

Immunotherapy for the Treatment of Hematologic Malignancies

Partow Kebriaei, MD

Professor, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Stem Cell Transplantation and Cellular Therapy


Disclosures

- Consulting Fees: Pfizer, Kite, Novartis, Jazz
- Research Support: Amgen, Ziopharm
- I will be discussing non-FDA approved indications during my presentation.

Outline: Major immunotherapies under development

- Immune checkpoint inhibitors
- Antibody-drug conjugates
- Bispecifics
- Cellular therapies

Immune checkpoint inhibitors



#LearnACI

FDA-approved checkpoint inhibitors: lymphoma

Drug	Indication	Dose
Nivolumab	Classical Hodgkin lymphoma , relapsed after HSCT and brentuximab vedotin or ≥ 3 previous therapies	240 mg Q2W or 480 mg Q4W
Pembrolizumab	Adult/pediatric refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma or relapsed after 3 previous therapies	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W adults 2 mg/kg (up to 200 mg) Q3W (pediatric)
Pembrolizumab	Adult/pediatric refractory primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma or relapsed after 2 previous therapies**	200 mg Q3W or 400 mg Q6W adults 2 mg/kg (up to 200 mg) Q3W (pediatric)

**Not recommended for patients with PBMCL that require urgent cytoreductive therapy.

Efficacy of approved checkpoint inhibitors: lymphoma

Study	Treatment	Patient population	Overall response rate	Complete response rate	Landmark OS
CheckMate 205	Nivolumab	Brentuximab vedotin-naïve cHL	65%	29%	1-year: 92%
		Brentuximab vedotin after auto-HCT cHL	68%	13%	1-year: 93%
		Brentuximab vedotin before/after auto-HCT cHL	73%	12%	1-year: 90%
KEYNOTE-087	Pembrolizumab	cHL progressed after ASCT and BV	78.3%	26%	3-year: 86.3%
		cHL after salvage chemo and BV, ineligible for ASCT	64.2%	26%	3-year: 85.7%
		cHL progressed after ASCT without BV treatment	71.7%	31.7%	3-year: 87.6%
KEYNOTE-013	Pembrolizumab	PMBCL with relapse/ineligible for ASCT	48%	33%	1-year: 65%
KEYNOTE-170	Pembrolizumab	PMBCL ineligible for ASCT with progression on ≥ 2 previous therapies	45%	13%	1-year: 58%

cHL: Classical Hodgkin lymphoma; PMBCL: primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma

Armand, J Clin Oncol 2018; Zinzani, ASH 2019.

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

#LearnACI


In development: Immune checkpoint inhibitors in AML

Study	Population	Treatment(s)	ORR	Median OS (months)	Status
NCT02775903	Untreated AML	Azacitidine + durvalumab	20%	13.0	Active, not recruiting
		Azacitidine	23%	14.4	
NCT02397720	Relapsed/refractory AML	Azacitidine + nivolumab	33%	6.4	Recruiting
		Azacitidine + nivolumab + ipilimumab	44%	10.5	
NCT02768792	Relapsed/refractory AML	HiDAC followed by pembrolizumab	46%	8.9	Active, not recruiting
NCT02845297	Relapsed/refractory AML	Azacitidine + pembrolizumab	31%	10.8	Recruiting
	Newly diagnosed AML, ≥ 65 years of age		70.5%	13.1	

In development: Macrophage checkpoint: CD47

Treatment	Populations	ORR	CRR	Median DOR
Azacitidine + magrolimumab	Untreated MDS	91.7%	50%	NR (>4.9 months)
	Untreated AML	63.6%	41%	NR (>5.8 months)

- CD47 is expressed on some cancer cells
- CD47 signaling through SIRPα prohibits macrophage phagocytosis of cancer cells – “don’t eat me”
- Blocking interaction of CD47 and SIRPα promotes adaptive immune responses and boosts tumor cell phagocytosis



Sallman, ASH 2019.
 Zhang, Front Immunol 2020.

