Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

ADVANCES IN Cancer IMMUNOTHERAPY™

Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Genitourinary Malignancies

Elizabeth R. Kessler, MD
Assistant Professor
University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

AAEM AMERICAN ACADEMY OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE
CHAMPION OF THE EMERGENCY PHYSICIAN

ACCC Association of Community Cancer Centers

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Disclosures

- Astellas pharmaceuticals, investigator funding
- I will not be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

Immunotherapy for Metastatic Kidney Cancer (Renal Cell Carcinoma; RCC)

Surgically resectable → Oligo-metastatic → Metastatic



reemakeup.blogspot.com

History of Immunotherapy in mRCC



FDA-approved Immunotherapies for mRCC

Drug	Approved	Indication	Dose
High dose Interluekin-2	1992	Metastatic RCC	600,000 International Units/kg (0.037 mg/kg) IV q8hr infused over 15 minutes for a maximum 14 doses, THEN 9 days of rest, followed by a maximum of 14 more doses (1 course)*
Interferon-a (with bevacizumab)	2009	Clear cell RCC***	9 MIU s.c. three times a week
Nivolumab	2015	Clear cell RCC Refractory to prior VEGF Targeted therapy	3mg/kg 240mg IV q 2 week or 480mg IV q 4 wks
Nivolumab +ipilimumab	2018	Clear cell RCC, treatment naïve	3mg/kg nivo plus 1mg/kg ipi q3 wks x 4 doses then nivo maintenance at flat dosing

*Retreatment: Evaluate after 4 weeks, advisable only if tumor shrinkage and no retreatment contraindications (see package insert for details)

High Dose IL-2 in mRCC

- 20 year analysis of 259 patients
- ORR = 20%
  - 9% CR (n = 23)
  - 12% PR (n = 30)
- Median duration of response = 15.5 months
- Median OS = 19 months



Klapper et al. Cancer 2008

Second-Line Nivolumab in mRCC

- CheckMate 025 Phase III trial
- Nivolumab = anti-PD-1 antibody
- Metastatic, clear-cell disease
- One or two previous antiangiogenic treatments
- Nivolumab (3 mg/kg IV Q2W) vs everolimus (10 mg daily)



Motzer et al. NEJM 2015

Second-Line Nivolumab in mRCC

PD-L1 subgroups

PD-L1 ≥ 1%



PD-L1 < 1%



Motzer et al. NEJM 2015

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC

Escudier et al. ESMO 2017



Nivolumab = anti-PD-1 antibody

Ipilimumab = anti-CTLA-4 antibody

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC



Motzer et al. NEJM 2018

First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in mRCC

PD-L1 Subgroups



Motzer et al. NEJM 2018

In Development: First-line Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab in PD-L1+ mRCC



Median PFS, mo (95% CI)	
Atezo + Bev	11.2 (8.9, 15.0)
Sunitinib	7.7 (6.8, 9.7)
HR, 0.74 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.96)	
P = 0.02[a]	

Motzer et al. ASCO GU 2018
Escudier et al. ASCO 2018

In Development: First-line Checkpoint Inhibitors + Axitinib in mRCC

JAVELIN Renal 101



Motzer et al. ESMO 2018

- KEYNOTE-426
  - Pembrolizumab + axitinib in mRCC
  - Positive for OS and PFS (10/18/2018)

In Development: First-line Pembrolizumab in mRCC

KEYNOTE - 427



	N = 110
Confirmed ORR, % (95% CI)	38 (29 – 48)
Confirmed BOR, n (%)	
CR	3 (3)
PR	39 (35)
SD	35 (32)
PD	31 (28)
No assessment	2 (2)

Donskov et al. ESMO 2018

Immunotherapy for Metastatic Bladder Cancer (Urothelial Carcinoma; UC)

Non-Muscle Invasive → Muscle Invasive → Metastatic

TNM	TIS	T_a	T_1	T_{2A}	T_{2B}	T_{3B}	T_{4A}
JSM	0	0	A	B_1	B_2	C	D_1



Approved Checkpoint Inhibitors for mUC

Platinum Refractory

Drug/Trial name	Phase	No. of patients	ORR	PFS	OS	Duration of response	Grade 3/4 AE (treatment related deaths)	Maximal duration of treatment
CISPLATIN REFRACTORY								
Atezolizumab IMvigor210 cohort 2	II	310	16% (6% CR)	2.1 mo	7.9 mo (1yr 29%)	22.1 mo	18% (0 deaths)	NR
Atezolizumab IMvigor211	III	931	13%	NR	8.6 mo	21.7 mo	20%	NR
Pembrolizumab KEYNOTE-045	III	542	21%	2.1 mo	10.3 mo	NR	14% (4 deaths)	2 years
Nivolumab CheckMate275	II	265	19.6% (2% CR)	2 mo	8.7 mo	NR	18% (3 deaths)	NR
Avelumab JAVELIN	Ib	242*	17% (6% CR)	6.6 weeks	6.5 mo	NR	10% (1 death)	NR
Durvalumab	I/II	191	17.8% (4% CR)	1.5 mo	18.2 mo	NR	7% (2 deaths)	1 year

