

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Advances in Cancer Immunotherapy Post-Program Webinar - Updates from the Field: Clinical Updates from SITC 2018

Monday December 10, 2018

1-2pm CST

#### Disclosures

#### Nektar Therapeutics – Advisory Board/Honorarium



#### SITC 2018 update

- Biomarkers and Immune Monitoring
- Cellular Metabolism and Antitumor Immunity
- Cellular Therapy Approaches
- Clinical Trials (completed)
- Clinical Trials (in progress)
- Combination Therapy



# Using assays together increases positive predictive value of responding to anti-PD(L)1 therapy

- Meta-analysis of 44 papers/abstracts examining the association between overall response rate to anti-PD(L)1 monotherapy and reported biomarkers including:
  - PD-L1 immunohistochemistry
  - Tumor mutation burden (TMB)
  - Gene expression profiling (GEP)
  - multiplex immunohistochemistry/immunofluorescence (mIHC/IF)



Abstract 6: Steve Lu et al. Comparison of biomarker assay modalities in anti-PD-(L)1 monotherapy: a meta-analysis

TMB has a modestly better performance relative to PD-L1 IHC, and newer approaches such as GEP and mIHC/IF may have improved sensitivity and specificity.





Abstract 6: Steve Lu et al. Comparison of biomarker assay modalities in anti-PD-(L)1 monotherapy: a meta-analysis

# B-cell signatures are prognostic and predictive factors for response to immune checkpoint blockade.

- Performed transcriptomic profiling on longitudinal blood specimens from a neoadjuvant immune checkpoint blockade trial in patients with high-risk, resectable melanoma (NCT02519322)
- Most differential gene expression over time in responders were B-cell related genes
   MZB1, BTLA, and IGLL5 (NR)
- Findings were validated in a renal cell carcinoma cohort (NCT02210117) and the melanoma TCGA dataset, in which B lineage scores were predictive of response
- Assessment of tissue sections from tumor samples demonstrated co-localization of the B cells in tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) with CD8 and CD4 T-cells and CD21 follicular dendritic cells.



Abstract 5: Sangeetha Reddy et al. B-Cells and tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) predict response to immune checkpoint blockade

#### SITC 2018 update

- Biomarkers and Immune Monitoring
- Cellular Metabolism and Antitumor Immunity
- Cellular Therapy Approaches
- Clinical Trials (completed)
- Clinical Trials (in progress)
- Combination Therapy



### C/EBP homologous protein (Chop) represses Tbet, and abrogates effector T cell activity

Chop is upregulated in tumor infiltrating CD8+ T cells from patients with advanced ovarian carcinoma





Abstract 9: Yu Cao et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced transcription factor C/EBP homologous protein (Chop) thwarts effector T cell activity in tumors through repression of T-bet

## C/EBP homologous protein (Chop) represses Tbet, and abrogates effector T cell activity

- Chop deficient mice have reduced tumor growth
- Deletion of Chop in CD8+ T cells enhanced effector/cytotoxic pathways, promoted significant antitumor effects, and overcame tumor-induced T cell tolerance





Abstract 9: Yu Cao et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced transcription factor C/EBP homologous protein (Chop) thwarts effector T cell activity in tumors through repression of T-bet

#### SITC 2018 update

- Biomarkers and Immune Monitoring
- Cellular Metabolism and Antitumor Immunity
- Cellular Therapy Approaches
- Clinical Trials (completed)
- Clinical Trials (in progress)
- Combination Therapy



# NY-ESO-1c259T-cells in myxoid/round cell liposarcoma (MRCLS) have an acceptable safety profile with potential antitumor effects.

 Open label phase I/II single arm pilot study evaluating affinity enhanced autologous NY-ESO-1c259T-cells (SPEAR Tcells) recognizing an NY-ESO-1-derived peptide complexed with HLA-A\*02 in MRCLS (NCT02992743)



https://www.adaptimmune.com/technology/manufacturing

Abstract 18: Sandra D'Angelo et al. Preliminary clinical data from a pilot study of NYESO-1c259T-cells in advanced myxoid/round cell liposarcoma



#### NY-ESO-1c259T-cells in myxoid/round cell liposarcoma (MRCLS) have an acceptable safety profile with potential antitumor effects.

- 1–8 × 10<sup>9</sup> transduced T-cells are infused on day 1 after lymphodepletion with fludarabine and cyclophosphamide on d -7 to -5.
- Thirteen patients were enrolled, and 10 received the TCR therapy
- 4 of the 8 patients (50%) have achieved a confirmed partial response (PR) and 50% have stable disease (SD) as the best overall response.
  - Duration of responses varies from 4 weeks to greater than 5 months
  - AEs≥ grade 3 in these 8 patients include lymphopenia (6), neutropenia (5), leukopenia (5), thrombocytopenia (3), hypophosphatemia (2), anemia (1), cytokine release syndrome (1; SAE), pyrexia (1) and leukocytosis (1).