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer


#LearnACI

Outline

- Immune checkpoint inhibitors
- **Antibody-drug conjugates**
- Bispecifics
- Cellular therapies

Antibody-drug conjugates

1. Antibody binds to receptor on tumor cell
2. ADC is internalized and broken down
3. Drug payload performs its MOA (here, microtubule disruption)
4. Apoptosis is induced in target cell



#LearnACI

FDA-approved antibody-drug conjugates

Drug	Target antigen	Indication
Brentuximab vedotin	CD30	Classical Hodgkin lymphoma , relapsed after HSCT or ≥ 2 previous therapies
		Cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma or CD30+ mycosis fungoides ≥ 1 previous therapies
		Classical Hodgkin lymphoma - first line with combination chemo
		Classical Hodgkin lymphoma consolidation after auto-HSCT
Inotuzumab ozogamicin	CD22	Relapsed/refractory/MRD+ B-cell ALL
Polatuzumab vedotin (w/ bendamustine & rituximab)	CD79b	DLBCL ≥ 2 previous therapies
Gemtuzumab ozogamicin	CD33	R/R or newly-diagnosed CD33+ AML in adults or pediatric patients
Belantamab mafodotin	BCMA	R/R multiple myeloma after ≥ 4 prior therapies

Efficacy of approved ADCs – brentuximab vedotin

Study	Treatment(s)	Patient population	Overall response rate	Complete response rate	Landmark OS
NCT00848926	Brentuximab vedotin	Relapsed/refractory Hodgkin lymphoma after failed auto-SCT	75%	33%	5-year: 41%
NCT00866047	Brentuximab vedotin	Relapsed/refractory systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma	86%	66%	5-year: 60%
ECHELON-1	Brentuximab vedotin + doxorubicin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine	Previously untreated stage III or IV Hodgkin lymphoma	2-year modified PFS rate: 82.1%		
	Doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine		2-year modified PFS rate: 77.2%		
AETHERA	Brentuximab vedotin	Unfavorable-risk relapsed or primary refractory classic Hodgkin lymphoma after auto-SCT	Median PFS: 42.9 months		
	Placebo		Median PFS: 24.1 months		

Chen, Blood 2016; Pro, Blood 2017; Connors, N Engl J Med 2018; Moskowitz, Lancet 2015.

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

#LearnACI

Efficacy of approved ADCs

Study	Treatment(s)	Patient population	Key outcomes
INO-VATE	Inotuzumab ozogamicin	Relapsed/refractory B cell precursor ALL	CR/CRi rate: 73.8% vs 30.9% Median OS: 7.7 vs 6.2 months 2-year OS: 22.8% vs 10%
	Standard-of-care chemo		
GO29365	Polatuzumab vedotin + bendamustine & rituximab	Relapsed/refractory DLBCL	CRR: 40.0% vs 17.5% Median PFS: 9.5 vs 3.7 months Median OS: 12.4 vs 4.7 months
	Bendamustine & rituximab		
ALFA-0701	Gemtuzumab ozogamicin + daunorubicin + cytarabine	De novo acute myeloid leukemia	CR/CRp rate: 81.5% vs 73.6% Median OS: 27.5 vs 21.8 months Median EFS: 17.3 vs 9.5 months
	Daunorubicin + cytarabine		
DREAMM-2	Belantamab mafodotin	R/R multiple myeloma after IMiD, PI, and anti-CD38	ORR: 31% Median PFS: 2.9 months

Sehn, J Clin Oncol 2020; Kantarjian, Cancer 2019; Lambert, Haematologica 2018.

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

#LearnACI


In development: Novel ADCs in clinical trials

Trial	Indication	Treatment(s)	ADC target antigen	Phase
NCT03544281	R/R multiple myeloma	GSK2857916 + lenalidomide + dexamethasone	BCMA	2
		GSK2857916 + bortezomib + dexamethasone		
NCT03386513	CD123+ AML, BPDCN or ALL	IMGN632	CD123	1/2
NCT03424603	R/R B cell malignancies	STRO-001	CD74	1
NCT03682796	R/R B cell lymphoma	TRPH-222	CD22	1
NCT04240704	CLL or NHL	JBH492	CCR7	1
NCT03833180	Pre-treated hematologic malignancies	VLS-101	ROR1	1

Outline

- Immune checkpoint inhibitors
- Antibody-drug conjugates
- **Bispecifics**
- Cellular therapies


