



PATIENT OUTCOMES PERSPECTIVE: PATIENT REPORTED OUTCOMES (PROs) & PERSONAL COST OF CANCER

Adam Dicker, MD, PhD Kimmel Cancer Center Thomas Jefferson University

Heather Jim, PhD Moffitt Cancer Center

There are no conflicts to disclose

Outline

- 1. Defining PROs
- 2. How do PROs help stakeholders?
- 3. How PROs can enhance value models
- 4. Which PROs should be used?
- 5. Interventions for toxicity based on PROs
- 6. Call to action

Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs)

"Any report of the status of a patient's health condition that comes <u>directly from the patient</u>, without interpretation of the patient's response by a clinician or anyone else."



Kluetz et al., Clin Cancer Res 2016; 22:1553-8.

How Do PROs Help?

 Show clinical benefit in reducing disease-related symptomatology

Immunotherapy and Symptom Palliation: Checkmate 025: Change in HRQoL score FKSI-DRS



Cella et al., Lancet Oncol 2016; 17:994-1003.

How Do PROs Help?

- Show clinical benefit in reducing disease-related symptomatology
- Provide more accurate estimates of toxicity
 - Enhance value frameworks



AEs Don't Accurately Capture Toxicity

Sensitivity of AEs Compared to EMR



ARDS: Adult respiratory distress syndrome, DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation

Miller et al., JCO 2016; 34:1537-1543.

AEs Don't Accurately Capture Toxicity



Di Maio et al., *JCO* 2015; 33: 910-5.

PROs Can Help To Model Treatment Costs

Toxicity	ICD-9	ICD-10	Incidence Ipilimumab	Incidence Nivolumab	Incidence Pembrolizumab	Estimated Cost
Anemia	285.3. 285.9	D64.89	42.9%	59.4%	34.0%	\$851 ⁴
Colitis	558.9	K52.1 K52.89 K52.9	10.2%	10.9%	9.7%	\$85634
Dermatitis from drug	692.9 693.0	R21	18.1%	10.9%	18.8%	\$764 ⁷
Diarrhea	787.91	R19.7	26.4%	15.8%	40.3%	\$7754
Dyspnea	786.09	R06.00	8.4%	31.7%	8.3%	\$ 3345⁴
Fatigue	780.79	R53.83	72.0%	87.1%	91.0%	<mark>\$20</mark> 69⁴
Fever	780.6	R50	17.8%	22.8%	20.8%	\$33044

Treatment	# of Patients	Average # of Doses	Cost Per Dose	Estimated Cost of Therapy	Estimated Average Cost of Toxicities	Total Cost of Treatment	% of Total Cost Related to Toxicity
Ipilimumab	382	3.3	\$30,694	\$101,290	\$8,216	\$109,506	6.3%
Nivolumab	101	6.3	\$6,043	\$38,078	\$9,616	\$47,686	17.6%
Pembrolizumab	144	8.0	\$7,251	\$58,008	\$8,547	\$66,555	16.0%

Mason et al., JCO 2016; 34:suppl; abstr 6627.

How Do PROs Help?

- Show clinical benefit in reducing disease-related symptomatology
- Provide more accurate estimates of toxicity
 - Enhance value frameworks
 - Patient education
 - Shared decision making
 - Improved symptom management to help patients stay on drug longer with potential survival benefits

PROs Can Improve Outcomes

- High relevance for managing toxicity in combination trials
- Clinic-based monitoring of 12 common symptoms using the PRO-CTCAE in 766 patients
- Treated with chemotherapy for metastatic breast, genitourinary, gynecologic, or lung cancer
- Triggered email alerts to nurses with severe or significantly worsening symptoms



PROs Can Improve Outcomes

- ER visits at one year (34% vs. 41%) (p=.02)
- Median months on chemotherapy (8.2 vs. 6.3) (p=.002)
- Quality-adjusted one year survival (75% vs. 69%) (p=.05)
- More pronounced benefits for computer-inexperienced patients

Management of Treatment-Related Fatigue



Poort et al., Clin Nurs 2016. Epub ahead of print.

Cognitive Behavioral Intervention: Dramatic Improvements Pts on TKIs



R21 CA191594 (PIs: Jacobsen/Jim).

