Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

ADVANCES IN Cancer IMMUNOTHERAPY™

Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Genitourinary Malignancies

Scott E. Delacroix, Jr., M.D.

Associate Professor of Urology

Director of Urologic Oncology

Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center

New Orleans, Louisiana

LSU Health

@UroCancer

AAEM AMERICAN ACADEMY OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE CHAMPION OF THE EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN

ACCC Association of Community Cancer Centers

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Disclosures

- Member-NCI GU Steering Committee
- I will not be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

LSU Health

@UroCancer

Immunotherapy for Metastatic Kidney Cancer (Renal Cell Carcinoma; RCC)

Surgically resectable → Oligo-metastatic → Metastatic



reemakeup.blogspot.com

History of Immunotherapy in mRCC



FDA-approved Immunotherapies for mRCC

Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
High dose Interluekin-2	1992	Metastatic RCC	600,000 International Units/kg (0.037 mg/kg) IV q8hr infused over 15 minutes for a maximum 14 doses, THEN 9 days of rest, followed by a maximum of 14 more doses (1 course)*
Interferon-a (with bevacizumab)	2009	Clear cell RCC***	9 MIU s.c. three times a week
Nivolumab	2015	Clear cell RCC Refractory to prior VEGF Targeted therapy	3mg/kg 240mg IV q 2 week or 480mg IV q 4 wks
Nivolumab +ipilimumab	2018	Clear cell RCC, treatement naïve	3mg/kg nivo plus 1mg/kg ipi q3 wks x 4 doses then nivo maintenance at flat dosing

*Retreatment: Evaluate after 4 weeks, advisable only if tumor shrinkage and no retreatment contraindications (see package insert for details)

High Dose IL-2 in mRCC

- 20 year analysis of 259 patients
- ORR = 20%
  - 9% CR (n = 23)
  - 12% PR (n = 30)
- Median duration of response = 15.5 months
- Median OS = 19 months



Klapper et al. Cancer 2008

Second-Line Nivolumab in mRCC

- CheckMate 025 Phase III trial
- Nivolumab = anti-PD-1 antibody
- Metastatic, clear-cell disease
- One or two previous antiangiogenic treatments
- Nivolumab (3 mg/kg IV Q2W) vs everolimus (10 mg daily)



Motzer et al. NEJM 2015

Second-Line Nivolumab in mRCC

PD-L1 subgroups

PD-L1 ≥ 1%



PD-L1 < 1%



Motzer et al. NEJM 2015

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC

Escudier et al. ESMO 2017



Nivolumab = anti-PD-1 antibody

Ipilimumab = anti-CTLA-4 antibody

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC



Motzer et al. NEJM 2018

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC

PD-L1 Subgroups



Motzer et al. NEJM 2018

In Development: First-line Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab in PD-L1+ mRCC



Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	
Atezo + Bev	11.2 (8.9, 15.0)
Sunitinib	7.7 (6.8, 9.7)
HR, 0.74 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.96)	
P = 0.02[a]	

Motzer et al. ASCO GU 2018
Escudier et al. ASCO 2018

In Development: First-line Checkpoint Inhibitors + Axitinib in mRCC

JAVELIN Renal 101



Motzer et al. ESMO 2018

- KEYNOTE-426
  - Pembrolizumab + axitinib in mRCC
  - Positive for OS and PFS (10/18/2018)

Pembrolizumab plus Axitinib versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma

Brian I. Rini, M.D., Elizabeth R. Plimack, M.D., Viktor Stus, M.D., Ph.D., Rustem Gafanov, M.D., Robert Hawkins, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Dmitry Nosov, M.D., D.Sci., Frédéric Pouliot, M.D., Ph.D., Boris Alekseev, M.D., Denis Soulières, M.D., Bohuslav Melichar, M.D., Ph.D., Ihor Vynnychenko, M.D., Ph.D., Anna Kryzhanivska, M.D., et al., for the KEYNOTE-426 Investigators*





NEJM March 2019

Avelumab plus Axitinib versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma

Robert J. Motzer, M.D., Konstantin Penkov, M.D., Ph.D., John Haanen, Ph.D., Brian Rini, M.D., Laurence Albiges, M.D., Ph.D., Matthew T. Campbell, M.D., Balaji Venugopal, M.D., Christian Kollmannsberger, M.D., Sylvie Negrier, M.D., Ph.D., Motohide Uemura, M.D., Ph.D., Jae L. Lee, M.D., Ph.D., Aleksandr Vasiliev, M.D., et al.