#### SITC 2018 update

- Biomarkers and Immune Monitoring
- Cellular Metabolism and Antitumor Immunity
- Cellular Therapy Approaches
- Clinical Trials (completed)
- Clinical Trials (in progress)
- Combination Therapy



# Combining Mogamulizumab with either Durvalumab or Tremelimumab in solid tumors is tolerable and decreases eTregs in peripheral blood.

 Multicenter, Phase 1, open label, dose escalation/cohort expansion study of Mogamulizumab in combination with either Durvalumab or Tremelimumab in adult subjects with advanced solid tumors (NCT02301130).

T-cell subset	The dominant-type chemokine receptor	
T,1 cells	CXCR3	
T <sub>h</sub> 2 cells	CCR4	Mogamulizumah
T <sub>ren</sub> cells	CCR4	mogamanzamas
ĊĽĂ+ skin-homing T cells	CCR4	
α4β7+ intestine-homing T cells	CCR6	
T, 17 cells	CCR6	
Naive T cells	CCR7	
Central memory T cells	CCR7	
Skin resident T cells	CCR8	
Intestine resident T cells	CCR9	
Follicular helper T cells	CXCR5	
Cytotoxic effector T cells	CX3CR1	



Yoshie and Matsushima 2015 Int Immunol

Abstract 19: Dmitriy Zamarin et al. Phase 1 study using mogamulizumab (KW-0761) to deplete regulatory T cells in combination with checkpoint inhibitors durvalumab (MEDI4736) or tremelimumab in subjects with advanced solid tumors

# Combining Mogamulizumab with either Durvalumab or Tremelimumab in solid tumors is tolerable and decreases eTregs in peripheral blood.





Abstract 19: Dmitriy Zamarin et al. Phase 1 study using mogamulizumab (KW-0761) to deplete regulatory T cells in combination with checkpoint inhibitors durvalumab (MEDI4736) or tremelimumab in subjects with advanced solid tumors

#### Table 2.

A total of 64 subjects were enrolled and treated: n=40 in Part 1 and n=24 in Part 2.

Dose escalations were completed in Part 1 without any dose-limiting toxicities, and combinations of 1 mg/kg Moga with 10 mg/kg of either Durva or Treme were used to treat an expansion cohort with pancreatic cancer in Part 2.



	Treatment A* (Moga+Durva)	Treatment Ba (Moga+Treme)
Part 1 (Dose escalation) All dose cohorts	N-21	N=19
Any TEAE <sup>b</sup> (n, %)	21 (100.0)	19 (100.0)
≥Grade 3 (n, %)	15(71.4)	15 (78.9
>Grade 3, related to either IMP (n, %)	6 (28.6)	9 (47.4)
SAE(6, %)	12 (57.1)	9 (47.4)
SAE, related to either IMP(a, %)	4 (19.0)	5 (26.3)
Most common TEAEs (preferred term, %)	Fatigase 12 (57.1) Diarrhea 9 (42.9)	Diarrhea 10 (52.6) Entigue 9 (47.4) Decr appetite 8 (42.1)
Part 2 (Dose expansion) Pancreatic cancer	N=12	N=12
Any TEAE* (n, %)	12 (100.0)	12 (100.0)
≥Gende 3 (n, %)	10 (83.3)	10 (83.3)
≥Grade 3, related to either IMP (n, %)	4 (33.3)	4 (33.3)
SAE (n. %)	10 (83.3)	8 (66.7)
SAE, related to either IMP(n, %)	3 (25.0)	1 (8.3)
Most common TEAEs (preferred term, %)	Fatigne 11 (91.7) Abdominal pain 9 (75.0) Constipation 6 (50.0) Nausea 6 (50.0)	Edem a peripheral 6 (50.0) IRR 6 (50.0) Hyp-matremia 6 (50.0)

a: In Part 2, Treatment A = 1 mg/kg Mega + 10 mg/kg Durva; Treatment B = 1mg/kg Mega + 10mg/kg Trems.

be TEALs during any cycle

Decredecreased; DIP-investigational medicinal product; IKR-infusion-related reaction; Maga-megamulizumab; SAE-perious adverse event; Treme-tremelinumab

#### Change in Tumor Burden Over Time: Moga+Durva



Weeks from first Mogamulizumab Dose

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Abstract 19: Dmitriy Zamarin et al. Phase 1 study using mogamulizumab (KW-0761) to deplete regulatory T cells in combination with checkpoint inhibitors durvalumab (MEDI4736) or tremelimumab in subjects with advanced solid tumors

