

# Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Hematologic Malignancies

Muhammad Husnain, MD  
Assistant Professor of Medicine  
University of Arizona

 THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
CANCER CENTER  
A National Cancer Institute-designated Comprehensive Cancer Center


# Disclosures

- No relevant financial relationships to disclose.
- I will be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

## Case study:

- A 73-year-old man who was diagnosed with DLBCL-GCB type, stage IIIB disease, he received chemotherapy with R-CHOPx6. Had a relapse of his DLBCL in Nov. 2019. Received salvage chemotherapy with R-ICE for 3 cycles, followed by high dose chemotherapy with BEAM and autoHSCT in March 2020. Restaging PET scan in June 2020 showed persistent lymphadenopathy, a repeat biopsy confirmed CD19 positive refractory DLBCL. What is the next best available treatment option for this elderly now 75-year-old gentleman?

- A) CD19- Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy
- B) Polatuzumab Vedotin plus BR
- C) Tafasitamab plus lenalidomide
- D) Best supportive care



# Checkpoint inhibitors

# FDA-approved Checkpoint inhibitors: Lymphoma


Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
Nivolumab	2016	Classical Hodgkin lymphoma, relapsed after HSCT and brentuximab vedotin or $\geq 3$ previous therapies	240 mg q2w or 480 mg q4w
Pembrolizumab	2017	Adult/pediatric refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma or relapsed after 3 previous therapies	200 mg q3w adults 2 mg/kg (up to 200 mg) q3w (pediatric)
Pembrolizumab	2018	Adult/pediatric refractory primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma or relapsed after 2 previous therapies	200 mg q3W adults 2 mg/kg (up to 200 mg) q3w (pediatric)

# Checkpoint inhibitors: Hodgkin Lymphoma

## Checkmate-205

ORR = 69%

CR = 16%



No. at risk:


CR	40	40	40	40	40	39	26	16	7
PR	128	128	126	123	113	97	59	34	10
SD	47	46	45	44	42	39	25	16	3
PD	23	21	17	15	13	11	5	4	3

## Keynote-087

ORR = 69%



CR = 22.4%

Activity seen regardless of PD-L1 expression







# Pembrolizumab in Primary Mediastinal Large B cell Lymphoma



# In development: Macrophage checkpoint: CD47


- Phase 1b: Hu5F9-G4 + rituximab in rituximab refractory disease
- DLBCL – ORR = 40%, CR = 33%
- Follicular lymphoma – ORR = 71%, CR = 43%




# Bi-specific T-cell engagers (BiTEs)

# BiTE (Blinatumomab) Therapy


- Facilitates T cell engagement with CD19+ tumor cells (Similar to CD19 CAR T)
- Approval:
- Adult/pediatric R/R B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia
- Adult/pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in 1st or 2nd complete remission, MRD  $\geq 0.1\%$



# Blinatumomab: B-ALL



## A Overall Survival



## No. at Risk

	271	176	124	79	45	27	9	4	0
Blinatumomab	271	176	124	79	45	27	9	4	0
Chemotherapy	134	71	41	27	17	7	4	1	0



# Antibody-drug conjugates (ADC)

# FDA-Approved Antibody-Drug Conjugates

Drug	Target antigen	Year of approval	Indication
Brentuximab vedotin	CD30	2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Classical Hodgkin lymphoma, relapsed after HSCT or <math>\geq 2</math> previous therapies</li> <li>Anaplastic large cell lymphoma <math>\geq 1</math> previous therapies</li> </ul>
		2018	cHL - first line with combination chemo
Inotuzumab ozogamicin	CD22	2017	Relapsed/refractory/MRD+ B-cell ALL
Polatuzumab vedotin (w/ bendamustine & rituximab)	CD79b	2019	DLBCL $\geq 2$ previous therapies



# Polatuzumab vedotin: DLBCL



Polatuzumab vedotin has demonstrated efficacy in R/R DLBCL in combination with rituximab<sup>1,2</sup> and rituximab-bendamustine<sup>3</sup>

Treatment	Best overall response
Pola +/- rituximab	51–56% <sup>1,2</sup>
Pola + rituximab + bendamustine	68% <sup>3</sup>



ADC, antibody-drug conjugate; MMAE, monomethyl auristatin E

1. Palanca-Wessels A, et al. Lancet Oncol 2015;16:704–15; 2. Morschhauser F, et al. Lancet Hematology 2019;6:e254–65; 3. Sehn H, et al. Blood 2018;132:1683





# Polatuzumab vedotin: DLBCL

- Randomized phase 2 study
- Pola-BR vs. BR in R/R DLBCL
- Higher CR = 40% vs. 18% (p: 0.03)
- Median PFS = 7.6 m (HR=0.34, p<0.01)
- Median OS = 12.4 m (HR=0.42, p<0.01)
- Ongoing phase 3 (POLARIX)
- Frontline DLBCL- R-CHOP vs R-CHP+Pola