Figure 1. Progression-free Survival.

Progression-free survival among patients with programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1)–positive tumors (Panel A) and among patients in the overall population (Panel B) is shown. NE denotes could not be estimated.

NEJM March 2019

In Development: First-line Pembrolizumab in mRCC

KEYNOTE - 427



	N = 110
Confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	38 (29 – 48)
Confirmed BOR, n (%)	
CR	3 (3)
PR	39 (35)
SD	35 (32)
PD	31 (28)
No assessment	2 (2)

Donskov et al. ESMO 2018

Risk Stratification in mRCC

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) Prognostic Model[a]

Prognostic factors

- Interval from diagnosis to treatment of less than 1 year
- Karnofsky performance status less than 80%
- Serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN)
- Corrected serum calcium greater than the ULN
- Serum hemoglobin less than the lower limit of normal (LLN)

Prognostic risk groups

- Low-risk group: no prognostic factors
- Intermediate-risk group: one or two prognostic factors
- Poor-risk group: three or more prognostic factors

International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium (IMDC) Criteria[c]

Prognostic factors

1. Less than one year from time of diagnosis to systemic therapy
2. Performance status <80% (Karnofsky)
3. Hemoglobin < lower limit of normal (Normal: 120 g/L or 12 g/dL)
4. Calcium > upper limit of normal (Normal: 8.5–10.2 mg/dL)
5. Neutrophil > upper limit of normal (Normal: 2.0–7.0×10^9/L)
6. Platelets > upper limit of normal (Normal: 150,000–400,000)

Prognostic risk groups

- Favorable-risk group: no prognostic factors
- Intermediate-risk group: one or two prognostic factors
- Poor-risk group: three to six prognostic factors

[a]Motzer RJ, Bacik J, Murphy BA, et al. Interferon-alfa as a comparative treatment for clinical trials of new therapies against advanced renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:289-296.
[b]Hudes G, Carducci M, Tomczak P, et al. Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2007;356:2271-2281.
[c]Heng DY, Xie W, Regan MM, Warren MA, et al. Prognostic factors for overall survival in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with vascular endothelial growth factor-targeted agents: Results from a large, multicenter study. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:5794-5799.

NCCN 3.2019

RELAPSE OR STAGE IV: FIRST-LINE THERAPY FOR CLEAR CELL HISTOLOGY			
	Preferred regimens	**Other recommended regimens**	**Useful under certain circumstances**
Favorable risk[j]	• Pazopanib (category 1) • Sunitinib (category 1)	• Ipilimumab + nivolumab • Cabozantinib (category 2B)	• Active surveillance[k] • Axitinib (category 2B) • Bevacizumab + interferon alfa-2b (category 1) • High-dose IL-2[l]
Poor/ intermediate risk[j]	• Ipilimumab + nivolumab (category 1) • Cabozantinib	• Pazopanib (category 1) • Sunitinib (category 1)	• Axitinib (category 2B) • Bevacizumab + interferon alfa-2b (category 1) • High-dose IL-2[l] • Temsirolimus (category 1)[m]

RELAPSE OR STAGE IV: SUBSEQUENT THERAPY FOR CLEAR CELL HISTOLOGY[n]		
Preferred regimens	**Other recommended regimens**	**Useful under certain circumstances**
• Cabozantinib (category 1) • Nivolumab (category 1) • Ipilimumab + nivolumab	• Axitinib (category 1) • Lenvatinib + everolimus (category 1) • Everolimus • Pazopanib • Sunitinib	• Bevacizumab (category 2B) • Sorafenib (category 2B) • High-dose IL-2 for selected patients[l] (category 2B) • Temsirolimus (category 2B)[m]

Non-Clear Cell Histology

RELAPSE OR STAGE IV: SYSTEMIC THERAPY NON-CLEAR CELL HISTOLOGY[n,o]		
Preferred regimens	**Other recommended regimens**	**Useful under certain circumstances**
• Clinical trial • Sunitinib	• Cabozantinib • Everolimus	• Axitinib • Bevacizumab • Erlotinib • Lenvatinib + everolimus • Nivolumab • Pazopanib • Bevacizumab + erlotinib for selected patients with advanced papillary RCC including HLRCC • Bevacizumab + everolimus • Temsirolimus (category 1 for poor-prognosis risk group;[m] category 2A for other risk groups)

Immunotherapy for Metastatic Bladder Cancer (Urothelial Carcinoma; UC)