Current state of the science: EQ-5D

1. Mobility

- □ I have no problems
- □ I have slight problems
- □ I have moderate problems
- □ I have severe problems
- □ I am unable
- 2. Self-care (washing/dressing)
- 3. Usual activities
- 4. Pain/discomfort
- 5. Anxiety/depression

Patient Reported Outcomes Version of the CTCAE (PRO-CTCAE)

Oral		Cardio/Circulat	ory	Neurological		Sleep/Wake		Sexual
Dry mouth	S	Swelling	FSI	Numbness & tingling	SI	Insomnia	SI	Achieve and
Difficulty swallowing	S	Heart palpitations	FS	Dizziness	SI	Fatigue	SI	maintain erection
Mouth/throat sores	SI				No.		10	Ejaculation
Cracking at the		Cutaneous		Visual/Perceptu	Jal	Mood	-14	Decreased libido
corners of the mouth	S	Rash	Р	Blurred vision	SI	Anxious	FSI	Delayed orgasm
(cheilosis/cheilitis)		Skin dryness	S	Flashing lights	Р	Discouraged	FSI	Unable to have
Voice quality	р	Acne	S	Visual floaters	Ρ	Sad	FSI	Orgasm Dain w/soxual
Changes	c	Hair loss	Р	Watery eyes	SI			intercourse
Hoarseness	3	Itching	S	Ringing in ears	S		-	
Gastrointestin	al	Hives	Р			Gynecologic/Urir	nary	Miscellaneou
Taste changes	S	Hand-foot		Attention /Mom	00/	Irregular	122	Breast swelling and
Decreased appetite	SI	syndrome	S	Attention/Mem	or y	periods/vaginal	Р	tenderness
Nausea	FS	Nail loss	P	Concentration	SI	Missed expected		Bruising
Vomiting	FS	Nail ridging	Р	Memory	SI	menstrual period	Ρ	Chills
Heartburn	FS	Nail discoloration	P	Dote		Vaginal discharge	Р	Increased sweating
Gas	Р	Sensitivity to	2	Falli	501	Vaginal dryness	S	Decreased sweating
Bloating	FS	sunlight	Р	General pain	FSI	Painful urination	S	Hot flashes
Hiccups	FS	Bed/pressure sores	P	Headache	FSI	Urinary urgency	FI	Nosebleed
Constinution	c	Radiation skin	6	Muscle pain	FSI	Urinary frequency	PI	Pain and swelling at
Diorrhoa	5	reaction	2	Joint pain	FSI	Change in usual	20 2022	injection site
Abdaminal asia	F	Skin darkening	P			urine color	Р	Body odor
Abdominal pain	FSI	Stretch marks	Р			Urinary incontinence	FI	
Fecal incontinence	FI							

SI
SI
S



	Attributes
F: Frequency	I: Interference
S: Severity	P: Presence/Absence /Amount

S

S

S

s

P FS FS FS FS S

The Mobile Health Platform



Communicating value in health care using Radar Charts (hypothetical data)



PRO Monitoring for Immunotherapy Trials: Summary of Potential Benefits

- Improve reporting to determine <u>clinical benefit</u>
 - Adverse events
 - Palliative benefits
 - Treatment cost
 - Time to return to work / ADL
- Improve management of toxicity
 - Better QOL
 - Longer time on treatment
 - Improved survival
 - Fewer ER visits, hospitalizations
 - Complements translational research

Important for patients,shared decision making and regulatory approval, payers, etc

Summary and call to action

• SITC is well-positioned to:

- Form a working group including; patients, providers, pharma, regulatory, etc
- Develop a common PRO measure(s) to assess symptoms in immunotherapy trials and clinical care.
- Incorporate measure(s) routinely into clinical trials

This will create a future for:

- Data warehouse of ePROs, an in-silico database
- Use machine learning to identify patterns of symptoms that predict cancer progression and life-threatening complications
- Develop and validate algorithms to treat toxicities detected by patient-reported symptoms
- Use technology to deliver solutions to patients and providers

Acknowledgements

- Ryann Espinosa
- Kelly Hyland
- Paul Jacobsen, PhD
- Hans Knoop, PhD
- Ashley Nelson
- Yvelise Rodriguez
- Elad Sharon, MD, MPH
- Michael Postow, MD
- Lori Minasian, MD
- David Cella, PhD

Funding: NCI R21 CA191594, NRG Oncology



Advancing Research. Improving Lives.TM