Bispecifics in immunotherapy



Commonly CD3 on T cells, CD16 for NK and macrophages, etc


Clinical use of immune cell engagers

Drug	Indications
Blinatumomab	Relapsed/refractory B-ALL
	B-ALL in 1 st or 2 nd complete response with MRD ≥ 0.1%




Blinatumomab in R/R B-ALL

R/R B-ALL



Trial	Patient population	Treatment	Key outcomes
NCT02013167	Adults with R/R B-ALL	Blinatumomab	Median OS: 7.7 vs 4.0 months
		Chemotherapy	Median DOR: 7.3 vs 4.6 months
NCT01207388	Adults with MRD+ B-ALL	Blinatumomab	Complete MRD response rate: 78% Median OS: 36.5 months

MRD+ B-ALL



Dosing regimens for blinatumomab


MRD- positive B- ALL	Cycle		Patients weighing 45 kg or more (Fixed-dose)	Patients weighing less than 45 kg (BSA-based dose)
	Induction cycle 1	Days 1-28	28 mcg/day	15 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 28 mcg/day)
		Days 29-42	14-day treatment-free interval	14-day treatment-free interval
	Consolidation cycles 2-4	Days 1-28	28 mcg/day	15 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 28 mcg/day)
		Days 29-42	14-day treatment-free interval	14-day treatment-free interval

R/R B- ALL	Cycle		Patients weighing 45 kg or more (Fixed-dose)	Patients weighing less than 45 kg (BSA-based dose)
	Induction cycle 1	Days 1-7	9 mcg/day	5 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 9 mcg/day)
		Days 8-28	28 mcg/day	15 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 28 mcg/day)
		Days 29-42	14-day treatment-free interval	14-day treatment-free interval
	Induction cycle 2	Days 1-28	28 mcg/day	15 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 28 mcg/day)
		Days 29-42	14-day treatment-free interval	14-day treatment-free interval
	Consolidation cycles 3-5	Days 1-28	28 mcg/day	15 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 28 mcg/day)
		Days 29-42	14-day treatment-free interval	14-day treatment-free interval
	Continued therapy cycles 6-9	Days 1-28	28 mcg/day	15 mcg/m ² /day (not to exceed 28 mcg/day)
		Days 29-42	56-day treatment-free interval	56-day treatment-free interval

[Blinatumomab prescribing information.](#)

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Dosing regimens for blinatumomab – R/R B-ALL



[Blinatumomab prescribing information.](#)

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer

Common side effects of T cell engagers

Cytokine release syndrome

- Characterized by initial flu-like symptoms, which progress into a shock-like syndrome with elevation in cytokine levels
- Patients display fever, vascular leakage, and organ dysfunction
- Variable onset and course
- Pre-treatment with dexamethasone required
- Management:
 - IL-6 and IL-6R antagonism
 - Corticosteroids
 - Other cytokine receptor antagonists

B cell aplasia

- Due to current clinical agents targeting CD19, which is expressed by both normal and neoplastic B cells
- May result in hypogammaglobulinemia
- Increased risk of infection
- Managed through administration of intravenous immunoglobulin

Neurotoxicity

- Also known as “immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome” (ICANS)
- Manifests as confusion, delirium, seizures, cerebral edema
- Largely unknown mechanisms
- Incidence increases with more doses, increased age, more prior therapies
- Management:
 - Supportive care for low-grade
 - Corticosteroids for higher-grade

Stay tuned: more information on toxicity management later in this program

In development: Novel immune cell engagers in clinical trials

Trial	Indication	Treatment	Target antigens	Phase
NCT03214666	HR myelodysplastic syndromes, R/R AML, systemic mastocytosis	GTB-3550 (TriKE)	CD16, IL-15, CD33	1/2
NCT03516591	High-risk myelodysplastic syndromes	AMV564	CD33, CD3	1
NCT03739606	CD123+ R/R blood cancers	Flotetuzumab	CD123, CD3	2
NCT02730312	CD123+ R/R blood cancers	XmAb14045	CD123, CD3	1
NCT03888105	R/R B cell NHL	Odronextamab	CD20, CD3	2
NCT03309111	Previously treated multiple myeloma	GBR 1342	CD38, CD3	1/2
NCT03761108	R/R multiple myeloma	REGN5458	BCMA, CD3	1/2