Non-Muscle Invasive → Muscle Invasive → Metastatic

TNM	TIS	T_a	T_1	T_{2A}	T_{2B}	T_{3B}	T_{4A}
JSM	0	0	A	B_1	B_2	C	D_1



Approved Checkpoint Inhibitors for mUC

Cisplatin Refractory

Drug/Trial name	Phase	No. of patients	ORR	PFS	OS	Duration of response	Grade 3/4 AE (treatment related deaths)	Maximal duration of treatment
CISPLATIN REFRACTORY								
Atezolizumab IMvigor210 cohort 2	II	310	16% (6% CR)	2.1 mo	7.9 mo (1yr 29%)	22.1 mo	18% (0 deaths)	NR
Atezolizumab IMvigor211	III	931	13%	NR	8.6 mo	21.7 mo	20%	NR
Pembrolizumab KEYNOTE-045	III	542	21%	2.1 mo	10.3 mo	NR	14% (4 deaths)	2 years
Nivolumab CheckMate275	II	265	19.6% (2% CR)	2 mo	8.7 mo	NR	18% (3 deaths)	NR
Avelumab JAVELIN	Ib	242*	17% (6% CR)	6.6 weeks	6.5 mo	NR	10% (1 death)	NR
Durvalumab	I/II	191	17.8% (4% CR)	1.5 mo	18.2 mo	NR	7% (2 deaths)	1 year

Anti-PD-L1 Antibodies

1) Atezolizumab
2) Avelumab
3) Durvalumab

Anti-PD-1 Antibodies

1) Nivolumab
2) Pembrolizumab

In development: Combinations

1) IO + IO
2) IO + Chemotherapy

Ghatalia et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2018

Approved Checkpoint Inhibitors for mUC

Cisplatin Ineligible

CISPLATIN INELIGIBLE								
Atezolizumab IMvigor210 cohort 1	II	119	23% (9% CR)	2.7 mo	15.9 mo, 1yr 57%	NR	16% (1 death)	NR
Pembrolizumab KEYNOTE-052	II	370	29% (7% CR)	6mo 30%	6 mo 67%	NR	19% (1 death)	2 years

Anti-PD-L1 Antibodies

1) Atezolizumab
   - PD-L1 stained tumor-infiltrating immune cells [IC] covering ≥5% of the tumor area

Anti-PD-1 Antibodies

1) Pembrolizumab
   - PD-L1 CPS ≥ 10

In development: Combinations

1) IO + IO
2) IO + Chemotherapy

Ghatalia et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2018

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) May Signal Responses with PD-1 Blockade

Atezolizumab in mUC



Rosenberg et al. Lancet 2016

PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEMIC THERAPY

First-line systemic therapy for locally advanced or metastatic disease (Stage IV)	
Cisplatin eligible	**Preferred regimens** • Gemcitabine and cisplatin[4] (category 1) • DDMVAC with growth factor support (category 1)[2,8]
Cisplatin ineligible	**Preferred regimens** • Gemcitabine and carboplatin[11] • Atezolizumab[12] (only for patients whose tumors express PD-L1[a] or who are not eligible for any platinum-containing chemotherapy regardless of PD-L1 expression) • Pembrolizumab[13] (only for patients whose tumors express PD-L1[b] or who are not eligible for any platinum-containing chemotherapy regardless of PD-L1 expression) **Other recommended regimens** • Gemcitabine[14] • Gemcitabine and paclitaxel[15] **Useful under certain circumstances** • Ifosfamide, doxorubicin, and gemcitabine[16] (for patients with good kidney function and good PS)

- The presence of both non-nodal metastases and ECOG performance score ≥2 strongly predict poor outcome with chemotherapy. Patients without these adverse prognostic factors have the greatest benefit from chemotherapy. The impact of these factors in relation to immune checkpoint inhibition is not fully defined, but they remain poor prognostic indicators in general.
- For most patients, the risks of adding paclitaxel to gemcitabine and cisplatin outweigh the limited benefit seen in the randomized trial.[17]
- A substantial proportion of patients cannot receive cisplatin-based chemotherapy due to renal impairment or other comorbidities.
  - Participation in clinical trials of new or more tolerable therapy is recommended.

PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEMIC THERAPY

Subsequent systemic therapy for locally advanced or metastatic disease (Stage IV) (post-platinum)[c] Participation in clinical trials of new agents is recommended.	
Preferred regimen • Pembrolizumab (category 1)[18]	**Other recommended regimens** • Albumin-bound paclitaxel[26] • Paclitaxel or docetaxel[24] • Gemcitabine[14] • Pemetrexed[25]
Alternative preferred regimens • Atezolizumab[19] • Nivolumab[20] • Durvalumab[21] • Avelumab[22,23]	**Useful in certain circumstances based on prior medical therapy** • Ifosfamide[27] • Methotrexate • Ifosfamide, doxorubicin, and gemcitabine[16] • Gemcitabine and paclitaxel[15] • Gemcitabine and cisplatin[4] • DDMVAC with growth factor support[2]

The Spectrum of Prostate Cancer



Sipuleucel-T in mCRPC

- First anticancer therapeutic vaccine



Drake et al. Curr Opin Urol 2010



Kantoff et al. NEJM 2010

Limited efficacy of Checkpoint Inhibitors in mCRPC

No FDA-approved CIs for mCRPC

Ex. – KEYNOTE-199 (Pembrolizumab)



DeBono et al. ASCO 2018

- Pembrolizumab is approved for all Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) solid tumors
- MSI-H incidence is low in PC
  - Localized PC ~2%
  - Autopsy series of mCRPC ~12%
- MSI testing may offer pembrolizumab as an option
- Tumor agnostic approval by FDA

Future Combinations in mCRPC to Engage Immune System

- Hormonal therapy
- Radiation
- Radium-223
- PARP inhibitors
- Chemotherapy
- New targets



Stein et al. Asian J Andrology 2014

irAEs with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in GU Cancers

Meta-analysis of 8 studies

- Similar incidence overall

Adverse event	Incidence, any grade (GU only trials) (%)	Incidence, grades 3–5 (GU only trials) (%)	Incidence any grade (non-GU clinical trials) (%)	Incidence, grades 3–5 (non-GU clinical trials) (%)
Hypothyroid/ thyroiditis	0.8–9	0–0.6	3.9–12	0–0.1
Diabetes/DKA	0–1.5	0–0.7	0.8–0.8	0.4–0.7
LFT changes/ hepatitis	1.5–5.4	1–3.8	0.3–3.4	0.3–2.7
Pneumonitis	2–4.4	0–2	1.8–3.5	0.25–1.9
Encephalitis	NR	NR	0.2–0.8	0.0–0.2
Colitis/diarrhea	1–10	1–10	2.4–4.1	1.0–2.5
Hypophysitis	0–0.5	0–0.2	0.2–0.9	0.2–0.4
Renal Dysfunction/ nephritis	0.3–1.6	0–1.6	0.3–4.9	0.0–0.5
Myositis	0.8–5	0–0.8	NR	NR

Maughan et al. Front Oncol 2017

Immune-related Adverse Events

Table 2 General guidance for corticosteroid management of immune-related adverse events

Grade of immune-related AE (CTCAE/equivalent)	Corticosteroid management	Additional notes
1	• Corticosteroids not usually indicated	• Continue immunotherapy
2	• If indicated, start oral prednisone 0.5-1 mg/kg/day if patient can take oral medication. • If IV required, start methylprednisolone 0.5-1 mg/kg/day IV • If no improvement in 2–3 days, increase corticosteroid dose to 2 mg/kg/day • Once improved to ≤grade 1 AE, start 4–6 week steroid taper	• Hold immunotherapy during corticosteroid use • Continue immunotherapy once resolved to ≤grade 1 and off corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis
3	• Start prednisone 1-2 mg/kg/day (or equivalent dose of methylprednisolone) • If no improvement in 2–3 days, add additional/alternative immune suppressant • Once improved to ≤ grade 1, start 4–6-week steroid taper • Provide supportive treatment as needed	• Hold immunotherapy; if symptoms do not improve in 4–6 weeks, discontinue immunotherapy • Consider intravenous corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis • Add PCP prophylaxis if more than 3 weeks of immunosuppression expected (>30 mg prednisone or equivalent/day)
4	• Start prednisone 1-2 mg/kg/day (or equivalent dose of methylprednisolone) • If no improvement in 2–3 days, add additional/alternative immune suppressant, e.g., infliximab • Provide supportive care as needed	• Discontinue immunotherapy • Continue intravenous corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis • Add PCP prophylaxis if more than 3 weeks of immunosuppression expected (>30 mg prednisone or equivalent/day)

Puzanov Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer 2017

Additional Resources



Rini *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:81
DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0180-7

