Immunotherapy for Brain Metastases

Chirag G. Patil MD, MS Program Director, Neurosurgery Residency Cedars-Sinai Medical Center Department of Neurosurgery



CEDARS-SINAI

cedars-sinai.edu

Disclosures

•Cellworks- consultant



- Background and Current Treatment of Brain Metastases
- Blood Brain Barrier & CNS Immune Surveillance
- Clinical experience: BM Immunotherapy
- Rationale and update on Combination Therapies



Brain Metastases (BM)

20-40% of cancer patients will develop BM 300,000 plus new cases in the US each year



- Lung (40-50%)
- Breast (15%)
- Melanoma (55-65%)
- The incidence of BM is increasing
 - ✓ HER2-positive breast cancer (30-55%)
 - ✓ ALK mutated NSCLC



- Brain metastasis develops in ~60% of patients with metastatic melanoma
- Progressive disease in the brain is the major cause of tumor-related death in these patients
- Median overall survival (OS) after MEL brain metastasis is only 4 months



- One BM- Surgery
- A few BM- Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS)
- Numerous BM- Whole Brain Radiotherapy (WBRT)
- Radiation Therapy is the Main Stay.
- Why not chemo?

Limits of cytotoxic and targeted therapy

• Level of most cytotoxic and targeted drugs in brain metastases is a fraction of level in blood due to the blood brain barrier



Background and Current Treatment of Brain Metastases

Slood Brain Barrier & CNS Immune Surveillance

- Clinical experience: BM Immunotherapy
- Rationale and update on Combination Therapies



Blood-Brain Barrier



Microglia Neuron



- •CSF is made by the choroid plexus in the ventricles
- •Carries soluble antigens derived from the CNS
- •Collects in the perivascular (Virchow Robin) spaces and drains to the subarachnoid space









- Memory T cells enter CNS independent of antigen specificity
- T cells enter the CNS through the subarachnoid space (SAS)
- Exposed to APC-like cells in the perivascular space
- T cells exit the CNS with the CSF via nasal mucosa to deep cervical lymph nodes

Edema is caused by fluid in the tissue around the tumor

- Mediated by VEGF
- Perivascular space expands to accommodate edema
- Soluble tumor antigens may be contained in the CSF
- CSF drains into blood and/or lymph activates T cells

Activated T cells can cross the blood-brain barrier

• Can antigen presenting cells and Memory T cells mount an adaptive immune response in CNS mets?



High Immune Infiltrate equals better survival!

- Immune infiltrate in BM and more favorable survival
- Resected brain metastases of patients with melanoma
- Peritumoral CD3+ and CD8+ cells were associated with prolonged survival





CD8+ T cells (blue)

Hamilton et al 2013 Cancer



- Background and Current Treatment of Brain Metastases
- Blood Brain Barrier & CNS Immune Surveillance
- Clinical experience: BM Immunotherapy
- Rationale and update on Combination Therapies



• IL-2 has not been used extensively in patients with untreated BM due to the risk of cerebral edema

- Patients with stable previously irradiated or asymptomatic BM do not appear to have excess toxicity with IL-2 therapy
- The response rate in previously untreated brain metastases was 5.6% in one series
- But Complete responses in the CNS have been reported

Guirguis, et al 2002 Powell and Dudek, 2009



Ipilimumab in melanoma BM

Phase II in 72 patients with BM

- n=51 were neurologically asymptomatic, n=21 were neurologically symptomatic
- 40% had received previous radiation therapy (wash-out period 2 weeks)
- Treated with ipilimumab 10mg/kg IV Q3 weeks x 4, followed by Q12 week maintenance
- Activity of Ipilimumab in BM
 - Response rate in the CNS:
 - **o16% in asymptomatic subjects**
 - $_{\odot}5\%$ in symptomatic subjects 1 CR, 0 PR
 - $_{\odot}2$ year overall survival ~25% in the asymptomatic subjects

Margolin, et al 2012 Lancet Oncol



Ipilimumab in melanoma BM

Margolin, et al 2012 Lancet Oncol





• Fotemustine can cross the BBB

 $_{\circ}$ 86 patients with metastatic melanoma were treated

with ipi + fotemustine

 including 20 with asymptomatic BM
 35% of the patients with BM had received previous RT to the brain

- 40 patients in the study population achieved disease control (47%), as did 10/20 patients with BM (50%).
- Of the 13 patients with BM who did not have previous radiotherapy, 5 (38%) of them had a complete response in the brain



- Background and Current Treatment of Brain Metastases
- Blood Brain Barrier & CNS Immune Surveillance
- Clinical experience: BM Immunotherapy
- Rationale and update on Combination Therapies



Combinations: Radiation therapy plus immunotherapy

Ipilimumab + SRS

- 77 patients with metastatic melanoma underwent SRS
- 27 of them had ipilimumab (before or after SRS)

Median survival

 21.3 vs. 4.9 months in those who received ipilimumab vs. those who did not

Knisely 2012 J Neurosurg





Median survival (in months) from the date of RT

	N=	Not treated with Ipilimumab	Treated with Ipilimumab	Difference
Knisely <i>et al</i> 2012	77	4.9	21.3	16.4 months
Silk <i>et al</i> 2013	70	4.0	19.9	15.9 months



Radiation and the Immune Response: Brain Mets

- In melanoma brain mets: Silk et al showed:
 - ✓ 40% response for IPI + RT
 - versus
 - ✓ 9% response for RT alone.¹









¹Silk et al. Cancer Med 2013.