#### Change in Tumor Burden Over Time: Moga+Treme



Weeks from first Mogamulizumab Dose

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Abstract 19: Dmitriy Zamarin et al. Phase 1 study using mogamulizumab (KW-0761) to deplete regulatory T cells in combination with checkpoint inhibitors durvalumab (MEDI4736) or tremelimumab in subjects with advanced solid tumors

#### SITC 2018 update

- Biomarkers and Immune Monitoring
- Cellular Metabolism and Antitumor Immunity
- Cellular Therapy Approaches
- Clinical Trials (completed)
- Clinical Trials (in progress)
- Combination Therapy



# TIL therapy with lifileucel is an efficacious and well tolerated therapeutic option for metastatic melanoma

 Global phase 2, open-label, multicenter study of efficacy and safety of lifileucel (TIL) in patients with unresectable metastatic melanoma (NCT02360579)

OVERVIEW OF TIL THERAPY PROCEDURE



# TIL therapy with lifileucel is an efficacious and well tolerated therapeutic option for metastatic melanoma

- Patients receive one week of cyclophosphamide/fludarabine lymphodepletion, followed by a single infusion of lifileucel, plus up to 6 doses of intravenous IL-2 (600,000 IU/kg).
- ORR=33% (1 uCR, 7 PR, 2 uPR), DCR=73%, median follow-up of all patients was 6 months, median time to initial response 1.7 months (range: 1.6-4.4 months), and median DOR not reached (8 ongoing responders out of 10).

Median follow up for all responders was 4.5 months.



Abstract 22: Amod Sarnaik et al. Safety and efficacy of cryopreserved autologous tumor infiltrating lymphocyte therapy (LN-144, lifileucel) in advanced metastatic melanoma patients following progression on checkpoint inhibitors tumors

#### SITC 2018 update

- Biomarkers and Immune Monitoring
- Cellular Metabolism and Antitumor Immunity
- Cellular Therapy Approaches
- Clinical Trials (completed)
- Clinical Trials (in progress)
- Combination Therapy



#### A phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study of enoblituzumab (anti-**B7-H3) in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with select** solid tumors (NCT02475213)





Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Mahoney KM et al. 2015 Nat Rev Drug Discovery

Abstract 24: Charu Aggarwal et al. A phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study of enoblituzumab in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with select solid tumors.

Enoblituzumab+pembrolizumab combination demonstrated an acceptable safety profile and initial antitumor activity in patients with checkpoint-inhibitor-naïve head and neck cancer

 Treatment-related AE, (all grade) occurred in 85% of patients, with > G3 in 28%.

• ORR 6/18 (33%), including 4 confirmed and 2 unconfirmed PR



Abstract 24: Charu Aggarwal et al. A phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study of enoblituzumab in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with select solid tumors.

#### Phase 1 dose-finding study of the anti–TIGIT antibody MK-7684 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with advanced solid tumors (NCT02964013)



sitc

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Phase 1 dose-finding study of the anti–TIGIT antibody MK-7684 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with advanced solid tumors (NCT02964013)





# Anti-TIGIT (MK-7684) as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab was well tolerated and across all dose levels. AEs occurred in 53% of monotherapy and 65% of combination therapy recipients (grade 3-5, 6% and 12%)

#### MK-7684 Monotherapy Occurred in ≥2 patients, n (%) N = 34Fatigue 5 (15%) Pruritus 4 (12%) Anemia 3 (9%) Infusion-related reaction 3 (9%) Arthralgia 2 (6%) Decreased appetite 2 (6%) Dermatitis acneiform 2 (6%) Diarrhea 2 (6%) Headache 2 (6%) Nausea 2 (6%) Rash 2 (6%) Rash maculopapular 2 (6%)

• 2 grade 3: anemia and diarrhea (n = 1 each)

0 grade 4 or 5

#### MK-7684 + Pembrolizumab

Occurred in ≥2 patients, n (%)	N = 47
Pruritus	10 (21%)
Fatigue	4 (9%)
Nausea	4 (9%)
Rash	4 (9%)
Decreased appetite	3 (6%)
Diarrhea	3 (6%)
ALT increased	2 (4%)
Dyspnea	2 (4%)
Hypophosphatemia	2 (4%)
Neuropathy peripheral	2 (4%)
Pyrexia	2 (4%)
Rash maculopapular	2 (4%)

 5 grade 3: ALT increased, colitis, γGT increased, hypersensitivity, and rash maculopapular (n = 1 each)

0 grade 4 or 5



# Anti-TIGIT (MK-7684) as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab was well tolerated and across all dose levels.