Outline


- Immune checkpoint inhibitors
- Antibody-drug conjugates
- Bispecifics
- Cellular therapies

Comparing T cell engagers and CAR T therapy

	CAR T cells	T cell engagers (BiTEs)
Structure	Synthetic gene construct encoding an scFv against tumor antigen linked to activation/costimulatory motifs	Recombinant protein with two specificities: one for tumor antigen and one for T cell antigen (usually CD3)
Effector cell types	Engineered CD8+ and CD4+ T cells	Endogenous CD8+ and CD4+ T cells
Immune synapse	Atypical	Typical
Serial killing	Yes	Yes
Killing mechanisms	Perforin and granzyme B, Fas-Fas-L, or TNF/TNF-R	Perforin and granzyme B
Trafficking	Active	Passive
Clinical applications	Pre-treatment lymphodepletion followed by a single infusion	No lymphodepletion; repeat administration and continuous infusions.
Specificity	Manufactured for each patient	“Off-the-shelf”


Chimeric antigen receptors

- Overcome immune tolerance
- Targets surface molecules in native conformation
- Independent of antigen presenting cell and MHC complex




#LearnACI

Evolution of CAR constructs



CAR T manufacturing and administration



#LearnACI

FDA-approved CAR T cell therapies

Drug	Target/co-stimulatory domain	Indication	Dose
Axicabtagene ciloleucel	CD19/CD28	Adults with r/r large B-cell lymphoma, Including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma	2×10^6 CAR-positive, viable T cells per kg bodyweight (up to 2×10^8)
Tisagenlecleucel	CD19/4-1BB	Patients ≤ 25 yr with refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia or in 2+ relapse	$0.2-0.5 \times 10^6$ CAR-positive, viable T cells per kg if under 50 kg $0.1-2.5 \times 10^8$ CAR-positive, viable T-cells if over 50 kg
Tisagenlecleucel	CD19/4-1BB	Adults with r/r large B-cell lymphoma after 2+ therapies Including DLBCL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma, DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma	$0.6-6.0 \times 10^8$ CAR-positive, viable T cells
Brexucabtagene autoleucel	CD19/CD28	Adults with mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) who have not responded to or who have relapsed following other treatments	2×10^6 CAR-positive, viable T cells per kg bodyweight (up to 2×10^8)

#LearnACI

Comparing clinical trials of CAR T therapies

Trial	Indication	Treatment(s)	ORR	Landmark OS	Grade 3+ toxicity rates
ZUMA-2	R/R mantle cell lymphoma	Brexucabtagene autoleucel (KTE-X19)	86% CRR: 57%	1-year: 86%	CRS: 18% NE: 46%
ZUMA-1	Refractory large B cell lymphoma	Axicabtagene ciloleucel	83% CRR: 58%	2-year: 50%	CRS: 11% NE: 32%
JULIET	R/R diffuse large B cell lymphoma	Tisagenlecleucel	52% CRR: 40%	1-year: 49%	CRS: 22% NE: 12%
ELIANA	R/R B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia	Tisagenlecleucel	82% CRR: 62%	18-month: 70%	CRS: 48% NE: 13%

Wang, ASH 2019; Locke, Lancet Oncol 2019; Schuster, N Engl J Med 2019; Grupp, Biol Blood Mar Trans 2019.

© 2020–2021 Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer


#LearnACI

CAR T side effects

- Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS)
- Neurotoxicity
 - ICANS: Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome
 - NE: Neurologic events
- B cell aplasia
- Macrophage Activation Syndrome (MAS)/HLH

Stay tuned:
more
information
on toxicity
management
later in this
program

CAR T side effects - CRS



Eligibility considerations for CAR

- Disease
 - Relative stability during CAR T manufacturing (~2-6 weeks)
 - Bridging therapy (chemo, RT, steroids, lenalidomide, ibrutinib)
 - CNS control
- Patient
 - Adequate cell counts
 - DVT, bleeding, infection, neuro disorders
 - Functional status: at screen vs. day of CAR T infusion
- Other
 - Social support, reimbursement
 - Availability of tocilizumab for CRS management

