

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Grantsmanship

February 23, 2021 SITC Winter School

Christian Capitini, MD
University of Wisconsin-Madison



Disclosures

Nektar Therapeutics – Advisory Board/Honorarium



Overview

Understanding the basic components of grant

Organizing your work

Telling/selling your story

Overcoming barriers and responding to reviews



Know your funder!

Federal

- NIH
- DOD
- NSF

Non-federal

- Private foundations
 - Societies (SITC, AACR, ACS, etc.)
 - Disease specific (American Lung Association, Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, Melanoma Research Foundation, etc.)



NIH vs. DOD

Similarities

<u>R21</u>

- Exploratory, high risk, high reward
- No preliminary data is required
- Typical direct costs \$500,000

Differences

- Preliminary data is almost always in funded applications
- Scored on Significance, Innovation, Approach, Investigator and Environment
- All reviewers are scientists

Idea Award with Special Focus

- Exploratory, high risk, high reward
- No preliminary data is required
- Typical direct costs \$400,000
- Preliminary data is almost never in funded applications (cannot support ongoing work in your lab)
- Scored on Scientific Merit, Impact and Innovation
- Reviewers include scientists, consumers from advocacy communities and military personnel



Basic tips

 Reference the agency/society/foundation's mission in your grant

 Read the RFA (can sometimes be dense but often highlight "Areas of emphasis" that are of interest to the funder)

• Is the juice worth the squeeze?



Overview

Understanding the basic components of grant

Organizing your work

Telling/selling your story

Overcoming barriers and responding to reviews



Typical Components of an NIH grant

Scored

- Specific Aims
- Research Strategy
 - Significance
 - Innovation
 - Approach
- Biosketches, Letters
 - Investigators
- Facilities
- Equipment
 - Environment

Unscored but judged

- Project Summary (Abstract)
- Project Narrative (public health/agency relevance)
- Bibliography
- Resource Sharing Plan
- Authentication Plan
- Human Subjects Plan
- Biosafety Plan
- Vertebrate Animals
- Budget/Budget Justification



Write your Specific Aims

- 1 page summary outlining the background, long term objective, your prior work, hypothesis and Aims (what you need the \$ for).
- The number of Aims depends on the amount and length of the award

- Most valuable document during preparation and submission
 - You may need to share it with any letter of support writers, people editing your Research Strategy or with collaborators
 - Only 3 reviewers will critique your grant, but the whole study section will read your Specific Aims prior to discussion



Specific Aims

- Introductory/problem paragraph
 - Provide background (immune cell or the cancer), address the knowledge gap in the field
- Solution paragraph
 - You have the answer (long term objective), you have been preparing to provide the answer (your prior work) and the question you want to ask (hypothesis)
- Research Aims
 - How are you going to answer the question (hypothesis)
 - Briefly describe the Approach/experiments to address the Aims



Aims Dos and Don'ts

- DO
 - Be specific
 - "Enumerate anti-apoptotic proteins within..."
 - Have related but separate Aims

- DON'T
 - Talk in general terms
 - "Characterize the tumor microenvironment..."
 - Propose to discover something in one Aim that will inform direction of another Aim
 - "Overlapping Aims" = Achilles Heel



Identify collaborators and draft your letters (letters of support, institutional commitment, etc)

- Collaborators can provide
 - valuable expertise and reagents that you don't have in your lab
 - preliminary data for your grant
 - proofreading
 - a raise to your Investigator score
- Be respectful of people's time, send draft letters of support well in advance of the deadline esp. from academic leaders & industry
- A letter from a Dept chair, Cancer Center Director, other leader can show how much an institution is committed to you/your project



Significance

 Review background research, cite seminal studies and assess rigor of prior work

• Should be 1-1.5 pages, schematic figures of pathways or concepts are helpful

 Bring the non-expert up to speed but also show the expert you are keeping up with the literature



Innovation

 State, or even list, how this grant will generate innovative concepts or technical advances

Should be 1/2 page

 If you are having trouble listing the innovation, you need to think bigger about what you plan to do and/or how you plan to do it!



Approach

 Preliminary data (some put in a separate section and others weave supporting data into each Aim) can be published or unpublished.

