: 6%)	2.1	8
CheckMate 141	R/M HNSCC with **progression on platinum**	Nivolumab	240	13.1% (PD-L1+: 17.7%, PD-L1-: 11.8%)	2.0	7.7
		Investigator's choice	121	5.8%	2.3	5.1
KEYNOTE-040	R/M HNSCC with **progression on platinum**	Pembrolizumab	247	14.6%	2.1	8.4
		Investigator's choice	248	10.1%	2.3	6.9

Mehra, Br J Cancer 2018; Ferris, Oral Oncol 2018; Cohen, Lancet 2018; Burtness, Lancet 2019.

KEYNOTE-048: Pembrolizumab +/- Chemotherapy in newly diagnosed R/M HNSCC



[a]Assessed using the PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx assay (Agilent). TPS = tumor proportion score = % of tumor cells with membranous PD-L1 expression. [b]Assessed using the CINtec p16 Histology assay (Ventana); cutpoint for positivity = 70%. [c]Following a loading dose of 400 mg/m².

Rischin, ASCO 2019.

KEYNOTE-048: Overall survival in the total population

	Events	HR (95% CI)	*P*
Pembro alone	79%	0.83 (0.70-0.99)	0.0199[a]
EXTREME	88%		



	Events	HR (95% CI)
Pembro + Chemo	76%	0.72[a] (0.60–0.87)
EXTREME	89%	



Rischin, ASCO 2019

KEYNOTE-048: Overall survival in the PD-L1 positive population

PD-L1 CPS ≥1



PD-L1 CPS ≥1



Burtness, Lancet 2019

KEYNOTE-048: Outcomes on subsequent therapy



#LearnACI

KEYNOTE-048: Outcomes on subsequent therapy

- After progression, most common next treatment was a chemotherapy regimen
- PFS2: Progression-free survival on second treatment (after progression on KEYNOTE-048 treatment)
- Benefits seen for patients who received pembrolizumab regimens up-front
- Provides support to use of immunotherapy in front-line setting



Harrington, ASCO 2020.

Outline

- Approved immunotherapies in head and neck cancers
- Biomarkers and immunotherapy responsiveness
- Unique considerations for head and neck cancers
- Future directions

PD-L1: TPS vs CPS

$$TPS = \frac{\#\ of\ \mathrm{PD{-}L1}\ positive\ tumor\ cells}{number\ of\ viable\ tumor\ cells} \times 100$$

$$CPS\ = \frac{\#\ of\ \mathrm{PD{-}L1}\ positive\ cells\ (tumor\ cells, lymphocytes, macrophages)}{total\ number\ of\ tumor\ and\ immune\ cells} \times 100$$



PD-L1-positive immune cell

PD-L1-negative immune cell

PD-L1-positive tumor cell

PD-L1-negative tumor cell

$$TPS = \frac{6\ positive\ tumor\ cells}{14\ total\ tumor\ cells} \times 100 = 43$$

$$CPS = \frac{6\ positive\ tumor\ cells + 2\ positive\ immune\ cells}{22\ total\ cells} \times 100 = 36$$

Impact of PD-L1 in HNSCC

PD-L1 CPS

- KEYNOTE-048
  - First-line treatment
  - Approval of pembrolizumab monotherapy: CPS ≥ 1
- KEYNOTE-040
  - After platinum
  - Improved outcomes in PD-L1-positive patients (by CPS ≥ 1), no significance in total population

PD-L1 TPS

- CheckMate 141
  - After platinum
  - Greatest benefit seen for PD-L1-positive tumors (TPS ≥ 1%), but benefit regardless
- KEYNOTE-012
  - Second-line treatment
  - Higher response rate with PD-L1 CPS-positive tumors
  - No difference for PD-L1-positive tumors by TPS

KEYNOTE-048: Outcomes by PD-L1 status

- Greatest benefits seen in tumors with highest PD-L1 expression
- Approval requires PD-L1 expression (CPS) only for monotherapy
- For total population, only pembrolizumab + chemotherapy should be considered, not monotherapy



*superiority statistically demonstrated at interim or final analysis

Rischin, ASCO 2019.

CheckMate 141: Outcomes by PD-L1 status

CheckMate 141: 2 year update

PD-L1 TPS ≥ 1%



PD-L1 expressors	Median OS (95% CI), mo	HR (95% CI)
Nivolumab (n = 96)	8.2 (6.7–9.5)	0.55 (0.39–0.78)
IC (n = 63)	4.7 (3.8–6.2)	

No. at risk														
Nivo	96	74	59	42	30	25	22	19	16	11	8	5	1	0
IC	63	45	24	14	10	6	4	3	2	2	0	0	0	0

PD-L1 TPS < 1%



PD-L1 non-expressors	Median OS (95% CI), mo	HR (95% CI)
Nivolumab (n = 76)	6.5 (4.4–11.7)	0.73 (0.49–1.09)
IC (n = 40)	5.5 (3.7–8.5)	

No. at risk														
Nivo	76	54	39	32	29	20	19	17	15	11	5	4	3	0
IC	40	30	19	14	10	7	5	4	4	1	0	0	0	0

Ferris, Oral Oncol 2018.