In development: Novel CAR T therapies in clinical trials

Trial	Indication	Treatment	Target antigen	Phase
NCT03651128	R/R multiple myeloma	bb2121	BCMA	3
NCT03971799	R/R pediatric AML	CD33CART	CD33	1/2
NCT04186520	R/R B cell malignancies	CAR-20/19 T cells	CD19, CD20	1/2
NCT04109482	R/R BPDCN, AML, HR MDS	MB-102	CD123	1/2
NCT03287817	Diffuse large B cell lymphoma	AUTO3	CD19, CD22	1/2
NCT02690545	R/R HL and NHL	ATLCAR.CD30	CD30	1/2

Conclusions

- Many immunotherapy options for hematological malignancies
- Checkpoint inhibitors for Hodgkin lymphoma and PMBCL – high response rate, excellent tolerance, durable responses if CR
- Blinatumomab and inotuzumab for ALL – effective salvage, deeper remissions
- Polatuzumab vedotin for DLBCL – effective salvage, potential to become frontline
- CAR T therapy – ever-increasing indications; patient selection and toxicity management still concerns

Additional Resources

Boyiadzis et al. *Journal for Immunotherapy of Cancer* (2016) 4:90
 DOI 10.1186/s40425-016-0188-z

Journal for Immunotherapy
 of Cancer

POSITION ARTICLE AND GUIDELINES

Open Access



The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of hematologic malignancies: multiple myeloma, lymphoma, and acute leukemia

Michael Boyiadzis^{1†}, Michael R. Bishop^{2†}, Rafat Abonour³, Kenneth C. Anderson⁴, Stephen M. Ansell⁵, David Avigan⁶, Lisa Barbarotta⁷, Austin John Barrett⁸, Koen Van Besien⁹, P. Leif Bergsagel¹⁰, Ivan Borrello¹¹, Joshua Brody¹², Jill Brufsky¹³, Mitchell Cairo¹⁴, Ajai Chari¹², Adam Cohen¹⁵, Jorge Cortes¹⁶, Stephen J. Forman¹⁷, Jonathan W. Friedberg¹⁸, Ephraim J. Fuchs¹⁹, Steven D. Gore²⁰, Sundar Jagannath¹², Brad S. Kahl²¹, Justin Kline²², James N. Kochenderfer²³, Larry W. Kwak²⁴, Ronald Levy²⁵, Marcos de Lima²⁶, Mark R. Litzow²⁷, Anuj Mahindra²⁸, Jeffrey Miller²⁹, Nikhil C. Munshi³⁰, Robert Z. Orlowski³¹, John M. Pagel³², David L. Porter³³, Stephen J. Russell⁵, Karl Schwartz³⁴, Margaret A. Shipp³⁵, David Siegel³⁶, Richard M. Stone⁴, Martin S. Tallman³⁷, John M. Timmerman³⁸, Frits Van Rhee³⁹, Edmund K. Waller⁴⁰, Ann Welsh⁴¹, Michael Werner⁴², Peter H. Wiernik⁴³ and Madhav V. Dhodapkar^{44*}

Open access

Position article and guidelines



The Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer consensus statement on immunotherapy for the treatment of multiple myeloma

Nina Shah,¹ Jack Aiello,² David E Avigan,³ Jesus G Berdeja,⁴ Ivan M Borrello,⁵ Ajai Chari,⁶ Adam D Cohen,⁷ Karthik Ganapathi,⁸ Lissa Gray,⁹ Damian Green,¹⁰ Amrita Krishnan,¹¹ Yi Lin,^{12,13} Elisabet Manasanch,¹⁴ Nikhil C Munshi,¹⁵ Ajay K Nooka,¹⁶ Aaron P Rapoport,¹⁷ Eric L Smith,¹⁸ Ravi Vij,¹⁹ Madhav Dhodapkar²⁰

Acknowledgements

- Some figures created using Biorender.com

Case Study

Case Study

- Young woman with stage II DLBCL
- Admitted to the hospital to receive fludarabine and cyclophosphamide lymphodepletion followed by axicabtagene ciloleoucel
- Previous therapies
 - R-CHOP x 6 cycles -> CR, but relapsed 7 months later
 - R-ICE x 2 followed by autologous hematopoietic cell transplant -> CR
 - Relapsed 4 months after transplant

Persistent fevers post CAR infusion

- Days 1-4 post infusion: Fevers up to 39.5°C; tachycardia and hypotension which correct with increase in IV fluids
 - Blood, urine cultures negative for infection; CXR no acute disease
- What are your next steps?