 You have to help build your case that your Aims are going to work, and that you have the assays/mice/reagents to accomplish what you propose

Write clear legends that describe what is shown!
 Define abbreviations! Show statistics!



Approach

- Subaims should include detailed experiments that answer a question. Include control groups.
 - Mechanistic subaims will always "sell" better than descriptive aims
- Describe all assays/readouts/measures that will be used to interpret intervention
 - Include a statistical plan and consideration of biological variables like sex
 - Describe expected results do the experiments answer the question?
- Include pitfalls/alternative approaches section
 - Be honest, not everything is going to work! Show you already thought of that and have a backup plan.
 - Future Directions at end of Approach is a nice way to summarize the grant



Biosketch

- Personal statement
 - Make sure ALL submitted biosketches tailor it to the grant objectives
 - List up to 4 publications for support
 - Consider submitting near completed, preliminary work to a preprint archive - citeable
- Honors/awards +/- professional memberships/service
- Contribution to science (up to 5) Talk big!
 - This is your bibliography. Make sure a hyperlink to all your publications is there
- Grant funding (make sure it is current, not grants that ended 2yrs ago)
 - Be aware of overlapping grants!



Facilities

 Often boilerplate templates are available from colleagues at your institution

- Make sure to include any core facilities that may be involved in your proposal (include letter of support if necessary)
 - Assume reviewers have never been to your institution.
 We don't know how state-of-the-art it is!

 Don't forget to list facilities outside of your institution if they are collaborating



Budget

- Personnel
 - Make sure you include sufficient % effort so reviewers believe you are serious about the project
 - PIs 5% for each \$50,000, can be less if you are heavily funded
 - Include the people who will do the work
- Equipment
- Supplies
- Travel
- Tuition remission
- Other costs



Overview

Understanding the basic components of grant

Organizing your work

Telling/selling your story

Overcoming barriers and responding to reviews



The Elevator Pitch

- Practice telling people in 15 seconds
 - What you study
 - Why you study it
 - How you are going to change the field
- Have 2 versions
 - Lay people (=lay abstracts, project summary/narrative)
 - Scientists (=technical abstracts, specific aims)
- · Repetition throughout grant of "the pitch" is good



Law and Order

Pretend your scientific area/hypothesis is on trial in court

 Present your "evidence" (preliminary figures/tables) to the "jury" (reviewers)

 You want to convince the jury you know what happened (Significance) and what will happen if the Aims are successful (Approach)



Clues to solving a crime

- Essentially all research is a "whodunit?"
- Imagine reviewer saying "so what?" paragraph after paragraph
- Propose 2 different ways of answering the same question if need be
 - Will analyze cytotoxicity by X and verify results by Y
 - If you think of a 3rd way, add it to the alternative experiments section



Overview

Understanding the basic components of grant

Organizing your work

Telling/selling your story

Overcoming barriers and responding to reviews



Writer's block

- Draw your way out
 - "A picture is worth a thousand words"
- Record a voice memo
 - Many times it is easier to say what you want to do than write it down
 - You can play it back and write it down in a more professional manner (or use software that dictates your speech into writing)
- Work on unscored sections



Technology failure

- Save, save, save your documents repeatedly, even if they auto-save
 - A surprise crash is a rite of passage in academia
- Take the time to learn a graphics program, or use a professional illustrator
 - Word is not suited for grant writing. The bigger the document, the more figures jump around spontaneously
- Make the institution request your grant a week before the deadline, so in case something happens you have a buffer to correct it



You get the reviews back...

- Highlight the key points from each reviewer
- Organize common themes esp if from multiple reviewers
- Do NOT dismiss a criticism. Even if they are wrong, it is likely because you did not make your case clearly or explain the concept well
- Be prepared to generate more preliminary data! Show progress toward the Aims you have not yet been paid to do.



Useful websites

- NCI Preparing grant applications: https://deainfo.nci.nih.gov/extra/extdocs/apprep.htm
- NIH Writing your application: <u>https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide/format-and-write/write-your-application.htm</u>
- NIAID Sample applications: <u>https://www.niaid.nih.gov/grants-contracts/sample-applications</u>
- NIH peer review videos: <u>https://public.csr.nih.gov/NewsAndPolicy/PeerReview</u> Videos