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma

Brian I. Rini[1], David F. McDermott[2], Hans Hammers[3], William Bro[4], Ronald M. Bukowski[5], Bernard Faba[6], Jo Faba[6], Robert A. Figlin[7], Thomas Hutson[8], Eric Jonasch[9], Richard W. Joseph[10], Bradley C. Leibovich[11], Thomas Olencki[12], Allan J. Pantuck[13], David I. Quinn[14], Virginia Seery[2], Martin H. Voss[15], Christopher G. Wood[9], Laura S. Wood[1] and Michael B. Atkins[16*]

Kamat *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2017) 5:68
DOI 10.1186/s40425-017-0271-0

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of bladder carcinoma

Ashish M. Kamat[1*], Joaquim Bellmunt[2], Matthew D. Galsky[3], Badrinath R. Konety[4], Donald L. Lamm[5], David Langham[6], Cheryl T. Lee[7], Matthew I. Milowsky[8], Michael A. O'Donnell[9], Peter H. O'Donnell[10], Daniel P. Petrylak[11], Padmanee Sharma[12], Ella C. Skinner[13], Guru Sonpavde[14], John A. Taylor III[15], Prasanth Abraham[16] and Jonathan E. Rosenberg[17]



McNeel *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:92
DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0198-x

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of prostate carcinoma

Douglas G. McNeel[1], Neil H. Bander[2], Tomasz M. Beer[3], Charles G. Drake[4], Lawrence Fong[5], Stacey Harrelson[6], Philip W. Kantoff[7], Ravi A. Madan[8], William K. Oh[9], David J. Peace[10], Daniel P. Petrylak[11], Hank Porterfield[12], Oliver Sartor[13], Neal D. Shore[6], Susan F. Slovin[7], Mark N. Stein[14], Johannes Vieweg[15] and James L. Gulley[16*]

- 46 year old mother of 4
- Tobacco x 15 years 1PPD
- ECOG PS 0
- 6 month Flank Pain and Int GH- Tx Pyelo x3 Urologist
- Outside URS was negative with suspicious cytology






0 mm
DFOV 50.0 cm
2.67
0.00
50 % PET
2.67
0.00
% PET
601/16
DFOV 50.0 cm
2.67
0.00
50 % PET
601
3.3/
DFOV 50.0 cm
2.67
0.00
50 % PET
3.3/
60

- 4 cycles ddMVAC with moderate response in Primary
- Persistent FDG PET Positive LN's in RPN and now in the Mediastinum (nodal progression)
- Options:

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

- 4 cycles ddMVAC with moderate response in Primary
- Persistent FDG PET Positive LN's in RPN and now in the Mediastinum (nodal progression)
- Options:
  - Surgery with RPLND and Mediastinal LND→ Adjuvant Trial
  - More Cytotoxic Chemotherapy/Alternate Regimen
  - I/O: Pembrolizumab

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

- 4 cycles ddMVAC with moderate response in Primary
- Persistent FDG PET Positive LN's in RPN and now in the Mediastinum (nodal progression)
- Options:
  - ~~Surgery with RPLND and Mediastinal LND~~
  - ~~More Cytotoxic Chemotherapy/Alternate Regimen~~
  - I/O: Pembrolizumab
  - Unknown for the Durable CR patients:
    - When do we stop I/O?
    - Any role for consolidation if residual Primary?

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

2019
Rare role for IO in Prostate Cancer

73 year old white male with progressive CRPC

2010-2014	Rising PSA but no biopsy
2014:	CT3BN1M1b Gleason 9 (4+5) PCA. PSA 24 ADT alone
2015:	Phase 2 Trial MDACC- PI Brian Chapin/Ana Aparicio Treatment of Primary
2016:	Progression CRPC (early asymptomatic). GMA Negative Sipuleucel T (3/3)
2016:Late	Progression Radiographically PCWG3 Alliance A031201. Abiraterone + Enzalutamide
2018:Early	PCWG 3 Progression in Bone (symptomatic) and Nodes PSA 8.5 6 Cycles Taxotere Good response PCWG3 and PSA 2.5
2018: Mid	Xofigo x 6
2018: December	Asymptomatic Rise in PSA-- desired treatment break
2019-March	Symptomatic Progression in Femur and Radiographically with progressive bone. SRT to femur, Biopsy of site. Plan for Cabazitaxel.
2019-April	NGS-MSI High Pembrolizumab initiated.



NCTN Genitourinary Cancer Trials Portfolio (Open as of 1/17/2019)

Each far right box includes the NCTN protocol number with a hyperlink to the associated ClinicalTrials.gov webpage. Click on it to view the protocol title and study information.