Response	MK-7684 Monotherapy N = 34	MK-7684 + Pembrolizumab N = 43 <sup>b</sup>
ORR, % (95% CI)	3% (<1-15)	19% (8-33)
DCR, % (95% CI)	35% (20-54)	47% (31-62)
Best response, n (%)		
Complete response	0	0
Partial response	1 (3%)	8 (19%)
Stable disease	<mark>11 (</mark> 32%)	12 (28%)
Progressive disease	13 (38%)	20 (47%)
Not assessed <sup>c</sup>	9 (26%)	3 (7%)



# Anti-TIGIT (MK-7684) as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab was well tolerated and across all dose levels.





#### The anti–LAG-3 antibody MK-4280 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab for advanced solid tumors: first-in-human phase 1 dose-finding study (NCT02720068)







Abstract 26: Nehal Lakani et al. The anti–LAG-3 antibody MK-4280 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab for advanced solid tumors: first-in-human phase 1 dose-finding study The anti–LAG-3 antibody MK-4280 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab for advanced solid tumors: first-in-human phase 1 dose-finding study (NCT02720068)

MK-4280

21 mg

Pembro

200 mg

Arm 2: MK-4280 + Pembrolizumab

MK-4280

70 mg

Pembro

200 mg



MK-4280

7 mg

Pembro

200 mg





Abstract 26: Nehal Lakani et al. The anti–LAG-3 antibody MK-4280 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab for advanced solid tumors: first-in-human phase 1 dose-finding study

MK-4280

210 mg

Pembro

200 mg

MK-4280

700 mg

Pembro

200 mg

#### Anti-LAG3 (MK-4280) as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab was well tolerated and shows antitumor activity in combination.

• AEs occurred in 61% of monotherapy and 53% of combination therapy recipients, were of grade 3-4 toxicity in 6% and 20%

Adverse Event, n (%)	MK-4280 Monotherapy N = 18	MK-4280 + Pembrolizumab N = 15
Any attribution		
Any grade	17 (94%)	15 (100%)
Grade 3	9 (50%)	9 (60%)
Grade 4	0	0
Grade 5	0	0
Led to discontinuation	1 (6%)	3 (20%)
Treatment related		
Any grade	11 (61%)	8 (53%)
Grade 3	1 (6%)	3 (20%)
Grade 4	0	0
Grade 5	0	0
Led to discontinuation	1 (6%)	2 (13%)



Abstract 26: Nehal Lakani et al. The anti–LAG-3 antibody MK-4280 as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab for advanced solid tumors: first-in-human phase 1 dose-finding study

#### Anti-LAG3 (MK-4280) as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab was well tolerated and shows antitumor activity in combination.

ORR was 6% with monotherapy (1 PR) and 27% with combination therapy (4 PRs)







#### Conclusions

- Biomarkers are sorely needed to predict who will respond to anti-PD1 therapy
  - There is a wide range of sensitivity and specificity with the currently available assays used to predict sensitive tumors, but they may work best in combination
  - B cell signature may be critical
- Cellular therapies continue to show favorable safety and efficacy profiles
  - TCR transduced T cells targeting NY-ESO-1 are safe and show response rates 50%
  - TILs + IL-2 are safe and show response rates 33%
- Combination therapies are proving to be tolerable and may show clinical activity
  - CCR4 + anti-PDL1 or anti-CTLA4
  - Anti-B7H3 + anti-PD1
  - Anti-TIGIT + anti-PD1
  - Anti-LAG3 + anti-PD1



In a meta-analysis of 44 papers and abstracts, which of the following biomarkers was most effective in predicting overall response rate to **PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy?** A. PD-L1 immunohistochemistry B. Tumor mutation burden C. Gene expression profiling D. Multiplex immunohistochemistry immunofluorescence



Abstract O6: Steve Lu et al. Comparison of biomarker assay modalities in anti-PD-(L)1 monotherapy: a meta-analysis

In a meta-analysis of 44 papers and abstracts, which of the following biomarkers was most effective in predicting overall response rate to **PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy?** A. PD-L1 immunohistochemistry **B.** Tumor mutation burden C. Gene expression profiling D. Multiplex immunohistochemistry immunofluorescence



Abstract O6: Steve Lu et al. Comparison of biomarker assay modalities in anti-PD-(L)1 monotherapy: a meta-analysis

Checkpoint inhibitors target all of the following molecules EXCEPT:

A. PD-1
B. B7-H3
C. CCR4
D. TIGIT
E. LAG3



Checkpoint inhibitors target all of the following molecules EXCEPT:

A. PD-1
B. B7-H3
C. CCR4
D. TIGIT
E. LAG3