Next Steps


- A. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, and monitor
- B. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, and broad spectrum antibiotics
- C. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, broad spectrum antibiotics, and tocilizumab
- D. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, broad spectrum antibiotics, tocilizumab, and steroids

Next Steps

- A. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, and monitor
- B. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, and broad spectrum antibiotics
- C. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, broad spectrum antibiotics, and tocilizumab
- D. Acetaminophen, cooling blanket, broad spectrum antibiotics, tocilizumab, and steroids

3-Step Approach for IEC-Associated Toxicity, Assessment and Management

Step 1: Determine if the subject has CRS and/or ICANS



Step 2: Determine the grade of CRS and/or ICANS



Step 3: Manage CRS and/or ICANS according to grade

- CRS – Cytokine Release Syndrome
- ICANS – IEC-Associated Neurotoxicity Syndrome

CRS Grading System

CRS Parameter*	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Fever^{#†}	Temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$	Temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$	Temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$	Temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$
	With either:			
Hypotension[#]	None	Not requiring vasopressors	Requiring one vasopressor with or without vasopressin	Requiring multiple vasopressors (excluding vasopressin)
	And/ or[‡]			
Hypoxia	None	Requiring low-flow nasal cannula [^] or blow-by	Requiring high-flow nasal cannula [^] , facemask, non-rebreather mask, or Venturi mask	Requiring positive pressure (eg: CPAP, BiPAP, intubation and mechanical ventilation)

Lee et al. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019 Apr;25(4):625-638

Management of grade 2 CRS

CRS Grade	Management
Grade 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Antipyretics; maintenance IV fluid Empiric broad-spectrum antibiotics and filgrastim (if neutropenic) Consider tocilizumab for persistent (greater than 3 days) or refractory fever
Grade 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administer tocilizumab 8 mg/kg IV x 1 +/- dexamethasone 10 mg IV x 1; tocilizumab may be repeated every 8 h for up to 3 doses in a 24 h period IV fluid bolus for hypotension Use low flow supplemental oxygen as needed
Grade 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use vasopressors support to maintain blood Transfer to ICU and perform ECHO if not done already Start dex 10 mg IV every 6 hours; increase to 20 mg IV every 6 hours if hypotension is refractory Taper steroids over 3 days after the CRS improves to grade 1 or less Oxygen requirement increases to high-flow nasal cannula, face mask, or pos. pressure ventilation
Grade 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use tocilizumab, high dose methylprednisolone and supportive care If hypotension is refractory for >24 hrs, consider anakinra, siltuximab, cyclophosphamide, or ATG Positive pressure ventilation to include mechanical ventilation

Confusion following CAR T therapy

- Day 5: Difficulty with handwriting, word-finding difficulty; confused and disoriented.
 - Tocilizumab 8 mg/kg + Dexamethasone 20mg administered with resolution of sx
- Day 7: Decreased mental status
- Next steps?

Day 4 9 am	I love Shawnee, KS.	MMSE score 29/30
Day 5 01:30 PM Toci 8 mg/kg	Shaw is a place animal	27/30
Day 5 03:30 PM	I'm sur and 177.	27/30
Day 6 9 am	I miss my kids.	29/30

Next Steps

- A. Head CT, blood cultures, steroids
- B. Head CT, blood cultures, EEG, ICU transfer, steroid, levetiracetam
- C. Head CT, blood cultures, EEG, ICU transfer, tocilizumab, levetiracetam
- D. Head CT, blood cultures, ICU transfer, tocilizumab

Next Steps

- A. Head CT, blood cultures, steroids
- B. Head CT, blood cultures, EEG, ICU transfer, steroid, levetiracetam
- C. Head CT, blood cultures, EEG, ICU transfer, tocilizumab, levetiracetam
- D. Head CT, blood cultures, ICU transfer, tocilizumab