# Inotuzumab ozogamicin for ALL


- Anti-CD22 antibody conjugated to calicheamicin
- Higher response, MRD-negativity, PFS, and OS than standard-of-care




# Chimeric Antigen Receptor Therapy (CAR T)

# Chimeric antigen receptors


- Specific and potent: B - specific, T - toxic
- Overcome immune tolerance
- Targets surface molecules in native conformation
- Independent of antigen presenting cell and MHC complex



# Evolution of CAR Constructs



# CAR T manufacturing and administration






# CAR T Side Effects

- Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS)
- Neurotoxicity
- B Cell aplasia
- Macrophage Activation Syndrome (MAS)/HLH

# CAR T Side Effects





# FDA-Approved CAR T cell therapies


DRUG	APPROVED	INDICATION	DOSE
Axicabtagene ciloleucel	2017	Adults with r/r large B-cell lymphoma. Including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma	$2 \times 10^6$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells per kg bodyweight (up to $2 \times 10^8$ )
Tisagenlecleucel	2017	Patients $\leq 25$ yr with refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia or in 2+ relapse	$0.2\text{--}0.5 \times 10^6$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells per kg if under 50 kg $0.1\text{--}2.5 \times 10^8$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells if over 50 kg
Tisagenlecleucel	2018	Adults with r/r large B-cell lymphoma after 2+ therapies Including DLBCL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma	$0.6\text{--}6.0 \times 10^8$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells
Brexucabtagene autoleucel	2020	Adults with r/r mantle cell lymphoma after 2+ therapies	$2 \times 10^6$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells per kg bodyweight (up to $2 \times 10^8$ )

# Eligibility considerations for CAR

- Disease
  - Relative stability during CAR T manufacturing (~2-6 weeks)
  - Bridging therapy (chemo, RT, steroids, lenalidomide, ibrutinib)
  - CNS control
- Patient
  - Adequate cell counts
  - DVT, bleeding, infection, neuro disorders
  - Functional status: at screen vs. day of CAR T infusion
- Other
  - Social support, reimbursement


# CD19 CAR in DLBCL- ZUMA1 (Axi-cel)

- CD19/CD28 $\zeta$
- ORR = 82%
- CR = 54%
- 1.5-yr estimated OS = 52%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 13%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 28%




# CD19 CAR in DLBCL - JULIET (Tisa-cel)

- CD19/4-1-BB
- ORR = 52%
- CR = 40%
- 1-yr estimated OS = 49%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 18%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 11%




# CD19 CAR in DLBCL - TRANSCEND (Liso-Cel)

- CD19/4-1-BB, CD4:CD8 = 1:1
- ORR = 75%
- CR = 55%
- 1-yr estimated OS = 59%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 1%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 13%




# CD19 CAR in B-ALL: ELIANA (Tisa-cel)

- CD19/4-1-BB
- ORR = 81%
- CR = 60%, CRi = 21%
- CRS grade  $\geq 3$  = 47%
- Neurotox grade  $\geq 3$  = 13%



# In Development: BCMA+ CAR T Therapy for Myeloma

- bb2121
  - B cell maturation antigen (BCMA)
  - Phase I CRB-401 study
  - Previously treated patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma
  - ORR: 85%, CR: 45%



No. at Risk		3	3	2	0
<150×10 <sup>6</sup> CAR+ T cells		3	3	2	0
≥150×10 <sup>6</sup> CAR+ T cells		30	30	28	27



# Conclusions

- Many immunotherapy options for hematological malignancies
- Checkpoint inhibitors for Hodgkin lymphoma and PMBCL – high response rate, excellent tolerance, durable responses if CR
- Blinatumomab and inotuzumab for ALL – effective salvage, deeper remissions
- Polatuzumab vedotin for DLBCL – effective salvage, potential to become frontline
- CAR T therapy – ever-increasing indications; patient selection and toxicity management still concerns



# Additional Resources

Boyiadzis et al. *Journal for Immunotherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:90  
 DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0188-z

Journal for Immunotherapy  
 of Cancer

**POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES**

**Open Access**



## The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of hematologic malignancies: multiple myeloma, lymphoma, and acute leukemia