Outline

- Approved immunotherapies in head and neck cancers
- Biomarkers and immunotherapy responsiveness
- Unique considerations for head and neck cancers
- Future directions

Toxicities in head and neck cancer patients

- Patients typically receive aggressive radiation treatment, with accompanying side effects
- Radiation in combination with chemotherapy, immunotherapy and/or surgery can further complicate toxicity profiles
- While combinations may have higher response rates, also have higher toxicity rates



#LearnACI

Viral infections in HNSCC

- Virally-associated cancers are biologically and clinically distinct
  - Human papillomavirus associated with oropharynx cancer
  - Epstein Barr virus associated with nasopharyngeal cancer
- Evidence that HPV+ tumors may perform better, but there is benefit with immunotherapy regardless of HPV status



Cohen, J Immunother Cancer 2019.

Combination immune checkpoint inhibition in HNSCC – *limited success to date*

Trial	Patient population	Treatment arms	ORR	Median OS (months)	Landmark OS
EAGLE	R/M HNSCC after platinum	Durvalumab	17.9%	7.6	24-months: 18.4%
		Durvalumab + tremelimumab	18.2%	6.5	24-months: 13.3%
		SoC	17.3%	8.3	24-months: 10.3%

Trial	Patient population	Treatment arms	Expected study completion
KESTREL	Untreated HNSCC	Durvalumab	February 2021
		Durvalumab + tremelimumab	
		SoC	
CheckMate 714	Platinum-refractory HNSCC	Nivolumab + ipilimumab	January 2024
		Nivolumab	
CheckMate 651	Untreated HNSCC	Nivolumab + ipiliumumab	February 2026
		EXTREME regimen	

Ferris, Ann Oncol 2020

Outline

- Approved immunotherapies in head and neck cancers
- Biomarkers and immunotherapy responsiveness
- Unique considerations for head and neck cancers
- Future directions

In development: Oral cavity cancer



Uppaluri, Clin Cancer Res 2020

In development: Oral cavity cancer

- No serious AEs or unexpected surgical complications/delays
- pTR-2: 22%
- pTR-1: 22%
- 1-year relapse rate: 16.7%



Uppaluri, Clin Cancer Res 2020

In development: Checkpoint inhibitors + radiotherapy as primary therapy

- NCT03247712: neoadjuvant nivolumab + SBRT
  - Phase I
  - Decreased tumor size prior to surgery; high pathologic CR rate
- KEYNOTE-412: pembrolizumab + chemoradiation
  - Phase III
  - Safety confirmed, estimated completion 2021
- JAVELIN Head and Neck 100: avelumab + chemoradiation
  - Phase III trial terminated in early 2020, due to likelihood of limited efficacy
- REACH: avelumab + cetuximab + radiotherapy
  - Phase III
  - Safety confirmed, estimated completion 2027

Leidner, AACR 2019.

In development: cetuximab + pembrolizumab for recurrent metastatic disease

- Cetuximab and pembrolizumab are both approved as monotherapies for HNSCC
- Phase II trial testing cetuximab + pembrolizumab:
  - Platinum refractory or ineligible disease
  - ORR: 45%
  - Median OS: 18.4 months
  - Safety profile consistent with individual drugs

Sacco, H&N Cancers Symposium 2020.

In development: Selected ongoing combination trials

Trial	Patient population	Treatment arms	Targets	Expected study completion
LEAP-010	Untreated recurrent/ metastatic PD-L1+ HNSCC (CPS ≥ 1)	Pembrolizumab + lenvatinib	PD-1 + multikinase inhibitor	April 2024
		Pembrolizumab	PD-1	
INDUCE-3	Untreated recurrent/ metastatic PD-L1+ HNSCC (CPS ≥ 1)	Pembrolizumab + GSK609	PD-1 + ICOS	July 2023
		Pembrolizumab	PD-1	
NCT02643550	HNSCC after 1-2 therapies, including progression on Pt	Monalizumab + cetuximab	NKG2A + EGFR	Phase 1/2: 2021 Phase 3: planned

Conclusions

- Cytotoxic chemotherapy achieves limited survival in HNSCC with unfavorable side effects.
- Checkpoint inhibitors that target the PD-1 axis, nivolumab and pembrolizumab, are approved in platinum-refractory/exposed recurrent/metastatic HNSCC.
- Nivolumab and pembrolizumab are in general better tolerated than cytotoxic chemotherapy.
- Ongoing areas of research include combinations of immunotherapy with radiation and/or other drugs and development of predictive biomarkers.