Clinical signs of neurotoxicity

- Neurotoxicity typically manifests as a toxic encephalopathy
 - Word finding difficulty, confusion, disorientation, agitation, dysphasia, aphasia, somnolence, tremors, and impaired handwriting
 - In more severe cases, seizures, motor weakness, incontinence, increased intracranial pressure, papilledema, and cerebral edema may also occur
- Onset may be biphasic
 - 1st phase (Days 0-5) – symptoms may appear with other CRS symptoms
 - 2nd phase (After day 5) – starts after CRS symptoms have subsided
- May last few hours to several days
- Generally reversible although fatal cases have occurred

Pathophysiology of neurotoxicity

- Pathophysiology under investigation
- No clear evidence of expression of target (CD19) in CNS
- Possible CNS occult disease
- MRI of brain is usually negative; indicative of edema in severe toxicity
- EEG may show diffuse slowing or electrographic seizures
- CSF is usually positive for CAR T cells
- Two potential explanations include:
 - Passive diffusion of cytokines
 - Trafficking of CAR- and non-CAR T cells into central nervous system
- 5-30% severe neurotoxicity reported across trials

Step 1 – Determine if the subject has ICANS

- **If the subject has any of the following symptoms or signs within the first 8 weeks of IEC-engaging therapy, the subject may have ICANS if the symptoms or signs are not attributable to any other cause.**
 1. IEC-Associated Encephalopathy (ICE) Score of <10
 2. Depressed level of consciousness
 3. Convulsive or non-convulsive seizures (can be focal or generalized)
 4. Motor weakness (can be focal motor weakness, hemiparesis, paraparesis)
 5. Raised intracranial pressure (decerebrate or decorticate posturing; or cranial nerve VI palsy; or papilledema; or Cushing's triad) or focal / diffuse cerebral edema

ASBMT consensus encephalopathy assessment tool

Immune-Effector Cell-Associated Encephalopathy (ICE): 10 point scale

- **Orientation:** Orientation to year, month, city, hospital: 4 points
- **Naming:** Name 3 objects (e.g., point to clock, pen, button): 3 points
- **Following commands:** (e.g., Show me 2 fingers or Close your eyes and stick out your tongue): 1 point
- **Writing:** Ability to write a standard sentence (e.g., Our national bird is the bald eagle): 1 point
- **Attention:** Count backwards from 100 by ten: 1 point

Step 2 – Determine ICANS grade

Neurotoxicity Domain	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
ICE Score	7-9	3-6	0-2	0 (unarousable)
Depressed level of consciousness	Awakens spontaneously	Awakens to voice	Awakens only to tactile stimulus	Patient is unarousable or requires vigorous or repetitive tactile stimuli to arouse or stupor or coma
Seizure	N/A	N/A	Any seizure that resolves rapidly; or Non-convulsive seizures on EEG that resolve with intervention	Life-threatening prolonged seizure (>5 min); or repetitive seizures without return to baseline in between
Motor findings	N/A	N/A	N/A	Deep focal motor weakness such as hemiparesis or paraparesis
Raised intracranial pressure / Cerebral edema	N/A	N/A	Focal/local edema on neuroimaging	Diffuse cerebral edema on neuroimaging; Decerebrate or decorticate posturing; or Cranial nerve VI palsy; or Papilledema; or Cushing's triad

Step 3 – Manage ICANS

Grade	Management
Grade 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vigilant supportive care; aspiration precautions; IV hydration • Neurology consul, Brain imaging, EEG • Consider diagnostic lumbar puncture (e.g. infections, autoimmune, leptomenigeal disease) • Low doses of benzodiazepine or haloperidol for agitation • Initiate seizure prophylaxis • Consider Dexamethasone 10 mg IV; if associated with concurrent CRS, consider adding tocilizumab
Grade 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dexamethasone 10 mg IV every 12 h (or methylprednisolone equivalent) • Once ICANS improves to grade 1 or less, taper and/or stop steroids depending on clinical situation
Grade 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider ICU transfer • Treat seizure • Increased dose, frequency steroids • Consider repeat neuro-imaging (CT or MRI) every 2-3 days for persistent \geq grade 3 encephalopathy
Grade 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICU monitoring; Consider mechanical ventilation for airway protection • Very high doses steroids • treat for cerebral edema if applicable • Consider additional therapies including activation of safety switches if applicable

Case continued

- Day 9: No further seizure activity noted, neurologic exam normalized
 - Steroids stopped
- Day 10: discharged home on antibiotics and seizure prophylaxis