Anti-PD-L1 Antibodies

1) Atezolizumab
2) Avelumab
3) Durvalumab

Anti-PD-1 Antibodies

1) Nivolumab
2) Pembrolizumab

In development: Combinations

1) IO + IO
2) IO + Chemotherapy

Ghatalia et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2018

Approved Checkpoint Inhibitors for mUC

Cisplatin Ineligible

CISPLATIN INELIGIBLE								
Atezolizumab IMvigor210 cohort 1	II	119	23% (9% CR)	2.7 mo	15.9 mo, 1yr 57%	NR	16% (1 death)	NR
Pembrolizumab KEYNOTE-052	II	370	29% (7% CR)	6mo 30%	6 mo 67%	NR	19% (1 death)	2 years

Anti-PD-L1 Antibodies

1) Atezolizumab
   - PD-L1 stained tumor-infiltrating immune cells [IC] covering ≥5% of the tumor area

Anti-PD-1 Antibodies

1) Pembrolizumab
   - PD-L1 CPS ≥ 10

In development: Combinations

1) IO + IO
2) IO + Chemotherapy

Ghatalia et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2018

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) May Signal Responses with PD-1 Blockade

Atezolizumab in mUC



Rosenberg et al. Lancet 2016

The Spectrum of Prostate Cancer



Sipuleucel-T in mCRPC

- First anticancer therapeutic vaccine



Drake et al. Curr Opin Urol 2010



Kantoff et al. NEJM 2010

Limited efficacy of Checkpoint Inhibitors in mCRPC

No FDA-approved CIs for mCRPC

Ex. – KEYNOTE-199 (Pembrolizumab)



DeBono et al. ASCO 2018

- Pembrolizumab is approved for all Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) solid tumors
- MSI-H incidence is low in PC
  - Localized PC ~2%
  - Autopsy series of mCRPC ~12%
- MSI testing may offer pembrolizumab as an option

Future Combinations in mCRPC to Engage Immune System

- Hormonal therapy
- Radiation
- Radium-223
- PARP inhibitors
- Chemotherapy
- New targets



Stein et al. Asian J Andrology 2014

irAEs with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in GU Cancers

Meta-analysis of 8 studies

- Similar incidence overall

Adverse event	Incidence, any grade (GU only trials) (%)	Incidence, grades 3–5 (GU only trials) (%)	Incidence any grade (non-GU clinical trials) (%)	Incidence, grades 3–5 (non-GU clinical trials) (%)
Hypothyroid/ thyroiditis	0.8–9	0–0.6	3.9–12	0–0.1
Diabetes/DKA	0–1.5	0–0.7	0.8–0.8	0.4–0.7
LFT changes/ hepatitis	1.5–5.4	1–3.8	0.3–3.4	0.3–2.7
Pneumonitis	2–4.4	0–2	1.8–3.5	0.25–1.9
Encephalitis	NR	NR	0.2–0.8	0.0–0.2
Colitis/diarrhea	1–10	1–10	2.4–4.1	1.0–2.5
Hypophysitis	0–0.5	0–0.2	0.2–0.9	0.2–0.4
Renal Dysfunction/ nephritis	0.3–1.6	0–1.6	0.3–4.9	0.0–0.5
Myositis	0.8–5	0–0.8	NR	NR

Maughan et al. Front Oncol 2017

Immune-related Adverse Events

Table 2 General guidance for corticosteroid management of immune-related adverse events

Grade of immune-related AE (CTCAE/equivalent)	Corticosteroid management	Additional notes
1	• Corticosteroids not usually indicated	• Continue immunotherapy
2	• If indicated, start oral prednisone 0.5-1 mg/kg/day if patient can take oral medication. • If IV required, start methylprednisolone 0.5-1 mg/kg/day IV • If no improvement in 2–3 days, increase corticosteroid dose to 2 mg/kg/day • Once improved to ≤grade 1 AE, start 4–6 week steroid taper	• Hold immunotherapy during corticosteroid use • Continue immunotherapy once resolved to ≤grade 1 and off corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis
3	• Start prednisone 1-2 mg/kg/day (or equivalent dose of methylprednisolone) • If no improvement in 2–3 days, add additional/alternative immune suppressant • Once improved to ≤ grade 1, start 4–6-week steroid taper • Provide supportive treatment as needed	• Hold immunotherapy; if symptoms do not improve in 4–6 weeks, discontinue immunotherapy • Consider intravenous corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis • Add PCP prophylaxis if more than 3 weeks of immunosuppression expected (>30 mg prednisone or equivalent/day)
4	• Start prednisone 1-2 mg/kg/day (or equivalent dose of methylprednisolone) • If no improvement in 2–3 days, add additional/alternative immune suppressant, e.g., infliximab • Provide supportive care as needed	• Discontinue immunotherapy • Continue intravenous corticosteroids • Start proton pump inhibitor for GI prophylaxis • Add PCP prophylaxis if more than 3 weeks of immunosuppression expected (>30 mg prednisone or equivalent/day)

Puzanov Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer 2017

Additional Resources



Rini *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:81
DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0180-7