Resources



Cohen *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2019) 7:184
https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0662-5

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES Open Access

The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (HNSCC)

Ezra E. W. Cohen[1], R. Bryan Bell[2], Carlo B. Bifulco[2], Barbara Burtness[3], Maura L. Gillison[4], Kevin J. Harrington[5], Quynh-Thu Le[6], Nancy Y. Lee[7], Rom Leidner[2], Rebecca L. Lewis[8], Lisa Licitra[9], Hisham Mehanna[10], Loren K. Mell[1], Adam Raben[11], Andrew G. Sikora[12], Ravindra Uppaluri[13], Fernanda Whitworth[14], Dan P. Zandberg[8] and Robert L. Ferris[8*]

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

ADVANCES IN Cancer IMMUNOTHERAPY™

Case Studies

AAEM AMERICAN ACADEMY OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE CHAMPION OF THE EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN

ACCC Association of Community Cancer Centers

HOPA Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association

sitc Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

#LearnACI

Case Study 1

1. A 48yoM with HPV+ locally-advanced left tonsil cancer treated with definitive chemoradiation 2 years ago, presents to the ED with a new left neck lump. CT Neck and Chest is obtained in the ED and shows 2 enlarged nodes in the left neck and multiple new lung nodules, 1-2 cm in size, concerning for recurrent and metastatic disease. You are consulted as the on-call oncologist. What would you recommend next?
   A. Admit for inpatient evaluation
   B. Outpatient biopsy of a lung nodule to confirm diagnosis
   C. ENT consult for left neck dissection

Case Study 1

A. Admit for inpatient evaluation
   Not necessary because the patient does not seem to have an urgent indication to admit. Work-up can be completed as outpatient.

B. Outpatient biopsy of a lung nodule to confirm diagnosis
   Most appropriate option

C. ENT consult for left neck dissection
   If he had locoregionally recurrent disease, would have been appropriate to refer to ENT for salvage neck dissection to attempt cure. But if he has metastatic disease, he needs systemic therapy and would not benefit from salvage surgery.

Case Study 1

CT guided biopsy of a peripheral lung nodule confirms HPV+ squamous cell cancer, consistent with distant metastasis from the head and neck primary. What additional testing would you request that would help you choose first-line systemic therapy?

A. HIV testing on the patient
B. PD-L1 CPS on tumor biopsy
C. Hepatitis serologies
D. Her-2 testing on tumor biopsy

Case Study 1

A. HIV testing on the patient
   Does not help guide systemic therapy

B. PD-L1 CPS on tumor biopsy
   If he has PD-L1 CPS > 1, he would be eligible for pembrolizumab monotherapy or in combination with platinum doublet

C. Hepatitis serologies
   Does not help guide management

D. Her-2 testing on tumor biopsy
   Her-2 positivity is not routinely seen in head and neck cancers

Case Study 2

Mr. VB is a 54yoM with metastatic SCCHN. He is currently undergoing treatment with pembrolizumab monotherapy and has received 9 cycles of treatment. He presents to the ED with severe fatigue and has orthostatic hypotension. On blood work, Na level is 126. ED gives him a liter of NS and calls you as the on-call oncologist for clearance for discharge home. What would you recommend?

A. Administer another 500cc of NS
B. Can discharge home with outpatient f/u with primary oncologist within a week
C. Admit for work-up

Case Study 2

A. Administer another 500cc of NS
   Although he does need continued hydration, he should undergo further work-up for adrenal insufficiency which is a life-threatening condition

B. Can discharge home with outpatient f/u with primary oncologist within a week
   He should be admitted for expedited work-up

C. Admit for work-up
   His Fatigue, hypotension and hyponatremia may be indicative of adrenal insufficiency. This should be suspected and worked up in this patient who has been on immune checkpoint inhibition for several weeks

Case Study 2

You ask the ED to obtain SIADH labs, serum TSH, ACTH and cortisol levels. Work-up is negative for SIADH, TSH is 7, ACTH is high and cortisol is 1. What would you recommend next?

A. Cosyntropin stim test and start hydrocortisone
B. Obtain MRI brain to evaluate for hypophysitis
C. Discharge home after another liter of NS if orthostatic hypotension is resolved and Na levels are improved

Case Study 2

A. Cosyntropin stim test and start hydrocortisone

His clinical presentation and low cortisol/high ACTH are very suggestive of primary adrenal insufficiency secondary to pembrolizumab induced adrenalitis. This can be confirmed by an inadequate response to the cosyntropin stimulation test and treatment should be started right away with steroids

B. Obtain MRI brain to evaluate for hypophysitis

Although this can be considered to evaluate for secondary adrenal insufficiency, the elevated ACTH and TSH support an intact pituitary axis

C. Discharge home after another liter of NS if orthostatic hypotension is resolved and Na levels are improved

Not starting steroids right away could be life-threatening for this patient. He should therefore be admitted for monitoring until work-up is completed and Na levels improve