Future Directions: Anti-PD-1 plus Radiosurgery

Anti-PD-1 blockade and stereotactic radiation produce long-term survival in mice with intracranial tumors.

 $_{\circ}$ Lim M et. al, 2013

Stereotactic Radiation Therapy Augments Antigen-Specific PD-1-Mediated Antitumor Immune Responses via Cross-Presentation of Tumor Antigen.

 Sharabi AB et. al, Cancer Immunol Res. 2014



Immune-stimulating effects of SRS were significantly increased when it was combined with anti-PD-1.

Clinical trials are being planned between Angeles Clinic and Cedars-Sinai to evaluate the safety and efficacy of this combination.



Combination CTLA-4 plus Anti-PD-1 Blockade



• NIVO Plus IPI Combination in Advanced MEL

• In a phase II, double-blind study (CheckMate 069) with treatment-naïve pts with advanced MEL, combination therapy with NIVO 1 mg/kg plus IPI 3 mg/kg provided greater benefits than IPI monotherapy, with a manageable safety profile



Preliminary Safety and Activity of Nivolumab and its Combination With Ipilimumab in Recurrent Glioblastoma: CHECKMATE-143



Baseline 27-mm left temporal lobe lesion

After 10 nivolumab doses 6-mm left temporal lobe lesion

- Patient was a 42-year-old white male with MGMTmethylated, epidermal growth factor receptor variant III+ GBM and prior radiochemotherapy
 - Last dose of radiotherapy received 108 days before study; last dose of temozolomide received 47 days before study
- Patient achieved confirmed partial response lasting 22 weeks
 - Response began after 3 doses of nivolumab with original lesion reduced to 24 mm
 - Response was maintained after 6 doses at 24 mm and after 10 doses at 6 mm
- Patient progressed with the appearance of new 11-mm temporal lobe lesion after 14 doses of nivolumab
- KPS remained stable at 90 throughout treatment
- Patient remains alive as of February 20, 2015, and continues to be followed



A Multi-Center Phase II Open-Label Study (CheckMate 204) to Evaluate Safety and Efficacy of Nivolumab (NIVO) in Combination With Ipilimumab (IPI) Followed by NIVO Monotherapy in Patients (pts) With Melanoma (MEL) Metastatic to the Brain

Study Rationale

 Since IPI has activity against MEL metastatic to the brain¹¹ and NIVO plus IPI provides greater systemic activity than IPI alone in advanced MEL,¹² NIVO plus IPI may also have improved antitumor effects in the brain than IPI alone for pts with MEL brain metastases.

• Study Hypothesis

 NIVO plus IPI followed by NIVO monotherapy will provide clinical benefit to pts with MEL metastatic to the brain, improving on the results reported with IPI alone.¹¹



Study Design





Key Inclusion Criteria

- $_{\circ}$ Men and women aged >18 years
- Previously treated or treatment-naïve, histologically confirmed malignant MEL with measurable metastases in both brain and extracranial sites
- Measurable brain metastasis 0.5cm–3cm in longest diameter that has not been previously irradiated
- $_{\circ}$ Allowable prior therapy
- Approved adjuvant regimen
- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status 0 or 1

• Key Exclusion Criteria

- o Leptomeningealmetastasis
 - >3CNSlesionspreviouslytreatedwithSRT



• Primary Objective

 Assess the CNS Clinical Benefit Rate (CBR = CR + PR + >6-months SD) in pts with MEL metastatic to the brain treated with NIVO plus IPI followed by NIVO monotherapy

Secondary Objectives

- $_{\circ}$ Extracranial CBR OS
 - Global CBR Safety and tolerability

• Exploratory Objectives

 Predictive values of biomarkers (eg, PD-1, PD-L1, and other markers related to immune cell populations) and pharmacogenomics (eg, natural genetic variation in select genes)



- The brain immune micro-environment is different
- Brain Edema
- Steroid management
- Appropriate patient selection is critical
- Optimal Immune therapy for brain metastases in the future may be different from systemic immune strategy



- Immunotherapy has a therapeutic advantage over cytotoxic drugs in CNS tumors because T-cells can cross the BBB
- The BBB and BTB is not absolute. Memory T cells provide immune surveillance in the CNS and mediate inflammation in response to antigens.
- Combinations of immunotherapies and/or immunotherapy in combination with radiation therapy may be effective at treating and even preventing BM in many types of cancer.