Michael Boyiadzis<sup>1†</sup>, Michael R. Bishop<sup>2†</sup>, Rafat Abonour<sup>3</sup>, Kenneth C. Anderson<sup>4</sup>, Stephen M. Ansell<sup>5</sup>,  
 David Avigan<sup>6</sup>, Lisa Barbarotta<sup>7</sup>, Austin John Barrett<sup>8</sup>, Koen Van Besien<sup>9</sup>, P. Leif Bergsagel<sup>10</sup>, Ivan Borrello<sup>11</sup>,  
 Joshua Brody<sup>12</sup>, Jill Brufsky<sup>13</sup>, Mitchell Cairo<sup>14</sup>, Ajai Chari<sup>12</sup>, Adam Cohen<sup>15</sup>, Jorge Cortes<sup>16</sup>, Stephen J. Forman<sup>17</sup>,  
 Jonathan W. Friedberg<sup>18</sup>, Ephraim J. Fuchs<sup>19</sup>, Steven D. Gore<sup>20</sup>, Sundar Jagannath<sup>12</sup>, Brad S. Kahl<sup>21</sup>, Justin Kline<sup>22</sup>,  
 James N. Kochenderfer<sup>23</sup>, Larry W. Kwak<sup>24</sup>, Ronald Levy<sup>25</sup>, Marcos de Lima<sup>26</sup>, Mark R. Litow<sup>27</sup>, Anuj Mahindra<sup>28</sup>,  
 Jeffrey Miller<sup>29</sup>, Nikhil C. Munshi<sup>30</sup>, Robert Z. Orlowski<sup>31</sup>, John M. Pagel<sup>32</sup>, David L. Porter<sup>33</sup>, Stephen J. Russell<sup>5</sup>,  
 Karl Schwartz<sup>34</sup>, Margaret A. Shipp<sup>35</sup>, David Siegel<sup>36</sup>, Richard M. Stone<sup>4</sup>, Martin S. Tallman<sup>37</sup>, John M. Timmerman<sup>38</sup>,  
 Frits Van Rhee<sup>39</sup>, Edmund K. Waller<sup>40</sup>, Ann Welsh<sup>41</sup>, Michael Werner<sup>42</sup>, Peter H. Wiernik<sup>43</sup>  
 and Madhav V. Dhodapkar<sup>44\*</sup>

# Case Studies

# Case Study 1

- A 73-year-old man who was diagnosed with DLBCL-GCB type, stage IIIB disease, he received chemotherapy with R-CHOPx6. Had a relapse of his DLBCL in Nov. 2019. Received salvage chemotherapy with R-ICE for 3 cycles, followed by high dose chemotherapy with BEAM and autoHSCT in March 2020. Restaging PET scan in June 2020 showed persistent lymphadenopathy, a repeat biopsy confirmed CD19 positive refractory DLBCL. What is the next best available treatment option for this elderly now 75-year-old gentleman?
- **A) CD19- Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy**  
B) Polatuzumab Vedotin plus BR  
C) Tafasitamab plus lenalidomide  
D) Best supportive care

- Pt went on to receive CD19 CAR-T cell therapy with Tisagenleucel as per JULIET Trial in August 2020.
- Day 30 PET scan showed CR
- Patient continues to be in CR

## Case Study 2

- 59-year-old lady with no significant PMH who noticed right inguinal lymphadenopathy in Jan of 2019. Biopsy of the inguinal lymph node confirmed classic Hodgkin's lymphoma, stage IIIB disease. She was started on chemotherapy with ABVD. PET/CT after 2 cycles showed CR, bleomycin was dropped after first 2 cycles and pt was treated with AVD for remaining 4 cycles as per RATHL study. End of treatment PET scan in Dec 2019 showed CR. However, in April 2020 she started noticing enlarged lymph nodes in the neck. Biopsy of the lymph nodes confirmed relapsed Hodgkin's lymphoma. Pt was started on salvage chemotherapy with ICE. PET scan after 3 cycles of ICE showed progressive disease. What is the next best treatment option for this patient?

## Case Study 2

- A) Single agent pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks
- B) Brentuximab Vedotin 1.8 mg/kg every 3 weeks
- C) Autologous HSCT followed by brentuximab maintenance
- D) A combination of Pembro and BV

## Case Study 2

- **Answer:**

Correct answer is single agent Pembrolizumab every 3 weeks based on KEYNOTE-204.

Phase III trial comparing single agent Pembro vs BV in patients with relapsed refractory HL

Median PFS 13.2 vs 8.3 months

## Case Study 2

- Pt was started on single agent BV and had progressive disease after 4 cycles of therapy and
- Switched to single agent Pembro.
- Patient is currently on Pembro every 3 weeks in CR
- Plan for autoHSCT



A photograph of the Arizona Cancer Center building, a modern structure with a light-colored stone facade and large glass windows. A prominent overhang with a dark wooden roof extends over the entrance. A sign on the left wall reads "ARIZONA CANCER CENTER". The building is surrounded by greenery and a paved area in the foreground. The sky is blue with scattered white clouds.

[husnain1@arizona.edu](mailto:husnain1@arizona.edu)