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma

Brian I. Rini[1], David F. McDermott[2], Hans Hammers[3], William Bro[4], Ronald M. Bukowski[5], Bernard Faba[6], Jo Faba[6], Robert A. Figlin[7], Thomas Hutson[8], Eric Jonasch[9], Richard W. Joseph[10], Bradley C. Leibovich[11], Thomas Olencki[12], Allan J. Pantuck[13], David I. Quinn[14], Virginia Seery[2], Martin H. Voss[15], Christopher G. Wood[9], Laura S. Wood[1] and Michael B. Atkins[16*]

Kamat *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2017) 5:68
DOI 10.1186/s40425-017-0271-0

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of bladder carcinoma

Ashish M. Kamat[1*], Joaquim Bellmunt[2], Matthew D. Galsky[3], Badrinath R. Konety[4], Donald L. Lamm[5], David Langham[6], Cheryl T. Lee[7], Matthew I. Milowsky[8], Michael A. O'Donnell[9], Peter H. O'Donnell[10], Daniel P. Petrylak[11], Padmanee Sharma[12], Ella C. Skinner[13], Guru Sonpavde[14], John A. Taylor III[15], Prasanth Abraham[16] and Jonathan E. Rosenberg[17]



McNeel *et al. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:92
DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0198-x

Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES — Open Access

The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of prostate carcinoma

Douglas G. McNeel[1], Neil H. Bander[2], Tomasz M. Beer[3], Charles G. Drake[4], Lawrence Fong[5], Stacey Harrelson[6], Philip W. Kantoff[7], Ravi A. Madan[8], William K. Oh[9], David J. Peace[10], Daniel P. Petrylak[11], Hank Porterfield[12], Oliver Sartor[13], Neal D. Shore[6], Susan F. Slovin[7], Mark N. Stein[14], Johannes Vieweg[15] and James L. Gulley[16*]

Case Study 1

- 60 yo F cyclist who noted increasing fatigue after a hysterectomy. She was quite anemic pre and post-operatively and was started on oral irone yet continued to feel weak and to lose weight. An abdominal ultrasound was ordered to evaluate her weight loss and a 10 cm renal mass was noted. She underwent a left radical nephrectomy revealing a T3aNxMx Clear Cell RCC, Fuhrman grade ¾.
- Imaging at the time revealed a 1.8 cm lower lobe pulmonary nodule.
- Follow up imaging 2 months post operatively revealed multiple new pulmonary lesions, bilaterally which were biopsy proven RCC.
- She began sunitinib with improvement in fatigue, anemia, and appetite. Her restaging imaging at 3 months revealed new liver lesions and enlarging pulmonary lesions.

Case Study 1

- Second line nivolumab was chosen
- Tolerated well with some mild GI symptoms.
- Pulmonary nodules resolved
- Liver lesions appeared less active
- Remained on therapy for 15 months and then developed a new small liver lesion in the setting of otherwise stable PR

Case Study 1

- Underwent Radiofrequency ablation to the solitary new liver lesion with good results.
- Follow up imaging revealed a good response with scarring at the RFA site and virtual resolution of her additional sites of disease
- Treatment holiday after 2 years of nivolumab and stable after 13 months of follow up

Case Study 1

Discussion topics

- focal therapy of oligometastatic disease or focal progression

- treatment holidays after prolonged response to checkpoint inhibition

Case study 2

73 yo F PMHx of COPD, tobacco use, uncontrolled diabetes who lives with family members in Colorado and Texas presented with intermittent hematuria underwent cystoscopy and TURBT revealing a large friable bladder tumor. Pathology was T2 high grade urothelial carcinoma

Imaging at the time revealed a mass with perivesicular stranding, non avid mildly enlarged lymph nodes.

ECOG is 1; no neuropathy, GFR is

She underwent 4 cycles of neoadjuvant cisplatin, gemcitabine and 4 weeks post-chemotherapy had a cystectomy, lymph node dissection and ileal conduit creation.

ypT0N0 (0/15 nodes)

Case study 2

She was on surveillance and approximately 9 months post-operatively her images revealed a 3 cm soft tissue nodule along the right pelvic sidewall which was PET avid, as well as intensely avid, non-enlarged right common iliac node in the mid-upper pelvis

- Initiated therapy with atezolizumab given every 21 days
- Cycle 6 she was clinically stable. Scans with mixed response of mildly enlarging pelvic sidewall node and a new PET avid node in the pre-aortic region of 1.5 mm in short axis. Therapy continued

Case study 2

By cycle 9 she had increasing difficulties ambulating without a prop and general arthralgia/myalgia. Complaining of hip and shoulder girdle stiffness, and weight loss

ESR 69 CRP 87; CPK <10; LFTs normal; xray with some mild healed erosions in the carpometacarpal articulations

Received 1mg/kg steroids with rapid improvement in mobility and markers. Thought to be PMR.

ESR 10 CRP 16 on follow up

Atezolizumab discontinued

Follow up imaging 3 months later with resolution of pelvic mass and RP lymphadenopathy. No new sites of disease

Case study 2

Discussion points

- sequencing of immunotherapy
- pseudoprogression
- inflammatory toxicities
- continued response